

**THE IMPLEMENTATION OF DEEP LEARNING IN SENIOR HIGH
SCHOOL ENGLISH CLASSES: A CASE STUDY IN SMAN 7 DENPASAR**

THESIS

**Presented to
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for Master Degree in Education
English Language Education Study Program**

By:

**LUH PUTU ADI SASTRAWATI
NIM 2229081020**



**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA
2026**

TESIS

DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK MENCAPAI GELAR MAGISTER PENDIDIKAN

	
Pembimbing I	Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. NIP.196407141988102001
Pembimbing II	Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP.197609022000031001



- UU ITE No. 11 Tahun 2008 Pasal 5 Ayat 1 "Informasi Elektronik dan/atau hasil cetaknya merupakan alat bukti hukum yang sah"
- Dokumen ini telah ditandatangani secara elektronik menggunakan sertifikat elektronik yang diterbitkan BSR - BSSN, validitas dokumen elektronik ini bisa dicek menggunakan aplikasi mobile VeryDS oleh BSR
- Cetakan dokumen ini merupakan salinan dan file dokumen bertandatangan elektronik yang keabsahannya dapat diakses melalui scan QRCode yang terdapat pada sertifikat ini.

Tesis oleh Luh Putu Adi Sastrawati telah dipertahankan di depan tim penguji dan dinyatakan diterima sebagai salah satu persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Magister Pendidikan di Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris (S2), Program Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Pada tanggal 04 Agustus 2026

Dewan Penguji

Ketua	Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. NIP.196407141988102001
Anggota	Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP.197609022000031001
Anggota	Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A. NIP.196202021988032001
Anggota	Dr. I Wayan Swandana, S.S., M.Hum. NIP.198411182015041002

Mengetahui Direktur Program Pascasarjana
 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha,



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Jampel, M.Pd.

NIP.195910101986031003




- UU ITE No. 11 Tahun 2008 Pasal 5 Ayat 1 "Informasi Elektronik dan/atau hasil cetaknya merupakan alat bukti hukum yang sah"
- Dokumen ini telah ditandatangani secara elektronik menggunakan sertifikat elektronik yang diterbitkan BSR - BSSN, validitas dokumen elektronik ini bisa dicek menggunakan aplikasi mobile VeryDS oleh BSR
- Cetakan dokumen ini merupakan salinan dari file dokumen bertandatangan elektronik yang keabsahannya dapat diakses melalui scan QRCode yang terdapat pada sertifikat ini.


STATEMENT LETTER

I hereby honestly state that this Post Graduate Thesis in Education that is written as partial requirement for the degree of Master in Education is my own work. All the information contained in this thesis which is derived from the work of others has been given an award by citing the name of the source authors correctly according to the academic norms, rules and ethics.

If it is discovered in the future that a portion or the whole thesis is not my original work or that there is a case of plagiarism, I willingly accept the consequence of my academic title withdrawal and other sanctions as postulated by Indonesian National Law.



Singaraja, August 4th, 2026
Statement by,



Luh Putu Adi Sastrawati

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, the writer would like to express the sincerest gratitude to the almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widi Wasa. Because of His blessing and guidance, the writer has completed a thesis entitled "The Implementation of Deep Learning in Senior High School English Classes: A Case Study in SMAN 7 Denpasar" as a final requirement to achieve a Master of Education (M.Pd.) degree in the English Language Education Study Program of Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha. The writer would like to express gratitude and appreciation to all parties who have contributed support, guidance, advice, and encouragement in making this thesis possible. Therefore, the writer sincerely thanks all those who were involved, including the honorable people mentioned below:

1. Prof. Dr. I Wayan Lasmawan, M.Pd., as Rector of Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.
2. Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Jampel., M.Pd., as Director of the Postgraduate Program of Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.
3. Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D., as Head of the English Language Education Postgraduate Study Program of Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.
4. Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. and Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd., as the supervisor I and Supervisor II, who always supervised the writer kindly and wisely.
5. All lecturers and academic staff of the Postgraduate Program of Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.
6. Husband Kadek Ery Arista SE, Parents Ir. Ketut Suadnyana, SE, AAIJ

and Ni Made Dwinudianti, sisters Luh Made Ayu Prabawati, Luh Ketut Ari Anggarawati, parents-in-law Drs. I Wayan Parta and Dra. Ni Nyoman Seri Sucarmini, who have fully supported and encouraged the writer throughout the study.

