

**THE EFFECT OF DEBATE
TECHNIQUE TOWARDS THE
ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS'
SPEAKING COMPETENCY IN SMA
SARASWATI SERIRIT**



JURUSAN PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS

FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA

SINGARAJA

2020

**THE EFFECT OF DEBATE TECHNIQUE
TOWARDS THE ELEVENTH GRADE
STUDENTS' SPEAKING COMPETENCY
IN SMA SARASWATI SERIRIT**

SKRIPSI



**JURUSAN PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS
FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA
SINGARAJA
2020**

SKRIPSI

DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK
MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA

Menyetujui

Pembimbing 1



Prof. Dr. Ni Made Ratminingsih, M.A.

NIP. 196609081991022002

Pembimbing 2



Dewa Ayu Eka Agustini, S.Pd., M.S.

NIP. 198108142009122002


Skripsi oleh Putu Shela Widiawati ini
telah dipertahankan di depan penguji
pada tanggal 17/06/20

Dewan Penguji



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 197910232003121001

(Ketua)



Ida Ayu Made Istri Utami, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198709172015042002

(Anggota)



Prof. Dr. Ni Made Ratminingsih, M.A.
NIP. 196609081991022002

(Anggota)



Dewa Ayu Eka Agustini, S.Pd., M.S.
NIP. 198108142009122002

(Anggota)

Diterima oleh panitia ujian fakultas Bahasa dan seni
Universitas pendidikan ganesha
Guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan

Pada :

Hari : Selasa
Tanggal : 30 Juni 2020

Menyetujui

Ketua Ujian

Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP.197910232003121001

Sekertaris Ujian

Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd.,M.Pd.

NIP.198309232008122001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa Dan Seni



Prof Dr. I Made Sutama, M.Pd.

NIP.196004241986031002

PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis yang berjudul "**The Effect of Debate Technique Towards The Eleventh Grade Students' Speaking Competency in SMA Saraswati Seririt**" beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar-benar karya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung resiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila kemudian ditemukan adanya pelanggaran atas etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Singaraja, 17 Juni 2020

Yang membuat Pernyataan,



Putu Shela Widiawati

NIM. 1412021139



“Fall Seven Times, Stand Up Eight”

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, the researcher would like to express her highest gratitude to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa, for his blessing so that this thesis entitled “The Effect of Debate Technique Towards The Students’ Speaking Competency in SMA Saraswati Seririt” could be accomplished in time. The researcher also would like to give a great appreciation for those who gave invaluable assistance, motivation, support, time, guidance and suggestion during the accomplishment of this thesis.

The deepest gratitude goes to Prof. Dr. Ni Made Ratminingsih, MA. as the first supervisor who had kindly given valuable guidance, inspiring ideas, advices, patience, as well as countless time and motivations that help the researcher a lot in finishing this thesis. Another gratitude goes to Dewa Ayu Eka Agustini, S.Pd., MS. as the second supervisor for the helpful advices, feedbacks, as well as great motivations in helping the researcher a lot in finishing this thesis.

Great thanks are given to Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A. as the academic supervisor and all lecturers of English Language Education for giving the researcher precious knowledge, experiences, advices, suggestions and motivations during the past four years.


Appreciation and gratefulness are expressed to Drs. Nyoman Arjana, M.Pd as the headmaster of SMA Saraswati Seririt who had given permission, information, hospitality and kindness to the researcher in the process of collecting data. The gratefulness is also expressed to the English teacher at SMA Saraswati Seririt, Ida Ayu Novia Ari Swandewi, S.Pd and the eleventh-grade students of SMA Saraswati Seririt especially class XI IPS 2 and XI IPS4. Thank you for being cooperative and helpful.

Further, the researcher would like to express her sincere gratitude to her lovely parent, Putu Sunadia and Putu Wiwin Supandeni, M.Pd, whose loves are everlasting and whose prayers are always with the researcher. Thank you for the emotional and financial supports, never ending motivations and patience for the

researcher.

Special thanks are dedicated to the researcher's beloved siblings Ratih and Raditya, her lovely boyfriend Ivansyah and her forever besties; Suparma, Elisabeth, Peni, Ilham, Saras, Wahyu, Kevin and Sudiba. Thank you for always motivate, hold the researcher's hands and get the researcher's back during the hard times in accomplishing this thesis. Thanks for the laugh, unforgettable experiences, and togetherness that we have been through over the past few years.

