

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aiken, L. R. (1980). Content Validity and Reliability of Single Items or Questionnaires. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 955–959. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001316448004000419>
- Akbar, S. (2013). *Instrumen Perangkat Pembelajaran*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Alenezi, A. (2020). The role of e-learning materials in enhancing teaching and learning behaviors. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 10(1), 48–56. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2020.10.1.1338>
- Ananda, A. N., Muhfahroyin, M., & Asih, T. (2021). Pengembangan E-Lkpd Disertai Komik Berbasis Guided Inquiry di Sma Negeri 1 Sekampung. *BIOEDUKASI (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi)*, 12(2), 195. <https://doi.org/10.24127/bioedukasi.v12i2.4448>
- Anas, M., Harum, A., & Rusmayadi, R. (2023). Factors Influencing Students' Creative Thinking Skills. *Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST)*, 9(1), 86. <https://doi.org/10.26858/est.v9i1.38752>
- Arifin, S., & Siew, N. M. (2023). Integration of Socioscientific Approach and Design Thinking: An Entrepreneurial Creative Thinking Module for Stem Education. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 22(5), 767–780. <https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/23.22.767>
- Arikunto, S. (2009). *Dasar-Dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Astuti. (2021). Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik (LKPD) Berbasis Problem Based Learning (PBL) untuk Kelas VII SMP/MTs Mata Pelajaran Matematika. *Jurnal Cendekia: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2), 1011–1024. <https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v5i2.573>
- Azmi, F., & Dewi, R. S. (2026). Pengaruh Pendekatan Social Scientific Issue dengan Model Project Based Learning untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa. *Reflection Jurnal*, 6(2), 267–277. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.36312/jcm72132>
- Bada & Olusegun, S. (2015). The psychogenesis of Knowledge and its Epistemological Significance. *Journal of Research and Method in Education*, 5(6), 23–34. <https://doi.org/10.9790/7388-05616670>
- Badan Standar, Kurikulum, dan Asesmen Pendidikan. (2022). *Pedoman Penilaian Buku Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Badan Standar, Kurikulum, dan Asesmen Pendidikan, Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset dan Teknologi.

- Badeo, J. M., & Duque, D. A. (2022). The Effect of Socio-Scientific Issues (Ssi) in Teaching Science: a Meta-Analysis Study. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 12(2), 291–302. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.1340>
- Cakir, M. (2008). Constructivist approaches to learning in science and their implication for science pedagogy: A literature review. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 3(4), 193–206.
- Campbell, D. T. & Stanley, J. C. (1963). *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Design for Research*. London: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Candiasa, I. M. (2010). *Pengujian Instrumen Penelitian Disertai Aplikasi Iteman Dan Bigsterps*. Singaraja: Unit Penerbitan Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Sciences* (2nd ed.). New York: Academic Press.
- Condliffe, B., Quint, J., Visher, M. G., Drohojowska, S., Saco, L., & Nelson, E. (2017). Project-Based Learning. Project-Based Learning A Literature Review, October, 1–84. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108888295.006>
- Darmojo, H. dan Kaligis, J. R. E. (1993). *Pendidikan IPA 2*. Jakarta: Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi.
- Dewey, J. (1910). *Science as Subject-Matter and as Method*. *Science*, 31(787), 121–127.
- Diana, N., Irwandani, Sukron, M. M., Akbar, M. F., Latifah, S., & Susilowati, N. E. (2024). The Influence of Socioscientific Issues (SSI) Approach on Students' Creative Thinking Skills in Disaster Mitigation Material. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 482. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202448204032>
- Durasa, H., Sudiarmika, A. A. I. R., & Subagia, I. W. (2022). Analisis kemampuan literasi sains murid SMP pada materi pemanasan global. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Evaluasi Pendidikan Indonesia*, 12(1), 51–60. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jpepi.v12i1.930>
- Enăchescu, V.-A., & Damasaru, C. (2013). Innovation and Creativity in Human Resource Management. Why Should We Change the Way We Teach our students?. *Review of International Comparative Management*, 14(4), 644–654.
- Firtsanianta, H., & Khofifah, I. (2022). Effectiveness of E-LKPD Assisted by Liveworksheets for Improving Student Learning Outcomes. *Conference of Elementary Studies*, 140–147. <https://journal.um-surabaya.ac.id/Pro/article/view/14918>
- Fleming, R. (1986). Adolescent reasoning in socio-scientific issues Part II: Nonsocial cognition. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 23(8), 689–698.
- George Lucas Educational Foundation. (2005). Instructional module project based learning. [Online]. Diakses dari <http://www.edutopia.org/modules/pbl/project-based-learning>. Diakses pada tanggal 6 April 2025.



