

**AN ANALYSIS OF AUTHENTIC MATERIALS USED BY
ENGLISH TEACHERS IN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL IN
SINGARAJA**

SKRIPSI

Diajukan kepada

Universitas Pendidikan Ganehsa

Untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan dalam Menyelesaikan

Program Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Oleh

Kadek Artha

NIM 1512021122

PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS

JURUSAN BAHASA ASING

FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA

SINGARAJA

2020

SKRIPSI

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK
MENCAPAI GELAR SARJA PENDIDIKAN**


Menyetujui

Pembimbing I,



Prof. Dr. A. A. I. Ngr. Marhaeni, M.A.
NIP 196403261990032002

Pembimbing II,



Dr. N. L. P. Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP 198104192006042002

Skripsi oleh Kadek Artha
telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji
pada tanggal 11 Februari 2020

Dewan Penguji,

Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 197609022000031001

(Ketua)

Penguji I

Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph. D.
NIP. 196407141988102001

(Anggota)

Penguji II

Prof. Dr. A. A. I. Ngurah Marhaeni, M.A.
NIP 196403261990032002

(Anggota)

Penguji III

Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M. Pd.
NIP 198104192006042002

(Anggota)

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana


Pada:

Hari : Rabu

Tanggal : 12 Februari 2020

Mengetahui,

Ketua Ujian,



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 197609022000031001

Sekretaris Ujian,



Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 19830923 200812 2 001

Mengesahkan
Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Prof. Dr. Made Sutarna, M.Pd
NIP. 196004241986031002

PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa skripsi yang berjudul "**An Analysis of Authentic Materials Used by English Teachers in Senior High School in Singaraja**" beserta isinya adalah benar-benar karya sendiri dan tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan mengutip dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini saya siap menanggung resiko atas sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila ada pada kemudian hari ditemukan adanya pelanggaran atas etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini, atau ada klaim atas keaslian karya saya ini.

Singaraja, 11 Februari 2020


Saya membuat pernyataan,



Kadek Artha
NIM. 1512021122

Motto

*“Do what is right, not what is easy. If it was easy, everyone
would do it”*



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, the writer would like to thank the almighty, *wyapi wyapaka nirwikara*, Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa, for the blessings that this research entitled “An Analysis of Authentic Materials Used by English Teachers in Senior High School in Singaraja” could be finished in time.

The writer would also thank several parties who always give support and help in the making process of this research:

1. Prof. Dr. A. A. I. Ngr. Marhaeni, M.A. as the first supervisor, who had kindly offered help, guidance, and spared her time to help the writer in her busy times. She showed the writer that not all lecturers are like those the society has said. Therefore, gratitude alone is not enough to express how thankful the writer for her support.
2. Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the second supervisor who is equally important as the first supervisor, because the writer needed two supervisors to finish this research. She also showed the writer that there are still several lecturers who are willing to help their pupils with a smile and a kind heart.
3. Luh Gde Rahayu Budiarta, S.Pd., M.Pd. a kind-hearted lecturer who had helped the writer in gathering the data so it could go smoothly.
4. All lecturers in English Language Education at Ganesha University of Education who had supported and given knowledge to the writer during the past four years.
5. To all my family who always supported me even since the writer had not conducted the study yet. Thank you for my parents, Putu Anada and Kadek Sah Negara who have become parents who always ask about the writer’s on ‘KAPAN WISUDA?’ so the writer did feel pressured while working on this research.
6. The writer would like to thank Ketut Hari Rameswara a.k.a Hardog, Komang Popy Sandra a.k.a Jo, Putu Nita Handayani a.k.a Nita, Ketut Putra Pradnyana

a.k.a Nyamprut or Putra Anarki and Nyoman Wina Sandria a.k.a Zandria who always give their endless support to me.

Lastly, the writer is aware that this thesis is far from being perfect, therefore the writer is open to any constructive suggestions and comments to help to make this thesis into a better one, and hopefully this thesis becomes useful for everyone who read this.

Singaraja,

Kadek Artha



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	viii
ABSTRACT	x
TABLE OF CONTENT	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
CHAPTER I	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Research Problem	5
1.3 Research Objective	6
1.4 Research Significance	6
1.5 Scope of Study	7
1.6 Definition of Key Term	7
CHAPTER II	9
LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Theoretical Review	9
2.1.1 Nature of Authentic Materials	9
2.1.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Authentic Materials	12
2.1.3 Selecting Authentic Materials	15
2.2 Empirical Review	17
CHAPTER III	21
METHOD	21
3.1 Design of the Research	21
3.2 Location of the Research	22

3.3 Participant of the Research	22
3.4 Method of Data Collection	22
3.5 Instrument of the Research	24
3.6 Procedure of Research	28
3.7 Method of Data Analysis	30
3.8 Triangulation of the Data	31
3.9 Reliability of the Data	33
CHAPTER IV	36
FINDING AND DISCUSSION	36
4.1 Finding	36
4.1.1 The Result of the Analysis of Materials Used by English Teachers	36
4.1.2 The Result of the Interview about the Criteria or Consideration Used by English Teachers in Selecting Authentic Materials	50
4.2 Discussion	53
4.2.1 The Authenticity Level of Materials Used by English Teachers	53
4.2.2 The Criteria or Consideration Used by English Teachers in Selecting Authentic Materials	56
4.3 Implication	60
CHAPTER V	62
CONCLUSION	62
5.1 Summary	62
5.1 Conclusion	62
5.1 Suggestion	63
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Document Analysis Rubric	25
Table 3.2 Score-Category of Authentic Material	27
Table 3.3 The Qualification of the Reliability Coefficient	34
Table 3.4 Reliability of the Data in Document Analysis Rubric	34
Table 4.1 Data Tabulation.....	38

