

**THE ANALYSIS OF THE QUALITY OF TEACHER-  
MADE MULTIPLE-CHOICE TESTS USED AS  
SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT FOR ENGLISH SUBJECT  
AT SMP LABORATORIUM UNIVERSITAS  
PENDIDIKAN GANESHA**

**SKRIPSI**

**Diajukan kepada Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha  
Untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan dalam Menyelesaikan Program  
Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris**



**Oleh  
Ni Wayan Vina Krisna Yanti  
NIM 1612021200**

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS  
FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI  
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA SINGARAJA**


**2020**


**SKRIPSI**  
**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS**  
**DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK**  
**MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

Menyetujui,

Pembimbing I,

Pembimbing II,

  
A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
198806222014041001

  
Luh Gede Eka Wahyuni, S.Pd., M.Pd., NIP.  
NIP. 198812012015042003

Skripsi oleh Ni Wayan Vina Krisna Yanti ini telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji  
pada tanggal 19 Mei 2020  
Dewan Penguji,



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 197609022000031001

(Ketua)

Penguji I,



Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 198104192006042002

(Anggota)


Penguji II,



A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 198806222014041001

(Anggota)

Penguji III,



Luh Gede Eka Wahyuni, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 198812012015042003

(Anggota)

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni  
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha  
guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan

Pada

Hari : Selasa

Tanggal : 19 Mei 2020

Mengetahui

Ketua Ujian,



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 197609022000031001


Sekretaris Ujian



Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 198309232008122001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Prof. Dr. I Made Sutarna, M.Pd.  
NIP. 196004241986031002

## PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis ini yang berjudul “**THE ANALYSIS OF THE QUALITY OF TEACHER-MADE MULTIPLE-CHOICE TEST USED AS SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT FOR ENGLISH SUBJECT AT SMP LABORATORIUM UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA**” beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar karya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung risiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.




Singaraja, 10 Juli 2020

Ni Wayan Vina Krisna Yanti

NIM. 1612021200

## MOTTO

**“PURE LUCK NEVER EXISTS. IT’S THE WORK OF PREPAREDNESS,  
PASSION, DEDICATION, AND OPPORTUNITY.”**



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express her sincere gratitude to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa, for all his never ending blessings so that this thesis entitled “The Analysis of the Quality of Teacher-Made Multiple-Choice Tests Used as Summative Assessment for English Subject at SMP Laboratorium Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha” could be completed.

I would also like to express her appreciation toward many people who have contributed in the process of finishing the thesis. Thus, I would like to thank and give the highest appreciation to:

1. My mother, who always supports me and accompanies me with endless prayers,
2. My uncle and aunt, who have selflessly supported me financially and took care of me as their own daughter,
3. A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd., as my first supervisor and Luh Gede Eka Wahyuni, S.Pd., M.Pd., as my second supervisor who have given valuable support, suggestion, patience, time, and supervision throughout the completion of the thesis,
4. All of ELE’s lecturers, who have given meaningful suggestion and knowledge during my 4-year education in Ganesha University of Education,
5. English teachers at SMP Laboratorium Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, who patiently cooperated for the completion of this thesis,
6. Thesis partners and friends, who have willingly supported and motivated me during the process of writing this thesis.

I believe that the thesis is still far from perfection. Therefore, constructive criticism and suggestions for this study are highly expected and appreciated.

Singaraja, 10 Juli 2020



Ni Wayan Vina Krisna Yanti

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |      |
|--|------|
| LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN DOSEN PEMBIMBING.....                       | iii  |
| LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN DOSEN PENGUJI SKRIPSI .....                 | iv   |
| LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN DAN PENGESAHAN PANITIA UJIAN SKRIPSI .....  | v    |
| LEMBAR PERNYATAAN .....  | vi   |
| MOTTO.....   | vii  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....   | viii |
| ABSTRACT .....   | ix   |
| TABLE OF CONTENT .....   | xi   |
| LIST OF TABLES .....   | xiii |
| LIST OF APPENDIXES.....  | xiv  |
| CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION.....                                   | 1    |
| 1.1 Background of the Study.....                               | 1    |
| 1.2 Identification of the Study .....                          | 7    |
| 1.3 Limitation of the Study .....                              | 8    |
| 1.4 Statements of the Problem.....                             | 8    |
| 1.5 Purpose of the Study .....                                 | 8    |
| 1.6 Significance of the Study .....                            | 9    |
| CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW.....                             | 11   |
| 2.1 Theoretical Review .....                                   | 11   |
| 2.2 Empirical Review .....                                     | 22   |
| CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD.....                              | 26   |
| 3.1 Design of the Study.....                                   | 26   |
| 3.2 Setting of the Study.....                                  | 26   |
| 3.3 Subject of the Study .....                                 | 27   |
| 3.4 Object of the Problem.....                                 | 27   |
| 3.5 Operational Definitions of Study Variables .....           | 27   |
| 3.6 Method and Instrument of Data Collection.....              | 28   |
| 3.7 Method and Technique of Data Analysis .....                | 30   |
| CHAPTER IV: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION .....                      | 32   |
| 4.1 The Quality of the Teacher-Made Multiple-Choice Tests..... | 32   |
| 4.2 Implication .....  | 58   |




|                         |    |
|-------------------------|----|
| CHAPTER V: CLOSURE..... | 59 |
| 5.1 Summary .....       | 59 |
| 5.2 Conclusion.....     | 60 |
| 5.3 Suggestion .....    | 60 |
| REFERENCES .....        | 62 |
| APPENDIXES.....         | 67 |



## LIST OF TABLES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 3.1. Method and Instrument of Data Collection.....                        | 28 |
| Table 3.2. Data Classification Formula.....                                     | 30 |
| Table 3.3. Classifications of the Teacher-made MCTs Quality.....                | 31 |
| Table 4.1. The Congruity Percentage of the Teacher-Made MCTs and the Norms..... | 32 |
| Table 4.2. The Teacher-Made MCTs All Grades' Norms Fulfilling Percentage.....   | 42 |
| Table 4.3. The Classification of the MCTs Quality.....                          | 46 |
| Table 4.4. The Items Judgment.....  | 47 |



## LIST OF APPENDIXES

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Appendix 1. Expert Judgement Sheet .....                | 68  |
| Appendix 2. Instrument Blueprint .....                  | 72  |
| Appendix 3. The Teacher-Made Multiple-Choice Tests..... | 73  |
| Appendix 4. The Tests' Key Answers.....                 | 92  |
| Appendix 5. Checklist Analysis Form .....               | 93  |
| Appendix 6. Interview Guide .....                       | 99  |
| Appendix 7. Interview Transcript .....                  | 101 |