- Hake, R. R. (1999). Analyzing Change/Gain Scores. *American Education Research Association*, Division D, 1–4.
- Herlinawati, H., Marwa, M., Ismail, N., Junaidi, Liza, L. O., & Situmorang, D. D. B. (2024). The integration of 21<sup>st</sup> century skills in the curriculum of education. *Heliyon*, 10(15), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e35148>
- Hermita, N., Putra, Z. H., Alim, J. A., Wijaya, T. T., Anggoro, S., & Diniya, D. (2021). Elementary Teachers' Perceptions on Genially Learning Media Using Item Response Theory (IRT). *Indonesian Journal on Learning and Advanced Education (IJOLAE)*, 4(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.23917/ijolae.v4i1.14757>
- Holbrook, J. (2010). Education Through Science as A Motivational Innovation for Science Education for All. *Science Education International*, 21(2), 80–91.
- Irman, Surahman, E., Agustian, D., Herawati, D., & Badriah, L. (2025). Profil Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Peserta Didik dalam Pembelajaran IPA. *Jurnal Pendidikan MIPA*, 15(1), 60–67. <https://doi.org/10.37630/jpm.v15i1.2318%0AProfil>
- Isnaeni, Permanasari, A., & Permana, I. (2021). Profil Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif dan Sikap Kreatif Siswa Kelas VII pada Materi Pencemaran Lingkungan. *JPPK : Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Kimia*, 8(1), 2021.
- Jaenudin, A. (2023). Factors Influencing Creative Thinking in Problem-Solving. *Asian Journal of Engineering, Social and Health*, 2(3), 161–170. <https://doi.org/10.46799/ajesh.v2i3.47>
- Johnson, C. I., & Mayer, R. E. (2009). A Testing Effect With Multimedia Learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101(3), 621–629. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015183>
- Kemendikbud. (2013). Permedikbud Nomor 65 Tahun 2013 Tentang Standar Proses. Jakarta: Kemendikbud.
- Kholifahtus, Y. F., Agustiningsih, & Wardoyo, A. A. (2021). Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik Elektronik (E-LKPD) Berbasis Higher Order Thinking Skill (HOTS). *Edustream: Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar*, 5(2), 143–151.
- Kolstø, S. D., Bungum, B., Arnesen, E., Isnes, A., Kristensen, T., Mathiassen, K., ... & Ulvik, M. (2006). Science Students' Critical Examination of Scientific Information Related to Socioscientific Issues. *Science Education*, 90(4), 632–655.
- Kolstø, S.D. (2001). Scientific literacy for citizenship: Tools for dealing with the science dimension of controversial socioscientific issues. *Science Education*, 85(3), 291–310.
- Krajcik, J. S., & Czerniak, C. M. (2018). Teaching science inelementary and middle school: A project-based learningapproach (Fifth Edn.). Routledge, Taylor & FrancisGroup. Tersedia pada: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315205014>

