

**DEVELOPING AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT RUBRIC
IN *MERDEKA* CURRICULUM FOR ASSESSING
10TH GRADE STUDENTS**



By
NI KADEK INTAN SAVITRI
1912021212

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
LANGUAGES AND ARTS FACULTY
GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION
SINGARAJA**

2023

**DEVELOPING AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT RUBRIC IN *MERDEKA*
CURRICULUM FOR ASSESSING 10TH GRADE STUDENTS**

A THESIS

**Had Been Intended for Ganesha University of Education as One of The
Requirement to Repleted a Bachelor Degree in English Language Education
Department**



WRITTEN BY:

NI KADEK INTAN SAVITRI

1912021212

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
LANGUAGES AND ARTS FACULTY
GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION
SINGARAJA**

2023

SUPERVISOR APPROVAL SHEET

Ratification of thesis proposal entitled “**Developing Authentic Assessment Rubric in Merdeka Curriculum for Assessing 10th Grade Students**”

Has been compiled by:

Name : Ni Kadek Intan Savitri
NIM : 1912021212

It has been approved by the supervisor’s lecturers on January 14th, 2023

1st Advisor

2nd Advisor


Prof. Dr. Putu Kerti Nitiasih, M. A.
NIP. 196206261986032002


Luh Gd. Rahayu Budiarta, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 199309192018032001

Lembar Persetujuan Dosen Penguji Skripsi

Skripsi oleh Ni Kadek Intan Savitri ini
telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji
pada tanggal 14 Februari 2023

Dewan Penguji,



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd (Ketua)
NIP. 197609022000031001



Luh Gede Eka Wahyuni, S.Pd., M.Pd (Anggota)
NIP. 198812012015042003



Prof. Dr. Putu Kerti Nitiasih, M.A. (Anggota)
NIP. 196206261986032002



Luh Gd. Rahayu Budiarta, S.Pd., M.Pd (Anggota)
NIP. 199309192018032001

Lembar Persetujuan dan Pengesahan Panitia Ujian Skripsi

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

Guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar Sarjana Pendidikan

Pada:

Hari : Jumat

Tanggal : 17 Februari 2023

Mengetahui,

Ketua Ujian,

Sekretaris Ujian,



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd Dr. I.G.A. Lokita Purnamika Utami, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 197609022000031001 NIP. 198304022006042001

Mengesahkan,

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Prof. Dr. I Made Sutarna, M.Pd
NIP. 19600424198603100

PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis yang berjudul “**Developing Authentic Assessment Rubric in Merdeka Curriculum for Assessing 10th-Grade Students**” disertai keseluruhan isi yang terkandung didalamnya adalah benar-benar karya saya yang telah saya susun sendiri dan tidak melakukan penjiplakan serta pengutipan dengan cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam keilmuan. Melalui pernyataan ini saya siap menerima sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila kemudian ditemukan adanya pelanggaran atas etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini atau terdapat klaim terhadap keaslian karya ini.

Singaraja, 26 Januari 2022
Yang membuat pernyataan.



Ni Kadek Intan Savitri
1912021212



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Through this thesis, the author would like to express the gratitude for the blessing and mercy of Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa. The author was able to finish this thesis entitled **“Developing Authentic Assessment Rubric in *Merdeka* Curriculum for Assessing 10th Grade Students”**

The author also would like to express the greatest gratitude to several people who have given times, energies, loves, motivations, and inputs in completing this thesis:


1. Prof. Dr. Putu Kerti Nitiasih, M.A., as the first supervisor who is very patient in guiding the researcher and team, always providing positive supports, suggestions, broad insight during this thesis preparation process.
2. Luh Gd. Rahayu Budiarta, S.Pd., M.Pd., as the second supervisor who always sincerely takes the time to provide supports and inputs during the thesis preparation process.
3. All lecturers in English Language Education who always give positive vibes, provide knowledge, lovingly guide the author until the end of the learning process in this department.
4. The author’s family and mates who always faithfully accompany and provide supports and motivations.
5. Teachers and students at secondary schools in SMA Negeri 4 Singaraja who have given their willingness to help the author in this research.

The author hopes that the thesis and all the products that successfully developed can be appropriate and effective in the future as well as can improve the learning process quality. The author will be glad in accepting inputs, suggestions, and criticisms in improving the thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS


COVER	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	viii
ABSTRACT	x
ABSTRACT	xi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF CHARTS	xv
LIST OF APPEDIXES	xvi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	xvii
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Identification.....	7
1.3 Limitation of The Study.....	9
1.4 Research Questions.....	9
1.5 Research Objectives.....	9
1.6 The Expected Specification of The Product.....	10
1.7 Research Significance.....	10
1.8 Assumption and the Limitation of the Development.....	12
1.9 Definition of Key Terms.....	12
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.1 Theoretical Review.....	15
2.2 Empirical Reviews.....	37
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	42
3.1 Research Design.....	42
3.2 Research Procedure.....	43
3.3 Subject and Object of The Study.....	44
3.4 Research Setting.....	45
3.5 Methods of Data Collection.....	46
3.6 Instrument of Data Collection.....	49
3.7 Method of Data Analysis.....	52

CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION.....	54
4.1 Findings.....	54
4.2 Discussion.....	82
4.3 Implication.....	87
CHAPTER V CLOSURE.....	89
5.1 Summary.....	89
5.2 Conclusion.....	91
5.3 Suggestions.....	92
REFERENCES.....	94
APPENDICES.....	101




LIST OF TABLES

Table 3. 1. Methods of Data Collection and Instruments of Data.....	46
Table 3. 2. Nurkacana and Sunartana 1992 Formula.....	53
Table 3. 3. Scale Measurement for Assessing Authentic Rubric.....	53
Table 4. 1. Observation Sheet Used in Senior High School 4 Singaraja.....	55
Table 4. 2. English Learning Topics in the Second Semester.....	67
Table 4. 3. Sample Design of The Scoring Rubric.....	74
Table 4. 4. Sample of Final Design Authentic Assessment Rubric.....	78
Table 4. 5. Measurement Nurkacana & Sunartana (1992) Formula.....	80
Table 4. 6. The Score from Expert Judgements.....	82



LIST OF CHARTS

Chart 4. 1. Students Activity in the Class.....	57
Chart 4. 2. The Intensity of Discussion Session Both	57
Chart 4. 3. Q&A Session.....	58
Chart 4. 4. The Intensity of Question-and-Answer Session.....	58
Chart 4. 5. Assessment Techniques Used by Teachers.....	59
Chart 4. 6. Giving Students' Assignments Back.....	60
Chart 4. 7. Intensity of Teacher's in Giving Students Assignment Back.....	60
Chart 4. 8. Feedback Given by the Teacher.....	61
Chart 4. 9. Intensity of A Direct Teacher's Feedback.....	61
Chart 4.10. Intensity of A Written Teacher's Feedback.....	62



LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix 1 Theories for Observation.....	101
Appendix 2 Observation Sheet.....	102
Appendix 3 Theory for Questionnaire.....	103
Appendix 4 Questionnaire.....	105
Appendix 5 Teacher’s Interview Guide Theory	106
Appendix 6 Interview Guide for English Teacher	108
Appendix 7 Objective Learning Flow.....	109
Appendix 8 Learning Module Used by English Teacher	116
Appendix 9 Assessment Rubric Blueprint for 2 nd Semester.....	128
Appendix 10 Authentic Assessment Rubric Product.....	135
Appendix 11 Expert Judgement 1.....	137
Appendix 12 Expert Judgement 2.....	145
Appendix 13 Documentation.....	158

