

**THE APPLICATION OF LINGUISTIC LANDSCAPE
TECHNIQUE THROUGH PROJECT-BASED
LEARNING ON 7TH GRADE STUDENT'S WRITING
SKILLS AT SMP PGRI 2 DENPASAR**

SKRIPSI

Diajukan kepada

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

Untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan dalam Menyelesaikan

Program Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Oleh:

Madhu Sri Devi

NIM 2012021034

PROGRAM PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS

JURUSAN PENDIDIKAN BAHASA ASING

FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA

SINGARAJA

2024

SKRIPSI

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK
MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

Menyetujui,

Pembimbing I,



Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A.
NIP. 196202021988032001

Pembimbing II,



Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D
NIP. 196407141988102001

Lembar Persetujuan Dosen Penguji Skripsi

Skripsi oleh Madhu Sri Devi ini telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji pada tanggal 5 Februari 2024.

Dewan Penguji,



Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd. M.Pd (Ketua)

NIP. 198104192006042002



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd. (Anggota)

NIP. 198309232008122001



Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A (Anggota)

NIP. 196202021988032001



Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. (Anggota)

NIP. 196407141988102001

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan

Pada:

Hari : Jumat

Tanggal : 16 Februari 2024


Menyetujui,

Ketua Ujian,



Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 198104192006042002

Sekretaris Ujian,



Prof. Dr. I G A Lokita Purnamika Utami, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 19830402206042001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Drs. I Gede Nurjaya, M.Pd
NIP. 196503201990031002

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis yang berjudul **“THE APPLICATION OF LINGUISTIC LANDSCAPE TECHNIQUE THROUGH PROJECT-BASED LEARNING ON 7TH GRADE STUDENTS’ WRITING SKILLS AT SMP PGRI 2 DENPASAR”** beserta seluruh isinya, merupakan benar-benar karya sendiri dan tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan mengutip dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila kemudian hari ditemukannya pelanggaran atas etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini, atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya tulis ini.

Singaraja, 5 Februari 2024

Yang membuat Pernyataan,

A 10000 Indonesian postage stamp is shown, featuring the Garuda Pancasila emblem and the text '10000', 'METRAL', and 'TEMPER'. A handwritten signature in black ink is written over the stamp. The stamp's serial number 'D76A7AKX706148109' is visible at the bottom.

Madhu Sri Devi
NIM. 2012021034

DEDICATIONS

This thesis is highly dedicated to the following:

Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa

My Parents:

I Wayan Sura S,Pd and Ni Wayan Mahartini

My Sibling:

Vidhi Uttamam Anugrahita

My Examiner and Supervisors:


Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd

Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A.

Prof. Dr. Luh Putu Artini, M.A.Ph.D.


My Great Friend and team:

B Class ELE 2024



"The best way to predict the future is to create it."

- Peter Drucker



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, the author would like to say thanks to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa, for all the blessings that have been given to the author to finish a research paper entitled *"The Application of Linguistic Landscape Technique Through Project-Based Learning On 7TH Grade Student's Writing Skills at SMP PGRI 2 Denpasar."*

The realization of this thesis owes much to the motivation, support, and valuable suggestions received from various individuals and institutions. The author wishes to express heartfelt thanks and appreciation to all who contributed to this endeavor. Special recognition is extended to:

1. **Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A.**, as the first supervisor, for providing invaluable input, suggestions, support, helpful advice, and continuous guidance that significantly assisted in the successful completion of this research.
2. **Prof. Dr. Luh Putu Artini, M.A.Ph.D.**, the second supervisor, kindly gave valuable support, advice, suggestions, and care during the completion of this research.
3. All lecturers in English Language Education, whose wealth of knowledge and experience over the years played a crucial role in guiding the author through the thesis-writing process.
4. The principal and staff of SMP PGRI 2 Denpasar, whose permission, information, and hospitality greatly facilitated the data collection process.

5. The Grade 7 teacher at PGRI Denpasar Middle School's invaluable help and contributions significantly enhanced the data collection process.
6. The author's beloved parents and older siblings, whose unwavering prayers and support have been a constant strength throughout life's journey.
7. Writer friends, including the Kesayangan squad (Anom Cynthia Maheswari, Kadek Dwi Jatiningrum, I Gusti Ayu Riska Dwi Puspita, Ni Made Meita Pradnyadarim, Nyoman Audyta Candra Dewi) and EJJI squad (Wira Laksamana, Ni Made Manissa, Nafsiyah,), whose camaraderie and support have been instrumental in the author's academic pursuits.

The author is fully aware that what is presented in this thesis is still far from perfect because of the limitations of the author's abilities. Hence, the author expects all constructive criticism and suggestions from various parties to be highly appreciated and expected for this research. The author hopes that this thesis can be helpful for the development of the English language teaching-learning process.

