

**STUDENTS' PERCEPTION ABOUT A GOOD
ENGLISH TEACHER: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS
BASED ON STUDY MAJOR AND LEVEL OF
EDUCATION**

THESIS

By

**Ni Komang Ayu Antini
NIM 2329081007**



**UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA
POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM
ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
2025**

**STUDENTS' PERCEPTION ABOUT A GOOD
ENGLISH TEACHER: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS
BASED ON STUDY MAJOR AND LEVEL OF
EDUCATION**

THESIS

Presented to

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements


For Master Degree Education

English Language Education Study Program

by:

Ni Komang Ayu Antini

NIM 2329081007



UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA

PASCASARJANA

PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS

2025

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PEMBIMBING

Tesis oleh Ni Komang Ayu Antini ini telah diperiksa dan disetujui untuk Mengikuti Ujian Tesis.

Singaraja, 15 Juli 2025
Pembimbing I



Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A.
NIP. 196202021988032001

Pembimbing II



Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D.
NIP. 196407141988102001



This thesis by Ni Komang Ayu Antini has been successfully defended in front of the board of Examiners and accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master in English Education, Postgraduate Study Program, Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.

Singaraja, Juli 2025

By
Board of Examiners;


....., **Chairman** (Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.)
NIP. 197609022000031001


....., **Member** (Prof. Dr. Ni Made Ratminingsih, M.A.)
NIP. 196609081991022002


....., **Member** (Dr. Ni Putu Era Marsakawati, S.Pd., M.Pd.)
NIP. 198303142008122002


....., **Member** (Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A.)
NIP. 196202021988032001


....., **Member** (Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D.)
NIP. 196407141988102001

Acknowledged by
Director of Postgraduate
Program Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha,

Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Jampel, M.Pd.
NIP 195910101986031003

STATEMENT LETTER

I hereby declare that this post-graduate thesis in education is written as the partial requirement for the degree of master in Education in my work. All the information in this thesis was derived from the work of others and was cited by citing the source author's names according to the academic norms, rules, and ethics. If it is discovered in the future that a portion or the whole thesis is not my original work or that there is a case of plagiarism, I will willingly accept the consequences of my academic title withdrawal and other sanctions as postulated by Indonesian National Law.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise and gratitude are offered to God Almighty for His grace, which allows the thesis, entitled “Students’ Perception About A Good English Teacher: A Comparative Analysis Based On Study Major and Level of Education,” to be completed as planned. This thesis was written to fulfill one of the requirements for obtaining a Master of Education degree from Ganesha University of Education in the English Language Education Study Program. Completing this thesis has received much help from various parties. Therefore, allow the author to express gratitude and appreciation to the following parties.


1. Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A., as the first supervisor who has patiently guided, directed, and provided such meaningful motivation, so that the author was able to overcome various obstacles in the course of study and completion of this thesis;
2. Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D, as the second supervisor, who with her unique style and communication pattern, has spurred the author's spirit, motivation, and hope during the research and writing of this thesis report manuscript, so that this thesis can be realized well according to expectations; Prof. Dr. Ni Made Ratminingsih, M.A, and Dr. Ni Putu Era Marsakawati, S.Pd., M.Pd, as examiners who have provided many useful inputs for the improvement of this thesis;
3. The Rector of Mahasarwati University, Denpasar, Dr. Drs. I Made Sukamerta, M.Pd., as a research partner at the research location, who has helped the author a lot in conducting the research;
4. The students of Semesters 1 and 7 at Mahasarwati University who have been involved in many research subjects;
5. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Prof. Dr. Drs. I Nyoman Suparsa, M.S, and the Vice Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Dr. I Made Dharma Atmaja, S.Pd., M.Pd. Vice Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, who permitted the author to conduct research at Mahasarwati University, who has given permission and

administrative assistance so that the author has the opportunity to continue his studies and complete this thesis.

6. The Coordinator of the English Language Education Study Program and teaching staff who have helped and motivated the author a lot during the preparation of this thesis; The Director of Postgraduate Undiksha and staff, who have helped a lot during the author's completion of this thesis;
7. The Rector of Ganesha University of Education provided moral assistance and facilitated the author's various interests in completing this thesis.
8. Classmates in the English Language Education Study Program, who with their respective characters have contributed a lot to shaping the author's self during the study and completion of this thesis.
9. As the author's parents, Mr. I Nyoman Landep and Mrs. Ni Nengah Nuan have helped a lot materially and morally in completing this thesis.

