

**THE IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT
BASED LEARNING ACTIVITIES IN ENGLISH
CLASSES AND ITS EFFECTS ON STUDENTS'
LEARNING ENGAGEMENT AND
ACHIEVEMENT IN SMPN 14 DENPASAR**



**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA
2025**


**THE IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT
BASED LEARNING ACTIVITIES IN ENGLISH
CLASSES AND ITS EFFECTS ON STUDENTS'
LEARNING ENGAGEMENT AND
ACHIEVEMENT IN SMPN 14 DENPASAR**



**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA
2025**



**THE IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT
BASED LEARNING ACTIVITIES IN ENGLISH
CLASSES AND ITS EFFECTS ON STUDENTS'
LEARNING ENGAGEMENT AND
ACHIEVEMENT IN SMPN 14 DENPASAR**



**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA
2025**

APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis by Windy Kurnia Bakti has been revised and approved for Thesis Examination.

Singaraja, July 2025

Supervisor I,



Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D., M.Pd.

NIP. 196407141988102001

Supervisor II,



Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A.

NIP. 196202021988032001

BOARD OF EXAMINERS APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis by Windy Kurnia Bakti has been successfully defended in front of the Board of Examiners and accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Master Degree in English Education, Post-Graduate Study Program, Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.

Approved on July 2025

By

The Board of Examiners

Chairman (Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd.,M.Pd.)
NIP. 197609022000031001

Member (Prof. Dr. I Gede Budasi, M.Ed.)
NIP. 195812311985031022

Member (Dr. Ni Putu Era Marsakawati, S.Pd.,M.Pd.)
NIP. 198303142008122002

Member (Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D.)
NIP. 196407141988102001

Member (Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A.)
NIP. 196202021988032001

Acknowledged by

Director of Post Graduate Program



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Jampel, M.Pd.

NIP. 195910101986031003

STATEMENT LETTER

I honestly state that this Post Graduate Thesis, that is written as a partial requirement for the degree of Master in Education, is my own work. All the information contained in this thesis, which is derived from the work of others, has been given an award by citing the names of the source authors correctly according to the academic norms, rules, and ethics.

If it is discovered in the future that a portion or the whole thesis is not my original work or that there is a case of plagiarism, I willingly accept the consequence of my academic title withdrawal and other sanctions as postulated by Indonesian Law.



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The successful completion of this postgraduate thesis would not have been possible without the support and assistance of many individuals. Therefore, I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to everyone who has contributed to this endeavor. My sincere appreciation goes to the following individuals:

1. My highest gratitude and praise are addressed to Allah Subhanahu wa Ta'ala, the Almighty God, for His endless mercy, guidance, and blessings throughout the process of completing this postgraduate thesis.
2. My supervisors, Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. and Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A. Thank you for the invaluable guidance, generous time, insightful advice, and continuous encouragement throughout the completion of this study.
3. Prof. Dr. I Gede Budasi, M.Ed. and Dr. Ni Putu Era Marsakawati, S.Pd.,M.Pd. as the examiners who have offered important ideas for developing this thesis;
4. Head Master of SMPN 14 Denpasar, who has granted the author permission to do this research;
5. Undiksha Postgraduate Director and staff, who have assisted the author much in finishing this thesis;
6. Beloved mother, father, sister, husband, son and friends who always provide support and motivation and convince the author that the author can complete this study on time.

Hopefully, this thesis will be valuable for the educational community, particularly those who claim to be protected by the magnificent banner of education.

Singaraja, July 2025

Windy Kurnia Bakti

TABLE OF CONTENT

APPROVAL SHEET.....	v
ABSTRAK	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study.....	1
1.2 Problem Identification.....	7
1.3 Limitation of the Study	8
1.4 Research Questions	9
1.5 Research Objectives	9
1.6 Research Significance	10
1.6.1 Theoretical Significance	10
1.6.2 Practical Significance	10
1.7 Definition of the Terms	11
1.7.1 Conceptual Definition.....	11
1.7.2 Operational Definition	14
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	16
2.1 Theoretical Review	16
2.1.1 English Language Learning and the Merdeka Curriculum.....	16
2.1.2 Project-based Learning	17
2.1.3 Project Based Learning Activity.....	19
2.1.4 The Benefits of Project-Based Learning in English Language Teaching	21
2.1.5 Learning Engagement	22
2.1.6 Students' Achievement.....	24
2.1.7 Students' Perception	25
2.2 Empirical Review	26
2.3 Conceptual Framework	29
2.4 Research Hypotheses.....	30
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	32
3.1 Research Design.....	32
3.1.1 Research Approach	32
3.1.2 Reseach Type	33
3.2 Population and Sample.....	34
3.2.1 Research Setting	34
3.2.2 Research Population	34
3.3 Research Object.....	35
3.4 Data Collection and Instruments	35


