

**STUDENT'S PERCEPTION TOWARD THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF ONLINE LEARNING IN
ENGLISH SUBJECT AT SMP NNEGERI 1
SELEMADEG**



**JURUSAN BAHASA ASING
FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA
SINGARAJA
2022**

SKRIPSI
DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS DAN
MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK MENCAPAI
GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN



Dosen Pembimbing I,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistya Dewi".

Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistya Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 19810419200604200

Dosen Pembimbing II,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kadek Sintya Dewi".

Kadek Sintya Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198803232015042004

Skripsi oleh I Putu Dika Adi Suantara ini
telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji
pada tanggal 14 Oktober 2022

Dewan Penguji,

Made Aryawan Adijaya, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 197712162002121002

(Ketua)


Kadek Sintya Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198803232015042004

(Anggota)


Putu Adi Krisna Juniarta, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198706122015041006

(Anggota)



Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan

Pada:

Hari : Jumat
Tanggal : 14 Oktober 2022

Ketua Ujian

Ramendra

Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 197609022000031001

Dr. I G A Lokita Burnama Utami, S.Pd.,M.Pd.
NIP. 198304022006042001

Mengesahkan,
Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Prof. Dr. A Made Sutama, M.Pd.
NIP. 196004241986031002

PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN TULISAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis yang berjudul "**STUDENT'S PERCEPTION TOWARD THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ONLINE LEARNING IN ENGLISH SUBJECT AT SMP NEGERI 1 SELEMADEG**" beserta seluruh isinya benar-benar karya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung resiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila kemudian ditemukan adanya pelanggaran atas etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Singaraja, 14 Oktober 2022




I Putu Dika Adi Suantara

NIM 1812021114

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKOWLEDGEMENT	i
DEDICATIONS	iii
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER I	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Identification	4
1.3 Research Questions	5
1.4 Research Limitation.....	5
1.5 Objective of The Study.....	5
1.6 Theoretical Significances:.....	5
1.7 Practical Significance:	6
1.8 Scope of Study.....	7
CHAPTER II.....	8
2.1 Theoretical Review	8
2.2 WhatsApp	12
2.3 Google Meet	13
1.4 Google Classroom	14
1.5 Synchronous Learning.....	15
1.6 Empirical Review	17
CHAPTER III.....	21
3.1 Research Design	21
3.2 Research Subject	21

3.1	Data Collection Method	23
3.4	The Technique of Data Analysis	28
3.5	Data Analysis	32
3.6	Procedure	33
CHAPTER IV		34
4.1	Data Description.....	34
4.2	Discussion	91
4.3	Implication.....	104
CHAPTER V.....		105
5.1	Summary	105
5.2	Conclusion.....	106
5.3	Suggestion	106
REFERENCES		108
APPENDICES		



LIST OF TABLES

Table 3. 1 Number of Seventh Grade Students in SMP Negeri 1 Selemadeg in Academic Year 2021/2022.....	22
Table 3. 2 Questionnaire Blueprint of Students' Perception toward implementing Online learning in English by using WhatsApp.....	24
Table 3. 3 Questionnaire Blueprint of Students' Perception toward implementing Online learning in English using Google Meet.....	25
Table 3. 4 Questionnaire Blueprint of Students' Perception toward implementing Online learning in English using Google Classroom.....	27
Table 3. 5 Cross Tabulation	29
Table 3. 6 Level of Content Validity	29
Table 3. 7 Reliability Statistics of WhatsApp.....	31
Table 3. 8 Reliability Statistics of Google Meet	31
Table 3. 9 Reliability Statistics of Google Classroom	31
Table 3. 10 Qualification Reliability Coefficient.....	31
Table 3. 11 Guideline of Qualification Level	32
Table 3. 12 Research Procedures	33
Table 4. 1 Age Distribution of the Participants	34
Table 4. 2 Gender Distribution of the Participants	35
Table 4. 3 Participants' Experiences Using Gadgets	35
Table 4. 4 Descriptive Data of Participants' Response Viewed based on the Dimension	38
Table 4. 5 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perceived Usefulness Dimension based on Qualification Level.....	39
Table 4. 6 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Subjective Norm's Items based on Qualification Level	40