- Linn, M. C., Palmer, E., Baranger, A., Gerard, E., & Stone, E. (2015). Undergraduate research experiences: Impacts and opportunities. *Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261757>
- Long, T. J., Convey, J. J., dan Chwalek, A. R. 1985. *Completing dissertation in the behavioral science and education*. London: Jossey-Bass Publisher.
- Lubis, R. B. (2023). Mengulik Hasil PISA 2022 Indonesia: Peringkat Naik, tapi Tren Penurunan Skor Berlanjut. Artikel. Tersedia pada: <https://goodstats.id/article/mengulik-hasil-pisa-2022-indonesia-peringkat-naik-tapi-tren-penurunan-skor-berlanjut-m6XDt>. Diakses pada tanggal 6 April 2025.
- Lucas, B. (2022). Creative Thinking in Schools Across the World: A Snapshot of Progress in 2022. *Global Institute of Creative Thinking*, 1(1), 1–54.
- Lupi, T. P., Herlina, K. H., Sesunan, F. S., & Andra, D. A. (2021). Development of Project Based e-Worksheet to Stimulate Scientific Creativity and Collaborative Skills. *Berkala Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika*, 9(2), 222. <https://doi.org/10.20527/bipf.v9i2.9938>
- Luthfiyah, H., Suciptaningsih, O. A., & Mas'ula, S. (2025). Integrasi Teknologi Digital dalam Pembelajaran IPAS: Studi Kasus Penggunaan Genially pada Materi Ekosistem Kelas 3 SD. *JiIP - Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan*, 8(6), 6156–6163. <https://doi.org/10.54371/jiip.v8i6.8169>
- Madi, N. E. E. P., & Nida, S. (2023). Analisis Kebutuhan Pengembangan Lkpd Pjbl-Ssi Materi Pencemaran Tanah Dan Air Untuk Memfasilitasi Literasi Saintifik Siswa Smp. *Seminar Nasional Pendidikan IPA Dan Matematika 2023*, 489–493.
- Manasikana, A., & Rahayu, Y. S. (2025). Keefektifan E-LKPD Berbasis Project Based Learning (Pjbl) Pada Materi Metabolisme Karbohidrat untuk Meningkatkan Literasi Sains Peserta Didik Kelas XII SMA. *Bio Edu: Berkala Ilmiah Pendidikan Biologi*, 14(2), 454–461.
- Mandopa, A. S., Harahap, A. N., & Nasution, P. R. (2024). Developing An Interactive Project-Based Learning E-LKPD to Facilitate Creative Mathematical Thinking Skills. *Aksioma: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika*, 13(3), 816–825. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.24127/ajpm.v13i3.8859>
- Maqbool, S., Zafeer, M. U., & Mohammad, A. M. (2025). Impact of Generative AI and Digital Learning Tools on Student Engagement and Academic Achievement in Middle School Classrooms. *AI-Powered Cognitive Tutors and the New Frontier of Personalized Learning*, 199–219. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4217-7.ch008>
- Mardhiyah, R. H., Aldriani, S. N. F., Chitta, F., & Zulfikar, M. R. (2021). Pentingnya Keterampilan Belajar di Abad 21 sebagai Tuntutan dalam Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia. *Lectura: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 12(1), 29–40.

- Johnson, C. I., & Mayer, R. E. (2009). A Testing Effect With Multimedia Learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101(3), 621–629. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015183>
- Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia Learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Mayer, R. E., & Fiorella, L. (2014). Principles for reducing extraneous processing in multimedia learning: Coherence, signaling, redundancy, spatial contiguity, and temporal contiguity principles. In *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning, Second Edition*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139547369.015>
- Mayer, R. E., & Moreno, R. M. (2003). Nine Ways to Reduce Cognitive Load in Multimedia Learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 38(1), 43–52. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203764770-6>
- Mayer, R. E., Steinhoff, K., Bower, G., & Mars, R. (1995). A generative theory of textbook design: Using annotated illustrations to foster meaningful learning of science text. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 43(1), 31–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02300480>
- Minken, Z., Macalalag, A. Z. Jr., Clarke, A., Marco-Bujosa, L., & Rulli, C. (2021). Development of teachers' pedagogical content knowledge during lesson planning of socioscientific issues. *International Journal of Technology in Education*, 4(2), 113–165. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.50>
- Moeslichatoen. 2004. *Metode Pengajaran di Taman Kanak-Kanak*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Monazam-Tabrizi, N., Kurt, Y., & Kang, W. il K. (2026). Navigating learning disruptions: The role of digital learning platforms in student motivation, feedback and emotion. *Computers and Education*, 246, 105534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2025.105534>
- Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. E. (1999). Cognitive principles of multimedia learning: The role of modality and contiguity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91(2), 358–368. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.91.2.358>
- Noperman, F. (2022). *Inovasi Pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Laksbang Pustaka.
- Nurkhasanah, N., Purwanto, B. E., Kerja, L., Didik, P., Project, M., Learning, B., & Kreatif, B. (2024). Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik (LKPD) Berbasis Model Project Based Learning dalam Peningkatan Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Pada Pembelajaran IPA Kelas VIII SMP. 5(3), 3672–3687.
- OECD. (2019). PISA for Development Assessment and Analytical Framework (Reading, Mathematics and Science). OECD Publishing, 1(1), 1–180. [https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/pisa-for-development-assessmentand-analytical-framework\\_9789264305274-en](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/pisa-for-development-assessmentand-analytical-framework_9789264305274-en).
- OECD. (2023). PISA 2022 Results Indonesia. Factsheets, 1–9. <https://www.oecd.org/publication/pisa-2022-results/country-notes/malaysia-1dbe2061/>
- Oktaviani, C., Seprianto, S., & Putri, M. D. (2023). Creative Thinking-Oriented Students' Scientific Literacy Skills: Preliminary Study. *Jurnal Penelitian*