May God Almighty bless all their assistance in completing this study with commensurate rewards, as well as health and harmony in life. The author realizes that this thesis is not perfect. However, its presence in the constellation of the academic community will add to the treasury of knowledge in the development of science. Hopefully, this thesis is useful for the academic community, especially those who claim to be under the greatness of the education banner.

Singaraja, July 15 2025



Ni Komang Ayu Antini

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT.....	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ixi
TABLE LIST	xii
LIST OF PICTURES	xii
LIST OF APPENDIX	xiii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Problem of Identification	5
1.3 Research of Limitation.....	6
1.4 Research of Questions.....	7
1.5 Research Objectives.....	8
1.6 Significance of the Study	9
1.6.1 Theoretical Significance.....	9
1.6.2 Practical Significance.....	10
1.6.3 English Teacher.....	10
1.6.4 Teacher Education Program.....	11
1.6.5 Education Institutions (Undergraduate and Postgraduate Programs).....	11
1.7 Definition of Key Term.....	11
1.7.1 Students Perception.....	11
1.7.2 Good English Teacher.....	13
1.7.3 Study Major.....	14
1.7.4 Level of Education	15
1.7.5 Undergraduate Students	16
1.7.6 Post-graduate Students	17

CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED THEORIES.....	19
2.1 Theoretical Review	19
2.1.1 Students Perception.....	19
2.1.2 Good English Teacher.....	21
2.1.3 Teacher Competence.....	23
2.1.4 Study Major.....	27
2.1.5 Level of Education	28
2.2 Empirical Review.....	30
2.3 Conceptual Framework	37
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	40
3.1 Research Design.....	40
3.2 Research Setting.....	41
3.3 Research Participant.....	42
3.4 Research Object	44
3.5 Method of Data Collection.....	44
3.6 Instrument of Data Collection.....	46
3.7 Method of Data Analysis	50
CHAPTER IV FINDING AND DISCUSSION.....	54
4.1 Finding	55
CHAPTER V CLOSING	75
5.1 Conclusion	75
5.2 Suggestion.....	76
REFERENCES.....	80

TABLE LIST

Table 3. 1. Population and Sample.....	43
Table 3. 2. The Realibility Test By Used Cronbach's Alpha.....	47
Table 3. 3. Open-Ended Questions	49
Table 3. 4. Assessment Rubric	49
Table 3. 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Data Analysis Techniques	53
Table 4. 1. Criteria of Perception of Undergraduate Students of English Major..	55
Table 4. 2. Criteria of Perception of Undergraduate Students of Non-English Major	57
Table 4. 3. Criteria of Perception of Postgraduate Students of English Major.....	59
Table 4. 4. Criteria of Perception of Postgraduate Students of Non-English Major	62
Table 4. 5. Tests of Normality	65
Table 4. 6. Mean Score of Undergraduate Students.....	65
Table 4. 7. Result of Mann-Whitney U Test.....	66
Table 4. 8. Tests of Normality	68
Table 4. 9. Mean Score of Postgraduate Students.....	69
Table 4. 10. Result of Mann-Whitney U Test.....	69

LIST OF PICTURES

Figure 4. 1. Criteria of Perception of Undergraduate Student of English Major ..	56
Figure 4. 2. Criteria of Perception of Undergraduate Students of Non-English Major	58
Figure 4. 3. Criteria of Perception of Postgraduate Students of English Major..	60
Figure 4. 4. Criteria of Perception of Postgraduate Students of Non-English Major	63



LIST OF APPENDIX

Appendix 1. Sample Research	88
Appendix 2. Research Instrument	90
Appendix 3. Instrument of Quisionare.....	91
Appendix 4. Result of Data Quisionare	105
Appendix 5. Quantitative Analysis (Quisionare).....	107
Appendix 6. Qualitative Analysis (Open-Ended Questions)	114
Appendix 7. Research Findings Result Graph	149
Appendix 8. Reliability Test	151

