

**INVESTIGATING EXPERIENCE OF UNIVERSITY
STUDENTS IN USING CHATGPT IN ENGLISH
LEARNING CONTEXT**



By

Ni Nyoman Risna Sari Devi

NIM: 1812021084

ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION

FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND ART

GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION

SINGARAJA

2025

INVESTIGATING EXPERIENCE OF UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN USING CHATGPT IN ENGLISH LEARNING CONTEXT

SKRIPSI



**Diajukan kepada
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan
dalam Menyelesaikan
Program Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris**

**Oleh
Ni Nyoman Risna Sari Devi
NIM 1812021084**

**JURUSAN BAHASA ASING
PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS
FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA SINGARAJA**

2025

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PEMBIMBING

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PEMBIMBING

SKRIPSI

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK
MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

Menyetujui,

Pembimbing I,



Made Hery Santosa, S.Pd, M.Pd., Ph.D.
NIP. 197910232003121001

Pembimbing II




I Putu Indra Kusuma, S.Pd., M.Pd. Ph.D.
NIP. 198701172014041001

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN DOSEN PENGUJI SKRIPSI

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN DOSEN PENGUJI SKRIPSI

Skripsi oleh Ni Nyoman Risna Sari Devi ini
telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji
pada tanggal 25 Juli 2025

Dewan Penguji,



Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 198104192006042002

(Ketua)



Prof. Dr. I.G.A. Lokita Purnamika Utami, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 198304022006042001

(Anggota)



Made Hery Santosa, S.Pd, M.Pd., Ph.D.

NIP. 197910232003121001

(Anggota)



I Putu Indra Kusuma, S.Pd., M.Pd, Ph.D.

NIP. 198701172014041001

(Anggota)

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN DAN PENGESAHAN PANITIA UJIAN SKRIPSI

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN DAN PENGESAHAN PANITIA UJIAN SKRIPSI

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

Guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar Sarjana Pendidikan

Pada:

Hari : Jum'at

Tanggal : 25 juli 2025

Mengetahui,

Ketua Ujian,

Sekretaris Ujian,




Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd. Prof. Dr. I.G.A. Lokita Purnamika Utami, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 198104192006042002

NIP. 198304022006042001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Drs. I Gede Nurjaya, M.Pd.

NIP. 196503201990031002

SURAT PERNYATAAN

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis yang berjudul “Investigating Experience of University Students in Using ChatGPT in English Learning Context” beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar-benar karya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan atau pengutipan dengan tidak sesuai ketentuan yang berlaku dalam penyusunan karya ilmiah.

Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung risiko/sanksi yang dijati saya sesuai dengan ketentuan yang berlaku, apabila di kemudian hari ditemukan adanya pelanggaran etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Singaraja, 25 Juli 2025



Ni Nyoman Risna Sari Devi

NIM. 18120210

“Technology will not replace great teachers, but technology in the hands of great teachers can be transformational.”

— George Couros



DEDICATION

This thesis is highly dedicated to the following:

Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa

My Parents:

I Gede Putra and Ni Made Suarti

My Supervisors:

Made Hery Santosa, S.Pd, M.Pd.,Ph.D.

I Putu Indra Kusuma, S.Pd., M.Pd. Ph.D.

A few names that would never be forgotten during my journey

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise is due to God Almighty for His grace, love, and blessings, which have made it possible for the author to complete this thesis entitled **“Investigating Experience of University Students in Using ChatGPT in English Learning Context.”** This thesis is submitted as a requirement for college education. The writing process has been both challenging and insightful. Along the way, the author faced several difficulties, such as limited time and academic responsibilities. Nevertheless, thanks to valuable guidance, constant support, and the prayers of many, this thesis was successfully finished. The author sincerely appreciates everyone who contributed, directly or indirectly, to the research and completion of this work.