- Pendidikan IPA, 9(10), 8245–8250.  
<https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i10.5520>
- Plomp, T., Nieveen, N., Akker, J. van den, Bannan, B., & Kelly, A. E. (2013). Educational Design Research Educational Design Research (T. Plomp & N. Nieveen (eds.)). *Netherlands Institute For Curriculum Development*.  
<http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICWebPortal/recordDetail?accno=EJ815766>
- Presley, M. L., Sickel, A. J., Muslu, N., Merle-Johnson, D., Witzig, S. B., & Sadler, T. D. (2013). A framework for socio-scientific issues based education. *Science Educator*, 22(1), 26–31.
- Puriasih, L. P., & Rati, N. W. (2022). E-LKPD Interaktif Berbasis Problem Solving pada Materi Skala dan Perbandingan Kelas V Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Pedagogi Dan Pembelajaran*, 5(2), 267–275. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jp2.v5i2.48848>
- Putra, I. K. W. (2023). E-LKPD Berbasis Project Based Learning Efektif Meningkatkan Kopetensi Pengetahuan IPAS siswa. *Mimbar Pendidikan Indonesia*, 4(1), 90–99. <https://doi.org/10.23887/mpi.v4i1.65412>
- Putri, Y. S., & Alberida, H. (2022). Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif Peserta Didik Kelas X Tahun Ajaran 2021/2022 di SMAN 1 Pariaman. *Biodik: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Biologi*, 8(2), 112–117. <https://doi.org/10.22437/bio.v8i2.17356>
- Redhana, I. W. (2019). Mengembangkan Keterampilan Abad Ke-21 Dalam Pembelajaran Kimia. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia*, 13(1).
- Retnawati, H. (2015). *Analisis Kuantitatif Instrumen Penelitian*. Yogyakarta: Parama Publishing.
- Rohmaya, N. (2022). Peningkatan Literasi Sains Peserta Didik Melalui Penggunaan E-Lkpd Interaktif Berkonteks Socio Scientific Issues. *Mandaris: Jurnal Guru Inovatif*, 83–92.
- Romualdi, K. B., Sudrajat, A., & Aman, A. (2023). Development of Genially Interactive Multimedia on Materials for the National Movement Organization for Middle School Students. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 15(2), 1166–1180. <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v15i2.3139>
- Rubba, P.A. (1991). Integrating STS into school science and teacher education: Beyond awareness. *Theory into Practice*, 30(4), 303–308.
- Rundgren, C. J., Eriksson, M., & Rundgren, S. N. C. (2016). Investigating the Intertwinement of Knowledge, Value, and Experience of Upper Secondary Students' Argumentation Concerning Socioscientific Issues. *Science and Education*, 25(9–10), 1049–1071. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-016-9859-x>
- Sadler, T. D., & Zeidler, D. L. (2004). The Morality of Socioscientific Issues: Construal and Resolution of Genetic Engineering Dilemmas. *Science Education*, 88(1), 4–27. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.10101>
- Sadler, T. D., & Zeidler, D. L. (2009). Scientific literacy, PISA, and socioscientific discourse: Assessment for progressive aims of science education. *Journal of*



- Research in Science Teaching*, 46(8), 909–921.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20327>
- Sadler, T.D. (2004). Informal reasoning regarding socioscientific issues: A critical review of research. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41(5), 513–536.
- Sadler, T.D. (2009). Situated learning in science education: Socio-scientific issues as contexts for practice. *Studies in Science Education*, 45(1), 1–42.
- Sahlberg, P. (2009). The role of education in promoting creativity : potential barriers and enabling factors School education and creativity. Measuring Creativity, 337, 337–344. <http://www.greenschool.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/Pasi-Sahlberg.pdf>
- Sampson, V., Grooms, J., & Walker, J. P. (2011). Argument- Driven Inquiry as a way to help students learn how to participate in scientific argumentation and craft written arguments: An exploratory study. *Science Education*, 95(2), 217–257.
- Santos, L. F. The role of critical thinking in science education. *Journal of Education and Practice* 2017, 8 (20), 159–173.
- Santyasa, I W. 2005. Analisis butir dan konsistensi internal tes. Makalah. Disajikan dalam work shop bagi pengawas dan kepala sekolah dasar di Kabupaten Tabanan, 20-25 Oktober 2005, di Kediri, Tabanan.
- Santyasa, I W. 2012. *Pembelajaran Inovatif*. Singaraja: Undiksha Press.
- Schweig, J., Roy, S., & Covelli, L. (2026). Validity and Reliability Considerations for Educational Measurement. 1–18.
- Selviana, A. (2023). Penggunaan Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik (LKPD) Akuntansi Berbasis Problem Based Learning Pada Materi Pokok Jurnal Penyesuaian Terhadap Peningkatan Hasil Belajar. *Jurnal Inovasi Pembelajaran Dan Pendidikan Islam*, 1, 24–33. <https://doi.org/10.30596/jippi.v1i1.3>
- Shamos, M.H. (1995). *The Myth of Scientific Literacy*. United States: Rutgers University Press.
- Sudaryono, Rahardja, U., Aini, Q., Isma Graha, Y., & Lutfiani, N. (2019). Validity of Test Instruments. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1364(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1364/1/012050>
- Sudijono, A. (2011). *Pengantar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.
- Sugiyono. (2019). *Metode Penelitian Dan Pengembangan Research Dan Development*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sukardi. 2004. *Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan: Kompetensi Dan Praktiknya*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Suparno, P. 1997. *Filsafat Konstruktivisme Dalam Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.



