

**THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DIRECT CORRECTIVE  
FEEDBACK ON THE SEVENTH GRADE  
STUDENTS' WRITING SKILLS AT SMP NEGERI 3  
SELAT**

**SKRIPSI**

**Diajukan kepada**

**Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha**

**Untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan dalam Menyelesaikan**

**Program Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris**

**Oleh**

**Ni Luh Desi Meliani**

**1812021038**

**JURUSAN BAHASA ASING**

**FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI**

**UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA**

**SINGARAJA**

**2022**

# SKRIPSI

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS  
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK  
MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

**Menyetujui**

Pembimbing I,



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A.  
NIP. 196203191987031001

Pembimbing II,



I Nyoman Pasek Hadi Saputra, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 197809182006041001

Skripsi oleh Ni Luh Desi Meliani ini  
Telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji  
Pada tanggal 30 Juni 2022


Dewan Penguji,



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.

(Ketua)

NIP. 197609022000031001



Putu Eka Dambayana Suputra, S.Pd., M.Pd.

(Anggota)

NIP. 197811142008121002



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A.

(Anggota)

NIP. 196203191987031001



I Nyoman Pasek Hadi Saputra, S.Pd., M.Pd.

(Anggota)

NIP. 197809182006041001

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni  
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha  
Guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan

Pada:

Hari : Jum'at  
Tanggal : 15 Juli 2022

**Mengetahui,**

Ketua Ujian



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 19769022000031001

Sekretaris Ujian,



Dr. I G A Lokita Purnama Utami, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 198304022006042001

**Mengesahkan**

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Prof. Dr. Made Sutarna, M.Pd.  
NIP. 196004241986031002

## PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis yang berjudul **“THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DIRECT CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK ON THE SEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS’ WRITING SKILLS AT SMP NEGERI 3 SELAT”** beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar-benar karya saya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung resiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila kemudian ditemukan adanya pelanggaran atas etika keilmuan dalam karya tulis ini atau terdapat klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Singaraja, 21 Juni 2022

Yang membuat pernyataan,



Ni Luh Desi Meliani

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, thank directed to express her highest gratitude to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa for the blessing, opportunity, health, and inspiration given to the writer to complete this undergraduate thesis entitled “*THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DIRECT CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK ON THE SEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS’ WRITING SKILLS AT SMP NEGERI 3 SELAT*” could be accomplished.

Secondly, the writer would like to express her gratitude to the many parties who give endless support in accomplishing this thesis. Therefore, the writer wishes to give the sincerest and appreciation to:

1. Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. as my academic supervisor, who shared the encouragement, guidance, and excitement of four years of discovery in my study.
2. Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A. as the first supervisor who has given knowledge, guidance, support, advice, ideas, and suggestion in accomplishing this thesis.
3. I Nyoman Pasek Hadi Saputra, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the second supervisor who was patient in giving suggestions and advice in accomplishing this thesis.
4. All of the lectures of the English Language Education Department who already gave valuable knowledge, experiences, and advice during these four years.
5. The principal, English teachers, and the entire of the seventh grade students of *SMP Negeri 3 Selat* who helped the writer during the process of collecting data.
6. My beloved parents I Ketut Widiananda and Ni Ketut Kasi, my sister Ni Made Widi Cahyani, my brother I Komang Wisma Pradnyana and all of my family for their endless love, support and motivation in any conditions.

7. My best friends, Ni Luh Putu Ega R. P., Ni Luh Anggi Kharismayanti, Ni Kadek Noprianti, Desy Sanabila, Komang Gian Adhyaksa, Ida Bagus Ade Indrayana and I Komang Widiada for the support, motivation and memories all this time.

Finally, the writers deeply realize that the result of this thesis is not perfect. Therefore, suggestions and constructive criticisms are welcomed for the improvement of this thesis.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	iii
LIST OF TABLE .....	vi
LIST OF FIGURE.....	viii
ABSTRACT	
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Research Background .....	1
1.2 Problem Identifications.....	6
1.3 Limitation of the Problem.....	7
1.4 Research Question .....	7
1.5 Research Objective .....	7
1.6 Research Significance.....	7
1.6.1 Theoretical Significance .....	7
1.6.2 Practical Significance .....	8
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW.....	9
2.1 Theoretical Review .....	9
2.1.1 Definition of Writing .....	9
2.1.2 Process of Writing .....	10
2.1.3 The Components of Writing.....	11
2.1.4 Feedback.....	13
2.1.5 Direct Corrective Feedback .....	14
2.1.5.1 Definition of Direct Corrective Feedback .....	14
2.1.5.2 Benefits of Direct Corrective Feedback .....	15
2.2 Empirical Review .....	16
2.3 Hypothesis .....	19



CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD .....	20
3.1 Research Setting .....	20
3.2 Research Design .....	20
3.3 Population and Sample .....	22
3.3.1 Population .....	22
3.3.2 Sample and Sampling .....	23
3.4 Object of Study .....	26
3.5 Subject of Study .....	26
3.6 Method and Instrument of Data Collection .....	27
3.6.1 Instrument for Data Collection .....	27
3.6.2 Instrument for the Treatment .....	28
3.6.3 Instrument Development .....	29
3.6.4 Instrument Validation .....	30
3.6.5 Reliability .....	34
3.7 Process of Data Collection .....	35
3.7.1 Experiment .....	36
3.8 Technique of Data Analysis .....	36
3.8.1 Descriptive Analysis .....	37
3.8.2 Inferential Statistics .....	38
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION .....	42
4.1 Data Description .....	42
4.1.1 Data Description of Students' Writing Performance in the Experimental Group (Taught by Using Direct Corrective Feedback) .....	45
4.1.2 Data Description of Students' Writing Performance in the Control Group (Taught Without Using Direct Corrective Feedback) .....	48
4.2 Requirement Test for Hypothesis .....	52

4.2.1 Hypothesis Testing .....	52
4.2.1.1 Testing for Normality Distribution.....	52
4.2.1.2 Testing for Homogeneity of Variance .....	53
4.2.1.3 Hypothesis Testing .....	54
4.2.1.4 Effect Size.....	56
4.3 Discussion.....	57
4.4 Implication.....	63
CHAPTER V SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND SUGGESTION .....	65
5.1 Summary.....	65
5.2 Conclusion.....	67
5.3 Suggestions.....	67
References.....	66
Appendix	



## LIST OF TABLE

Table 3.1 The Number of the Population.....	23
Table 3.2 The Result of Normality Test .....	24
Table 3.3 The Result of Homogeneity Test .....	25
Table 3.4 The Distribution of the Research Samples.....	26
Table 3.5 Tabulation of Content validity .....	31
Table 3.6 Level of Content Validity .....	32
Table 3.7 The Result of Content Validity for the Writing Performance Test (Post-Test) .....	32
Table 3.8 The Result of Content Validity for the Writing Scoring Rubric .....	33
Table 3.9 The Qualification of the Reliability Coefficient .....	34
Table 3.10 The Result of Intraclass Correlation Coefficient .....	35
Table 3.11 The Criteria of Normality Distribution Testing .....	38
Table 3.12 The Criteria of Homogeneity of Variance Testing .....	39
Table 3.13 The Qualification of t-test Value .....	39
Table 3.14 Effect Size Level .....	41
Table 4.1 The Result of Descriptive Statistics Analysis .....	43
Table 4.2 Data Description of the Experimental Group .....	46
Table 4.3 The Tendency of the Students' Writing Performance in the Experimental Group.....	48

Table 4.4 Data Description of the Control Group.....	49
Table 4.5 The Tendency of the Students' Writing Performance in the Experimental Group .....	51
Table 4.6 The Result of Normality Test of Post-test .....	53
Table 4.7 The Result of Homogeneity Test of Post-test .....	54
Table 4.8 The Result of Independent Sample t-test .....	55



## LIST OF FIGURE

Diagram 4.1 Histogram of Distribution in the Experimental Group .....	47
Diagram 4.2 Histogram of Distribution in the Control Group.....	50



## LIST OF APPENDIX

Appendix 1: Observation Letter

Appendix 2: Research Application Letter

Appendix 3: Approval Letter

Appendix 4: Research Schedule

Appendix 5: The Differences between Experimental Group and Control Group Treatment

Appendix 6: Instrument Validation (Writing Performance Test)

Appendix 7: Instrument Validation (Writing Scoring Rubric)

Appendix 8: Writing Performance Test (Post-Test)

Appendix 9: Writing Scoring Rubric

Appendix 10: Lesson Plan for Experimental Group

Appendix 11: Lesson Plan for Control Group

Appendix 12: Sample of Study

Appendix 13: The Result Instruments try out in VIIA Class at of SMP Negeri 3 Selat

Appendix 14: Post Test Score of Students in the Experimental Group (Taught by Using Direct Corrective Feedback)

Appendix 15: Post Test Score of Students in the Control Group (Taught Without Using Direct Corrective Feedback)

Appendix 16. The Result of the Statistical Analysis

Appendix 17. The Calculation Category of Tendency of Students' Writing Performance (The Experimental Group)

Appendix 18. The Calculation Category of Tendency of Students' Writing Performance (The Control Group)

Appendix 19. The Example of Direct Corrective Feedback Provided by the Teacher

Appendix 20. Documentation