Singaraja, February 5th, 2024

Madhu Sri Devi

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF GRAPHIC	ix
LIST OF FORMULA	x
LIST OF APPENDIXS	xi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Identification	7
1.3 Limitations of The Study	8
1.4 Statement of Research Question(s)	8
1.5 Objective(s) of The Study	8
1.6 Significance Of the Study.....	9
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Theoretical Review	11
2.1.1 Project-Based Learning (PjBL)	11
2.1.2 Linguistic Landscape	16
2.1.3 The Benefit of Using Linguistic Landscape	18
2.1.4 Steps of Teaching Using Linguistic Landscape	20
2.1.5 Communication Skill	20
2.1.6 Writing Skills	22
2.1.7 Perception	23
2.2 Empirical Review	26
2.3 Research Hypothesis	31

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	32
3.1 Design of the Study	32
3.2 Setting of the Study	33
3.3 Population and Sample	34
3.4 Research Variables.....	35
3.5 Instruments of Data Collection.....	36
3.6 Procedure of Data Collection	47
3.7 Validity and Reliability Test	48
3.8 Data Analysis Method and Technique	61
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION.....	69
4.1 Findings	69
4.1.2 The Effects of The Linguistic Landscape Technique Implementation Through Project-Based Learning Through Project-Based Learning.....	72
4.1.2.1 Inferential Statistics	78
4.1.2.2 Effect Size.....	81
4.1.3 Teacher Perception Toward the Application of Linguistic Landscape Technique through Project-based Learning	84
4.1.3.1 Teacher Responses Questionnaire.....	84
4.1.3.2 Teacher Response for Interview.....	92
4.2 Discussion	98
4.3 Implication.....	102
CHAPTER IV CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION.....	101
5.1 Summary	101
5.2 Conclusion.....	102
5.3 Suggestion	103
5.3.1 For Other Teachers.....	103
5.3.2 For Other Students.....	104
5.3.3 For Other Researchers	104
REFERENCES.....	106
APPENDIX.....	111

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3. 1 Diagram of One Group Pre-test Post-test design	33
Table 3. 2 Population Table Number.....	34
Table 3. 3 Instruments of Data Collection	36
Table 3. 4 Blue Print Pre-test and Post-test.....	38
Table 3. 5 Blueprint Questionnaire	41
Table 3. 6 Blueprint Interview	45
Table 3. 7 Tabulation of Content Validity	50
Table 3. 8 Level of Content Validity	51
Table 3. 9 The Result of Content Validity for the Instruments (Test)	51
Table 3. 10 Scoring Rubric for Writing Skill.....	52
Table 3. 11 Interpretation of Cronbach's Alpha	55
Table 3. 12 The Result of Reliability Test.....	55
Table 3. 13 The Result of Content Validity for the Instruments.....	56
Table 3. 14 Blueprint Questionnaire	57
Table 3. 15 The Result of Questionnaires Reliability	60
Table 3. 16 Questionnaire Reliability Classification.....	61
Table 4. 1 Pre-test and Post-test Score	72
Table 4. 2 Experimental Pre-test and Post-test Statistics	75
Table 4. 3 Test of Normality.....	79
Table 4. 4 Table of Homogeneity	80
Table 4. 5 Paired T-Test.....	81
Table 4. 6 Effect Size Score	82
Table 4. 7 Teacher Questionnaires Responses	84
Table 4. 8 Teacher Response for Interview Respondent's Details	93


LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3. 1 The Factors of Perception	40
Figure 4.1 Poster Result	69
Figure 4.2 Poster Result	70
Figure 4.3 Poster Result	70



LIST OF GRAPHIC

Graph 4. 1 Graphics Inferential Statistic.....	77
Graph 4. 2 Questionnaire Response number 1	85
Graph 4. 3 Questionnaire Response number 2.....	86
Graph 4. 4 Questionnaire Response number 3.....	87
Graph 4. 5 Questionnaire Responses number 4	87
Graph 4. 6 Questionnaire Response number 5.....	88
Graph 4. 7 Questionnaire Responses number 6	89
Graph 4. 8 Questionnaire Responses number 7	90
Graph 4. 9 Questionnaire Response number 8.....	90
Graph 4. 10 Questionnaire Responses number 9	91



LIST OF FORMULA

Formula 3. 1 Gregory's Formula.....	50
Formula 3. 2 Normality Formula.....	64
Formula 3. 3 Homogeneity Formula.....	64
Formula 3. 4 Qualification in Determining Hypothesis.....	65
Formula 3. 5 The Paired T-test Formula	66
Formula 3. 6 Cohen's Effect Size Formula.....	66



LIST OF APPENDIX

APPENDIX 1. Letter of Acceptance.....	112
APPENDIX 2. Sample of Students.....	114
APPENDIX 3. Lesson Plans.....	116
APPENDIX 4. Expert Judgments.....	128
APPENDIX 5. Validity and Reliability Instruments.....	132
APPENDIX 6. Results.....	144
APPENDIX 7. Data Analysis.....	148
APPENDIX 8. Documentations.....	152