Table 4. 7 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Job Relevance's Items based on Qualification Level.....	41
Table 4. 8 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Output Quality's Items based on Qualification Level.....	42
Table 4. 9 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Result Demonstrability's Items based on Qualification Level.....	43
Table 4. 10 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perceived Ease of Use Dimension based on Qualification Level	44
Table 4. 11 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Computer Self-Efficacy's Items based on Qualification Level.....	45
Table 4. 12 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perception of External Control's Items based on Qualification Level	46
Table 4. 13 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Computer Playfulness' Items based on Qualification Level.....	48
Table 4. 14 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Computer Anxiety's Items based on Qualification Level	49
Table 4. 15 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perceived Enjoyment's Items based on Qualification Level.....	50
Table 4. 16 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Behavioral Intention Dimension based on Qualification Level	51
Table 4. 17 Descriptive Data of Participants' Response Viewed based on the Dimension	54
Table 4. 18 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perceived Usefulness Dimension based on Qualification Level	56
Table 4. 19 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Subjective Norm's Items based on Qualification Level	57
Table 4. 20 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Job Relevance's Items based on Qualification Level.....	58

Table 4. 21 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Output Quality's Items based on Qualification Level	60
Table 4. 22 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Result Demonstrability's Items based on Qualification Level.....	61
Table 4. 23 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perceived Ease of Use Dimension based on Qualification Level.....	62
Table 4. 24 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Computer Self-Efficacy's Items based on Qualification Level.....	64
Table 4. 25 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perception of External Control's Items based on Qualification Level	65
Table 4. 26 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Computer Playfulness' Items based on Qualification Level.....	66
Table 4. 27 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Computer Anxiety's Items based on Qualification Level	68
Table 4. 28 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perceived Enjoyment's Items based on Qualification Level.....	69
Table 4. 29 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Behavioral Intention Dimension based on Qualification Level	70
Table 4. 30 Descriptive Data of Participants' Response Viewed based on the Dimension	74
Table 4. 31 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perceived Usefulness Dimension based on Qualification Level.....	75
Table 4. 32 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Subjective Norm's Items based on Qualification Level	76
Table 4. 33 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Job Relevance's Items based on Qualification Level.....	77
Table 4. 34 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Output Quality's Items based on Qualification Level	78

Table 4. 35 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Result Demonstrability's Items based on Qualification Level.....	79
Table 4. 36 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perceived Ease of Use Dimension based on Qualification Level.....	80
Table 4. 37 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Computer Self-Efficacy's Items based on Qualification Level.....	81
Table 4. 38 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perception of External Control's Items based on Qualification Level	83
Table 4. 39 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Computer Playfulness' Items based on Qualification Level.....	84
Table 4. 40 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Computer Anxiety's Items based on Qualification Level	85
Table 4. 41 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Perceived Enjoyment's Items based on Qualification Level.....	86
Table 4. 42 Mean Score of Participants' Response on Behavioral Intention Dimension based on Qualification Level	87

LIST OF FIGURES

Diagram 4. 1 Participants' Response on Perceived Usefulness Dimension based on Qualification level.....	52
Diagram 4. 2 Participants' Response on Perceived Ease of Use Dimension based on Qualification Level.....	53
Diagram 4. 3 Participants' Response on Perceived Usefulness Dimension based on Qualification level.....	71
Diagram 4. 4 Participants' Response on Perceived Ease of Use Dimension based on Qualification Level.....	73
Diagram 4. 5 Participants' Response on Perceived Usefulness Dimension based on Qualification level.....	88
Diagram 4. 6 Participants' Response on Perceived Ease of Use Dimension based on Qualification Level.....	90



LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 1 The Questionnaire Student's Perception toward the Implementation of Online
- Appendix 2 Content Validity
- Appendix 3 Original Items on Technology Acceptance Model 3 (TAM3) Questionnaires
- Appendix 4 Correlations
- Appendix 5 Reliability of the Instrument
- Appendix 6 SPSS Output for Student's Perception toward the Implementation of Online
- Appendix 7 Riwayat Hidup
- Appendix 8 Pernyataan Keaslian Penulis