7. All of the writer's friends and fellow students who always gave advice and support in every situation.
8. And for myself, thank you for staying strong, the endless effort and never giving up.

Furthermore, to fellows and family whom the writer cannot mention individually, thank you for the assistance and support in helping the writer complete this thesis. The writer recognizes that this work may still contain shortcomings and therefore welcomes readers' suggestions and constructive criticism. Finally, the writer hopes that this thesis will be beneficial to all relevant readers and parties, particularly the academic community of Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.

Singaraja, August 4th, 2026

Author

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUPERVISORS APPROVAL SHEET	ii
EXAMINER APPROVAL FORM.....	iii
STATEMENT LETTER	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
ABSTRACT	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study.....	1
1.2 Identification of the Problem	7
1.3 Research Questions.....	8
1.4 Research Objectives.....	9
1.5 Significance of the Study.....	9
1.5.1 Theoretical Significance.....	9
1.5.2 Practical Significance.....	10
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1 Theoretical Review	12
2.1.1 Teachers	12
2.1.2 Merdeka Curriculum	15
2.1.3 Deep Learning.....	17
2.2 Empirical Review	36
2.2.1 Empirical Review Related to Research Question 1: Teachers' Implementation of Deep Learning	37
2.2.2 Empirical Review Related to Research Question 2: Perceptions of Benefits and Challenges of Deep Learning.....	41

2.2.3	Empirical Review Related to Research Question 3: Students' Learning Experiences in Deep Learning Contexts	44
2.2.4	Synthesis of Empirical Studies.....	45
2.3	Conceptual Framework.....	46
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD		48
3.1	Approach and Type of Research	48
3.2	Research Site and Time of the Study.....	50
3.2.1	Research Site	50
3.3	Time of the Study	52
3.4	Data Sources (Research Sample / Informants)	53
3.5	Data Collection Techniques and Instruments	55
3.5.1	Semi-Structured Interview.....	57
3.5.2	Classroom Observation	64
3.5.3	Document Analysis	67
3.5.4	Teacher Perception Questionnaire	70
3.6	Data Analysis Technique: Reflexive Thematic Analysis	73
3.7	Data Trustworthiness	77
3.7.1	Credibility.....	80
3.7.2	Transferability.....	81
3.7.3	Dependability	81
3.7.4	Confirmability	82
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS		84
4.1	Findings	84
4.1.1	Implementation of Deep Learning Pedagogy	85
4.1.1.1	Instructional Design Reflecting the 6Cs Framework	85
4.1.1.2	Application of Mindful, Meaningful, and Joyful Learning Principles ...	88
4.1.1.3	Assessment Practices in Deep Learning Implementation.....	90
4.1.2	Teachers' Perceptions of Benefits and Challenges	92
4.1.2.1	Teachers' Perceptions of the Benefits of Deep Learning.....	92

