

THE QUALITY OF TEACHER-MADE MULTIPLE-
CHOICE TEST USED AS SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT
FOR ENGLISH SUBJECT AT SMPN 6 SINGARAJA



By
Ni Putu Liana Santy
NIM. 1612021024

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND ART
GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION**

2020

**THE QUALITY OF TEACHER-MADE MULTIPLE-
CHOICE TEST USED AS SUMMATIVE
ASSESSMENT FOR ENGLISH SUBJECT
AT SMPN 6 SINGARAJA**

SKRIPSI

Diajukan kepada

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

**Untuk Mmemnuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan dalam Menyelesaikan
Program Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris**

Oleh

Ni Putu Liana Santy

NIM 1612021024

PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS

JURUSAN BAHASA ASING

FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA

SINGARAJA

2020

SKRIPSI
DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK
MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN



Menyetujui,

Pembimbing I,


Pembimbing II,

Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198104192006042002

A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198806222014041001

Skripsi oleh Ni Putu Liana Santy ini telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji pada tanggal 27 Mei 2020


Dewan Penguji,



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 197609022000031001

(Ketua)


Penguji I,



Kadek Sintya Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198803232015042004

(Anggota)


Penguji II,



Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198104192006042002

(Anggota)

Penguji III,



A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198806222014041001

(Anggota)

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan

Pada


Hari : Selasa

Tanggal : 30 Juni 2020


Mengetahui

Ketua Ujian,

Sekretaris Ujian



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 197609022000031001



Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198309232008122001


Mengesahkan
Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Prof. Dr. I Made Sutarna, M.Pd.
NIP. 196004241986031002


PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis ini yang berjudul “**THE QUALITY OF TEACHER-MADE MULTIPLE-CHOICE TEST USED AS SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT FOR ENGLISH SUBJECT AT SMPN 6 SINGARAJA**” beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar karya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung resiko/sanksi yang dijatukan kepada saya atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.



MOTTO

**WHEN I DON'T HAVE ANY LUCK AND
CLEVERNESS, DOING MY BEST IS THE ONLY
ONE THAT I CAN DO**



DEDICATIONS

This thesis is highly dedicated to:

Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa

My parents and sibling


I Nyoman Wasada, Ni Luh Suartini, I Kadek Surya Adi Nata

My lecturers,

Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, Kadek Sintya Dewi, Luh Gede Eka Wahyuni, and all ELE's lecturers.

My mates

Michael Pratama H.S, Power Rangers, SG Milenial, ACELE



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, the author wants to express her sincere gratitude to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa, for all of the blessings in finishing this thesis entitled “The Quality of Teacher-Made Multiple-Choice Test used as Summative Assessment for English Subject at SMPN 6 Singaraja”.

The author would like to extend her gratitude and appreciation toward the people around her, who helped to bring this study into reality. Therefore, the writer would like to say thanks and give the highest recognition to:

1. My family, who always supports me and gives me endless prayers.
2. Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd., as the first supervisor and A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd., as the second supervisor who have given valuable time, support, suggestions, advices, and supervision along the completion of this thesis.
3. All of ELE’s lectures, who have given meaningful suggestions in completing this thesis and lots of love, care, and supports for 4 years.
4. The English teachers who teach in SMPN 6 Singaraja and involved in this thesis by giving their valuable time while collecting the data.
5. The author’s mates, organization mates, and seniors in ELE.

The author believes that this study still far away from perfection. Therefore, constructive criticism and suggestions are highly appreciated and expected for this study.


Singaraja, May 27th, 2020

The Author

TABLE OF CONTENTS


HALAMAN JUDUL.....	ii
LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN.....	iii
LEMBAR PENGESAHAN PENGUJI	iv
PERNYATAAN.....	vi
MOTTO.....	vii
DEDICATION	viii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	ix
ABSTRACT.....	x
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	xii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xv
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xvi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Identification.....	5
1.3 Study Limitation.....	6
1.4 Research Question.....	6
1.5 Research Objectives.....	6
1.6 Significance of Study.....	7
1.7 Definition of Key Term.....	8
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
2.1 Theoretical Review.....	10
2.1.1 Assessment.....	10
2.1.2 Assessment in Curriculum 2013.....	14
2.1.3 The Nature of Test.....	16
2.1.4 Multiple-Choice Test.....	19
2.1.5 The Criteria of a Good Multiple-Choice Test.....	21
2.2 Empirical Review.....	24
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	
3.3 Research Design.....	30

3.4 Setting of Study	31
3.5 The Subject of Study	31
3.6 The Object of Study	31
3.7 Operational Definition of Study Variables.....	32
3.8 Research Procedure	33
3.9 Method and Instrument of Data Collection.....	37
3.10 Method and Technique of Data Analysis	43
CHAPTER IV FINDING AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Findings	48
4.2 Discussion	72
4.3 Implication.....	77
CHAPTER V CLOSURE	
5.1 Summary	79
5.2 Conclusion.....	80
5.3 Suggestion.....	81
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	



LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Method and Instrument of Data Collection.....	37
Table 3.2 Blueprint of Analysis Form	39
Table 3.3 Data Categorization Formula	43
Table 3.4 Categorizations of Teacher-Made Multiple-Choice Test.....	44
Table 4.1 The Percentages of the Norms Fulfilled by Items in Grades VII, VIII, IX	48
Table 4.2 The Percentages of Fulfilled Norms	58
Table 4.3 The Criteria of Test Quality	63
Table 4.4 The Judgement of the Items in Grade VII, VIII, IX	64



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 The Systematic Process of Assessment, Evaluation, and Decision-Making.....	11
Figure 3.1 Overview Process of Study.....	33
Figure 3.2 Example of Checklist Analysis Form.....	42



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Surat Penelitian

Appendix 2 Blueprint

Appendix 3 Expert Judgement

Appendix 4 Instrument of Study

Appendix 5 The Multiple-Choice Tests

Appendix 6 The Results of Analysis

Appendix 6.1 Checklist Analysis and Note

Appendix 6.2 Percentages of Norms Fulfilled and Unfulfilled

Appendix 6.3 Graphic of The Congruity

Appendix 6.4 The Quality Level of the Items

Appendix 6.5 Interview Transcription