- Suparya, I. K., Suastra, I. W., & Arnyana, I. B. P. (2022). Rendahnya Literasi Sains: Faktor Penyebab Dan Alternatif Solusinya. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Citra Bakti*, 9(1), 153–166. <https://doi.org/10.38048/jipcb.v9i1.580>
- Tarisma, M., & Suma, K. (2023). Efektifitas E-LKPD berbasis project based learning pada muatan pembelajaran IPA di kelas V sekolah dasar. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan* ..., 6, 276–287. <https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JIPPG/article/view/62088>
- Tasyani, A., Herlina, K., & Ertikanto, C. (2025). Socio Scientific Issue Integrated STEM-PjBL in Teachers' Perspective: Can Its Implementation in Learning Programs Improve Students' Creative Thinking Skills on the Topic of Renewable Energy? 11(1), 89–98. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v11i1.9534>
- Thomas, J. W., 2000. A Review of Research on Project Based Learning. California: The Autodesk Foundation, Tersedia pada: <http://www.bobpearlman.org/>
- Torrance, E. P. (1966). Torrance tests of creative thinking : norms-technical manual. Scholastic Testing Services. Online e-Book. Tersedia pada [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265551069\\_Torrance\\_Test\\_of\\_Creative\\_Thinking\\_The\\_question\\_of\\_its\\_construct\\_validity](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265551069_Torrance_Test_of_Creative_Thinking_The_question_of_its_construct_validity). Diakses pada 10 Juni 2025.
- Trianto. 2007. *Model-Model Pembelajaran Inovatif Berorientasi Konstruktivistik*. Jakarta: Prestasi Pustaka.
- Tupan, A. A., Marayate, M. R., Latuserimala, S., Leasa, M., & Batlolona, J. R. (2024). Worksheet Based on Project-Based Learning in Science Learning in Elementary School. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pendidikan*, 8(3), 493–505. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jppp.v8i3.79951>
- Walker, L. O., & Avant, K. C. (2014). *Strategies for theory construction in nursing* (Fifth Edit). Pearson Education Limited.
- Wasis, Rahayu, Y. S., Sunarti, T., & Indana, S. (2018). *HOTS dan Literasi Sains: Konsep, Pembelajaran, Dan Penilaiannya*. Jawa Timur: Kun Fayakun Corp.
- Wena, M. (2014). *Strategi Pembelajaran Inovatif Kontemporer*. Jakarta Timur: PT. Bumi Aksara.
- Widyaningrum, S., & Parmiti, D. P. (2024). Contextual Teaching and Learning-based E-Worksheet on Science Subjects for Fourth Grade Elementary Schools. *Mimbar Ilmu*, 29(1), 173–184. <https://doi.org/10.23887/mi.v29i1.64644>
- Wijanarko, A. G., Supardi, K., & Marwoto. (2017). Keefektifan Model Project Based Learning Terbimbing untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan. *Journal of Primary Education*, 6(2):120-125.
- Yaumi, M., & Taufikurohmah, T. (2019). *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 4(2), 56–63.
- Zeidler, D. L., & Keefer, M. (2003). The role of moral reasoning and the status of socioscientific issues in science education. In *The role of moral reasoning on*

socioscientific issues and discourse in science education (pp. 7-38). Springer, Dordrecht.

Zeidler, D. L., & Nichols, B. H. (2009). Socioscientific issues: Theory and practice. *Journal of Elementary Science Education*, 21(2), 49–58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03173684>

Zeidler, D. L., Sadler, T. D., Applebaum, S., & Callahan, B. E. (2009). Advancing reflective judgment through socioscientific issues. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching*, 46(1), 74-101.

Zeidler, D.L., Sadler, T.D., Simmons, M.L., & Howes, E.V. (2005). Beyond STS: A research-based framework for socioscientific issues education. *Science Education*, 89(3), 357-377.