On this occasion, the author would like to express heartfelt respect and appreciation to:

1. Made Hery Santosa, S.Pd, M.Pd.,Ph.D., as the first thesis supervisor, for his invaluable guidance, insightful feedback, and unwavering support during the entire research journey.
2. I Putu Indra Kusuma, S.Pd., M.Pd. Ph.D., as the second thesis supervisor, whose expertise, patience, and consistent encouragement greatly contributed to the successful completion of this study.
3. The entire faculty of English Language Education, for their inspiring lessons and enriching experiences that have significantly contributed to the author's growth.
4. The English language Education (ELE) students who contributed to this research through their participation.

5. The researcher's parents, I Gede Putra and Ni Made suarti, who have consistently provided financial support, motivation, and affection throughout the researcher's journey.
6. The researcher's siblings Agustini, Sri Sekar and Ayu Apsarini for providing emotional support.
7. The researcher's thesis companions and dearest best friends Melia, Riska, Mawar, Dek Sri, Rani and Menti.
8. Special thanks to my bias Cho Kyuhyun and Hou Minghao, whose presence and artistry have always been a source of comfort and motivation throughout the writing of this thesis.
9. The researcher herself for staying strong through all the challenges and for having the confidence to complete this study.

In conclusion, this thesis is hoped to offer valuable knowledge and information to its readers. The researcher acknowledges that this work is far from perfect and gladly welcomes any suggestions and contributions from readers to help improve it.

Singaraja,

Ni Nyoman Risna Sari Devi

TABLE OF CONTENT

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PEMBIMBING	i
LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN DOSEN PENGUJI SKRIPSI	ii
LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN DAN PENGESAHAN PANITIA UJIAN SKRIPSI.....	iii
SURAT PERNYATAAN.....	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
DEDICATION	viii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ix
TABLE OF CONTENT	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xv
LIST OF APPENDIXES.....	xvi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Identification.....	6
1.3 Research Question.....	6
1.4 Research Objective.....	7
1.5 Research Purposes.....	7
1.5 Research Significance	7
CHAPTER II LITERATUR REVIEW	9
2.1 Theoretical Review.....	9
2.1.1 Artificial Intelligent in Education (AIEd)	9
2.1.2 ChatGPT as a Generative AI Tool for Language Learning	12
2.1.3 User Experience (UX) in Education Technology	16
2.1.4 User Experience Questionnaire (UEQ)	17
2.2 Empirical Review	20

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	22
3.1 The Design of the Research.....	22
3.2 Research Subject	24
3.3 The Setting of the Research.....	26
3.4 Methods Data Collection.....	27
3.4.1 Data Collection Procedure	28
3.4.2 Ethical Considerations.....	28
3.5 Instrument Data Collections	29
3.6 Technique of data Analysis	32
3.6.1 Validity Testing.....	32
3.6.2 Instrument Validity Test Result	34
3.6.3 Reliability Testing.....	38
3.6.4 Instrument Reliability Test Result.....	38
3.6.5 Descriptive Statistical Analysis.....	39
3.6.6 Sample Size Justification	40
CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION.....	42
4.1 Respondents' Profile	42
4.1.1 Current Semester of Respondents	42
4.1.2 Frequency of ChatGPT Usage.....	43
4.1.3 Purposes of ChatGPT Usage.....	44
4.2 Descriptive Statistical Results of UEQ Dimensions	46
4.2.1 Scale Interpretation	46
4.2.2 Attractiveness Dimension	47
4.2.3 Perspicuity Dimension	48
4.2.4 Efficiency Dimension.....	50
4.2.5 Dependability Dimension.....	51

4.2.6 Stimulation Dimension.....	53
4.2.7 Novelty Dimension	55
4.2.8 Descriptive Statistic of UEQ (1-7 Scale)	57
4.3 Overall Score Visualization and Benchmarking	65
4.3.1 Visualization of Overall UEQ Scores	66
4.3.2 UEQ Benchmark Comparison.....	67
4.4 Discussion	68
4.4.1. The User Experience of Attractiveness	69
4.4.2. The User Experience in Perspicuity and Efficiency.....	71
4.4.3. The User Experience in Dependability	73
4.4.4. The User Experience in Stimulation	75
4.4.5. The User Experience of Novelty	77
4.4.6. Relevance to the Context of University in Bali.....	79
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGESSTION.....	83
5.1 Conclusion.....	83
5.2 Suggestions.....	84
5.2.1 For Students.....	84
5.2.2 For Lecturers and Institutions	84
5.2.3 For Future Researchers.....	84
REFERENCES	85
APPENDIX	90