Finally, the researcher also dedicates many thanks to all people that the researcher cannot mention one by one. The researcher hopes that this thesis can be useful and beneficial for the development of English language teaching-learning process. The researcher really appreciates any constructive criticism, suggestion and feedback which are needed for the improvement of this thesis. Thank you, May God always be with Us.



Singaraja, 17th June 2020

Putu Shela Widiawati

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	ix

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Identification.....	3
1.3 Statement of Problem	3
1.4 Purpose of the Study.....	4
1.5 Scope of the Study.....	4
1.6 Significance of Study	4
1. 6.1 Theoretical Significance.....	4
1.6.2 Practical Significance	5

CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review	6
2.1.1 The Nature of Speaking.....	6
2.1.2 The Criteria of Speaking	7
2.1.3 Teaching Speaking	9

2.1.4 Speaking Competency.....	11
2.1.5 The Definition of Debate	13
2.1.6 Forms of Debate	14
2.1.7 Component of Debate	20
2.1.8 Procedure of Doing Debate	22
2.1.9 Conventional Teaching Technique	24

2.2 Empirical Review	27
----------------------------	----

2.3 Research Hypothesis.....	31
------------------------------	----

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD

3.1 Research Design	32
---------------------------	----

3.2 Research Subject Population and Sample.....	33
---	----

3.2.1 Research Population.....	33
--------------------------------	----

3.2.2 Research Sample	34
-----------------------------	----

3.3 Research Variable	34
-----------------------------	----

3.3.1 Independent Variable	35
----------------------------------	----

3.3.2 Dependent Variable.....	35
-------------------------------	----

3.4 Data Collection Method	35
----------------------------------	----

3.4.1 Research Instruments.....	35
---------------------------------	----

3.4.1.1 Speaking Test	35
-----------------------------	----

3.4.1.2 Speaking Scoring Rubric	36
---------------------------------------	----

3.4.1.3 Lesson Plan	36
3.5 Instruments Validation	36
3.5.1 Validity Test	36
3.5.2 Reliability Test	37
3.6 Data Collection Procedure	38
3.7 Data Analysis Method and Technique.....	39
3.7.1 Descriptive Statistics Analysis.....	39
3.7.2 Inferential Statistics Analysis	41
3.8 Statistical Hypothesis	44
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Research Findings	45
4.1.1 Normality Test after Treatment	45
4.1.2 Homogeneity Test after Treatment.....	46
4.1.3 Descriptive Analysis.....	47
4.1.3.1	
4.1.4 Inferential Analysis	51
4.1.4.1 Test of Normal Distribution	51
4.1.4.2 Test of Homogeneity	52
4.1.4.3 Hypothesis Testing	53
4.2 Discussion	55
4.3 Research Implication	60

CHAPTER V CLOSURE

5.1 Summary	62
5.2 Conclusion.....	63
5.3 Suggestions.....	64


REFERENCE	65
------------------------	-----------

APPENDICES	66
-------------------------	-----------



LIST OF TABLE

Table 2.1. The Difference between Conventional & Innovative Teaching Technique	25
Table 3.1. Post-test only control group	33
Table 3.2. Distribution of Population	34
Table 3.3. Content Validity Statistic	37
Table 3.4 Reliability Statistic	38
Table 4.1 The Result of Normality Test before Treatment	46
Table 4.2 The Result of Homogeneity Test before Treatment.....	47
Table 4.3 Case Processing Summary	47
Table 4.4 Result of Descriptive Analysis.....	48
Table 4.5 The Result of Normality Test after Treatment	51
Table 4.6 The Result of Homogeneity after Treatment.....	53
Table 4.7 The Result of T-test	54



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Attachment Letter	72
Appendix 2 Research Schedule	73
Appendix 3 Name of the Students.....	74
Appendix 4 Speaking Test (Post-Test).....	76
Appendix 5 Speaking Scoring Rubric	78
Appendix 6 Lesson Plan for Experimental Group	80
Appendix 7 Lesson Plan for Control Group.....	107
Appendix 8 Students' Score before Treatment	133
Appendix 9 Content Validity	135
Appendix 10 Reliability of the Instruments	138
Appendix 11 Students' Speaking Score in Post Test	140
Appendix 12 Result of Normality before Treatment.....	142
Appendix 13 Result of Homogeneity before Treatment	143
Appendix 14 Result of Descriptive Analysis (Control Group)	144
Appendix 15 Result of Descriptive Analysis (Experimental Group)	145
Appendix 16 Result of Normality after Treatment.....	146
Appendix 17 Result of Homogeneity after Treatment	147
Appendix 18 Result of t-Test	148
Appendix 19 Documentation during Treatment.....	149