3.4.1 Learning Engagement and Achievement	38
3.4.2 Students' Perception	38
3.5 Research Instrument.....	39
3.5.1 Lesson plan	39
3.5.2 Test.....	40
3.5.3 Questionnaire	42
3.5.4 Interview Guide	43
3.6 Data Analysis	43
3.7 Reliability and Validity	44
3.7.1 Content Validity.....	45
3.7.1.1 Content Validity of the Speaking Test	46
3.7.1.3 Content Validity of the Learning Engagement Questionnaire	48
3.7.1.4 Content Validity of the Interview Guide of Students' Perception.	48
3.7.2 Item Validity	49
3.7.2.1 Item Validity of Learning Engagement Questionnaire.....	49
3.7.3 Reliability.....	51
3.7.3.1 Reliability of Learning Engagement Questionnaire	52
3.8 Data Triangulation.....	52
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	54
4.1 Findings.....	54
4.1.1 Data Distributions	54
4.1.1.1 Data Experimental and Control Group Toward Learning Engagement	55
4.1.1.2 Data Experimental and Control Group Toward Learning Achievement.....	56
4.1.2 The Prerequisite Test	58
4.1.2.1 Normality Test.....	58
4.1.2.2 Homogeneity Test	59
4.1.3 Hypothesis Testing	61
4.1.3.1 The Result of Independent T-test	61
4.1.3.2 Inferential Statistical Analysis (MANOVA Test)	64
4.1.4 Students' Perception	65
4.1.4.1 Project-based Learning Provides Contextual and Meaningful Learning.....	66
4.1.4.2 Project-based Learning Engages Students in Active Learning	69
4.1.4.4 Project-based Learning can Promote Social and Collaborative Learning.....	75
4.1.4.5 Project-based Learning Facilitates Natural Speaking Practice	77
4.1.4.6 Project-based Learning Helps Students Develop Their Language Skills	79

4.2 Discussion	84
4.2.1 The Significant Effect of Project-based Learning on Students' Learning Engagement	85
4.2.2 The Significant Effect of Project-based Learning on Students' Language Achievement	89
4.2.3 Students' Perceptions on the Implementation of Project-based Learning	91
4.3 Implications	95
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	96
5.1 Conclusion.....	96
5.2 Suggestions.....	98
5.2.1 For Teachers	98
5.2.2 For Students	99
5.2.3 For Future Researchers	99
REFERENCES	101
APPENDINCES	108



LIST OF TABLE

Table 3.1 Research Sample.....	38
Table 3.2 Table of Data Source and Instrument.....	39
Table 3.3 Blueprint Lesson Plan.....	43
Table 3.4 Aspects of Speaking Test	45
Table 3.5 Blueprint Engagement Questionnaire.....	46
Table 3.6 Blueprint Interview Guide	47
Table 3.7 Gregory Formula	49
Table 3.8 Expert Judgement Result of Speaking Test.....	50
Table 3.9 Expert Judgement Result of Listening Test.....	51
Table 3.10 Expert Judgement Result of Learning Engagement Questionnaire	52
Table 3.11 Expert Judgement Result of Interview Guide	53
Table 3.12 Validity Test of Questionnaire	54
Table 3.13 Cronbach's Alpha	56
Table 3.14 Reliability Test of Questionnaire	56
Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistical Analysis.....	58
Table 4.2 Data Calculation Criteria of Learning Engagement.....	59
Table 4.3 Data Calculation Criteria of Speaking Skill	61
Table 4.4 Data Calculation Criteria of Listening Skill	63
Table 4.5 Kolmogorov-Smirnov Result.....	64
Table 4.6 Homogeneity of Variances Test Result	66
Table 4.7 Independent T-test output (learning engagement).....	67
Table 4.8 Independent T-test output (Speaking skill)	68
Table 4.8 Independent T-test output (Listening skill)	68
Table 4.9 Analysis of Multivariate	70



LIST OF APENDICES

Appendix 1. Permission Letter	114
Appendix 2. Statement Letter of Data Collection.....	115
Appendix 3. Burch Engagement Survey of Students (Burch et al., 2015).....	116
Appendix 4. Expert Judgement Burch Engagement Survey of Students.....	118
Appendix 5. Speaking Post-test.....	120
Appendix 6. Expert Judgement Speaking Post-test	121
Appendix 7. Listening Post-test.....	123
Appendix 8. Expert Judgement Listening Post-test	124
Appendix 9. Speaking Rubric (Brown, 2012).....	126
Appendix 10. Listening Comprehension Scoring Rubric (Shockingawful, 2017).....	128
Appendix 11. Interview Guide	129
Appendix 12. Expert Judgement of Interview Guide	131
Appendix 13. Lesson Plan	133
Appendix 14. Students' Listening Score.....	150
Appendix 15. Students' Speaking Score.....	151
Appendix 16. Interview Transcript	152
Appendix 17. Documentation	188