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3. 1 The blueprint for the additional questionnaire items.	31
Table 3. 2 Validity Test Results for the Attractiveness Variable.....	34
Table 3. 3 Validity Test Results for the Perspicuity Variable.....	35
Table 3. 4 Validity Test Results for the Efficiency Variable.....	35
Table 3. 5 Validity Test Results for the Dependability Variable.....	36
Table 3. 6 Validity Test Results for the Stimulation Variable.....	36
Table 3. 7 Validity Test Results for the Novelty Variable.....	37
Table 3. 8 Reliability Test Results for All UEQ Variables.....	39
Table 4. 1 Scale for Interpreting Mean Scores.....	46
Table 4. 2 Frequency Distribution of the Attractiveness Dimension.....	47
Table 4. 3 Descriptive Statistical Analysis of Attractiveness Dimension.....	47
Table 4. 4 Frequency Distribution of Scores on the Perspicuity Dimension	49
Table 4. 5 Descriptive Statistical Analysis of Perspicuity Dimension.....	49
Table 4. 6 Frequency Distribution of Scores on the Efficiency Dimension	50
Table 4. 7 Descriptive Statistical Analysis of Efficiency Dimension	50
Table 4. 8 Frequency Distribution of Scores on the Dependability Dimension	51
Table 4. 9 Descriptive Statistical Analysis of Dependability Dimension	52
Table 4. 10 Frequency Distribution of Scores on the Stimulation Dimension	53
Table 4. 11 Descriptive Statistical Analysis of Stimulation Dimension	53
Table 4. 12 Descriptive Statistical Analysis of the Novelty Dimension.....	55
Table 4. 13 Descriptive Statistical Analysis of Novelty Dimension.....	55
Table 4. 14 Descriptive Statistic of UEQ (Attractiveness Dimension).....	57
Table 4. 15 Descriptive Statistic of UEQ (Perspicuity Dimension).....	58
Table 4. 16 Descriptive Statistic of UEQ (Efficiency Dimension).....	60
Table 4. 17 Descriptive Statistic of UEQ (Dependability Dimension).....	61
Table 4. 18 Descriptive Statistic of UEQ (Stimulation Dimension).....	62
Table 4. 19 Descriptive Statistic of UEQ (Novelty Dimension).....	64

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3. 1 . Standard Bipolar Adjective Pairs from the User Experience Questionnaire (UEQ) for Evaluating Digital Systems (Adapted from Schrepp, 2019)	30
Figure 4. 1 Distribution of Respondents by Semester	43
Figure 4. 2 Frequency of ChatGPT Usage Among Respondents.....	44
Figure 4. 3 Purposes of ChatGPT Usage in English Learning.....	45
Figure 4. 4 Diagram of Overall UEQ Evaluation for ChatGPT Platform.....	66
Figure 4. 5 Benchmark Comparison of ChatGPT Based on UEQ Scores	67



LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix 1. User Experience Questionnaire (UEQ) – Original English Version.....	91
Appendix 2. Instrument Validity Test Results.....	92
Appendix 3. Instrument Reliability Test Results.....	93
Appendix 4. Descriptive Statistical Results of Each UEQ Dimension.....	94
Appendix 5. UEQ Benchmark Comparison for ChatGPT.....	96
Appendix 6. Respondents' Academic Semester Profile	97
Appendix 7. Frequency and Purpose of ChatGPT Usage.....	98
Appendix 8. UEQ Raw Score Table	99
Appendix 9. UEQ Item-to-Dimension Mapping.....	101
Appendix 10. Sample of Filled Questionnaire.....	102
Appendix 11. Documentation Photo.....	104