4.1.2.2	Teachers' Perceived Challenges in Implementing Deep Learning	94
4.1.2.3	Questionnaire Results on Teachers' Perceived Benefits and Challenges	98
4.1.3	Students' Learning Experiences in Deep Learning Classrooms	100
4.1.3.1	Students' Descriptions of Engagement and Active Participation	101
4.1.3.2	Students' Perceptions of Meaningfulness and Real-Life Relevance	102
4.1.3.3	Students' Emotional Responses and Sense of Enjoyment	103
4.1.3.4	Students' Descriptions of Critical Thinking and Collaborative Opportunities	104
4.2	Discussion.....	106
4.2.1	The Implementation of Deep Learning Pedagogy: Between Aspiration and Enactment.....	107
4.2.1.2	The Mindful–Meaningful–Joyful Triad: Integration Across Teaching Practice	110
4.2.1.3	The Planning–Enactment Gap: Structural and Pedagogical Dimensions	112
4.2.2	Teachers' Perceptions of Benefits and Challenges: Navigating Systemic Tensions	114
4.2.2.2	Perceived Challenges: Systemic Constraints and the Ecology of Implementation.....	116
4.2.2.3	The Role of Teacher Beliefs in Mediating Implementation Quality	119
4.2.3	Students' Learning Experiences: Authentic Engagement and Transformative Possibility	121
4.2.3.2	Meaningfulness as Transformative Language Learning	122
4.2.3.3	Joyful Learning and the Emotional Ecology of Deep Engagement	125
4.2.3.4	Student Perspectives as Evidence for Learning Transformation.....	126
4.2.4	Synthesis: Deep Learning Implementation as a School-Wide Pedagogical Journey.....	128
CHAPTER V		132
5.1	Conclusion	132
5.1.1	The Implementation of Deep Learning Pedagogy	132
5.1.2	Teachers' Perceptions of the Benefits and Challenges of Deep Learning	134
5.1.3	Students' Learning Experiences in Deep Learning Classrooms	136
5.2	Implications of the Study	137
5.2.1	Theoretical Implications.....	137

5.2.2	Pedagogical and Practical Implications.....	139
5.3	Recommendations.....	140
5.3.1	Recommendations for English Teachers.....	140
5.3.2	Recommendations for School Administrators	141
5.3.3	Recommendations for Professional Development Designers	141
5.3.4	Recommendations for Curriculum Policymakers	142
5.3.5	Recommendations for Future Researchers.....	143
5.4	Limitations of the Study.....	144
5.5	Concluding Remarks	145
REFERENCES		147
APPENDICES		155



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Conceptual Framework of the Study	47
--	----



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. 1 Demarcation Criteria Distinguishing Deep Learning from Innovative Teaching	34
Table 3. 1 Operational Schedule of Research Activities (April–June 2026).....	52
Table 3. 2 Instrument Blueprint (Kisi-Kisi) for Data Collection	58
Table 3. 3 Classroom Observation Instrument Blueprint (Kisi-Kisi)	65
Table 3. 4 Document Analysis Instrument Blueprint (Kisi-Kisi)	68
Table 3. 5 Blueprint of the Teacher Perception Questionnaire	71
Table 3. 6 Phases of Reflexive Thematic Analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006, 2022).....	74
Table 3. 7 Trustworthiness Criteria, Strategies, and Alignment with Reflexive Thematic Analysis	78
Table 4. 1 Summary of Findings: Research Question 1 – Implementation of Deep Learning Pedagogy	91
Table 4. 2 Summary of Findings: Research Question 2 – Teachers’ Perceptions of Benefits and Challenges.....	97
Table 4. 3 Teacher Responses to the Perception Questionnaire (n = 4).....	98
Table 4. 4 Summary of Findings: Research Question 3 – Students’ Learning Experiences	105
Table 4. 5 Synthesis of Findings and Theoretical Connections	130
Table 5. 1 Summary of Recommendations by Stakeholder	143

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Interview Guide for English Teachers (Pedoman Wawancara Guru)	155
Appendix 2 Focus Group Discussion Guide for Students (Pedoman Diskusi Kelompok Terpumpun Siswa).....	159
Appendix 3 Classroom Observation Rubric (Pedoman Observasi Kelas)	161
Appendix 4 Document Analysis Guide (Pedoman Analisis Dokumen)	164
Appendix 5 Teacher Interview Transcripts (Transkrip Wawancara Guru).....	165
Appendix 6 Student Focus Group Discussion Transcripts (Transkrip FGD Siswa)	179
Appendix 7 Informed Consent Form (Lembar Persetujuan Partisipan).....	187
Appendix 8 Teacher Perception Questionnaire (Angket Persepsi Guru)	187

