

**PROSPECTIVE ENGLISH TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS
REGARDING A GOOD ENGLISH TEACHER
CHARACTERISTICS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS FOCUSED
ON GENDER AND LEVEL OF ACHIEVEMENT**



TESIS

OLEH:

NI PUTU DIANA EKA LESTARI

2329081052

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS
PROGRAM PASCASARJANA
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA**

2026



TESIS

DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK MENCAPAI GELAR MAGISTER PENDIDIKAN

	
Menyetujui	
Pembimbing I	Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. NIP.196407141988102001
Pembimbing II	Prof. Dr. Ni Luh Putu Sri Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Hum. NIP.197803112003122001



- UU ITE No. 11 Tahun 2008 Pasal 5 Ayat 1 "Informasi Elektronik dan/atau hasil cetaknya merupakan alat bukti hukum yang sah"
- Dokumen ini telah ditandatangani secara elektronik menggunakan sertifikat elektronik yang diterbitkan BSrE - BSSN, validitas dokumen elektronik ini bisa dicek menggunakan aplikasi mobile VeryDS oleh BSrE
- Cetakan dokumen ini merupakan salinan dari file dokumen bertandatangan elektronik yang keabsahannya dapat diakses melalui scan QRCode yang terdapat pada sertifikat ini.

Tesis oleh Ni Putu Diana Eka Lestari telah dipertahankan di depan tim penguji dan dinyatakan diterima sebagai salah satu persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Magister Pendidikan di Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris (S2), Program Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Pada tanggal 06 Agustus 2026

Dewan Penguji

Ketua	Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd. NIP.197609022000031001
Anggota	Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. NIP.196407141988102001
Anggota	Prof. Dr. Ni Luh Putu Sri Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Hum. NIP.197803112003122001
Anggota	Made Hery Santosa, S.Pd, M.Pd., Ph.D. NIP.197910232003121001
Anggota	Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd. M.Pd. NIP.198104192006042002

Mengetahui Direktur Program Pascasarjana
 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha,



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Jampel, M.Pd.

NIP.195910101986031003




- UU ITE No. 11 Tahun 2008 Pasal 5 Ayat 1 "Informasi Elektronik dan/atau hasil cetaknya merupakan alat bukti hukum yang sah"
- Dokumen ini telah ditandatangani secara elektronik menggunakan sertifikat elektronik yang diterbitkan BSrE - BSSN, validitas dokumen elektronik ini bisa dicek menggunakan aplikasi mobile VeryDS oleh BSrE
- Cetakan dokumen ini merupakan salinan dari file dokumen bertandatangan elektronik yang keabsahannya dapat diakses melalui scan QRCode yang terdapat pada sertifikat ini.

STATEMENT LETTER

I hereby honestly state that this postgraduate thesis in education, which is submitted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Education, is entirely my work. All information contained in this thesis that is derived from the works of others has been properly acknowledged by citing the names of the authors and sources correctly in accordance with academic norms, rules, and ethics.

If it is discovered in the future that part or all of this thesis is not my original work or that it contains plagiarism, I am willing to accept the consequences, including the revocation of my academic degree and other sanctions in accordance with Indonesian national law.



Singaraja, 10th August 2026

Statement by



Ni Putu Diana Eka Lestari

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The writer would like to express the greatest gratitude to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa, for the endless blessings and guidance; hence, this research paper entitled **“Prospective English Teachers’ Perceptions Regarding a Good English Teacher Characteristics: A Comparative Analysis Focused on Gender and Level of Achievement** could be accomplished as planned.

The writer would also like to thank people who had lent a hand for any assistance, support, time, patience, guidance, and suggestions during the accomplishment of this research paper.

1. Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. and Prof. Dr. Ni Luh Putu Sri Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Hum. as supervisors who had kindly given valuable guidance, inspiring ideas, supportive advice and feedback, as well a smotivation that help the writer a lot in finishing this research paper.
2. Made Hery Santosa, S.Pd., M.Pd., Ph.D., and Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd., as the thesis examiners who had accurately corrected and provided criticism for the development of this research paper.
3. Undiksha Postgraduate Director and staff, who have assisted the writer much in finishing this research paper.
4. English Language Education students of academic year 2024/2025 at Undiksha who had participated in this research
5. Appreciation and gratefulness are also expressed to the writer’s beloved family and loved ones (grandmother, father, mother, sister, brother, relatives, and the only one, Amore) for the endless support and great prayers every day.

The writer hopes that this research paper can be beneficial for everybody, especially for the teachers to enhance their knowledge, skills, and performance as good English teachers, so that they can establish meaningful learning. The writer really appreciates the constructive criticisms, suggestions, and feedback that are needed for the research paper. Hence, this research paper can be improved further in the future.



Singaraja, 10th August 2026

Ni Putu Diana Eka Lestari

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER	
STATEMENT LETTER	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ABSTRACT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Identification	11
1.3 Research Questions	12
1.4 Research Objectives	13
1.5 Research Significance	14
1.5.1 Theoretical Significance	14
1.5.2 Practical Significance	14
1.6 Definition of Key Terms	15
1.6.1 Conceptual Definition	15
1.6.2 Operational Definition	20
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED THEORIES	23
2.1 Theoretical Reviews	23
2.1.1 Notion of Perception	23
2.1.2 Prospective English Teachers	26
2.1.3 Characteristics of Good English Teacher	28
2.1.4 Notion of Gender	31
2.1.5 Level of Achievement and its Relation to Perceptions	33
2.2 Empirical Reviews	35
2.3 Conceptual Framework	39
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	42

3.1 Research Design.....	42
3.2 Population, Sample, and Object of the Research	43
3.2.1 Population and Sample.....	43
3.2.2 Classification of Participants.....	44
3.2.3 Object of the Research	44
3.3 Research Setting.....	45
3.4 Data Collection Method	45
3.5 Research Instruments	47
3.6 Validity and Reliability	50
3.6.1 Validity of the Instruments	50
3.6.2 Reliability of the Instruments.....	53
3.7 Data Analysis	54
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	58
4.1. The Perceptions of Male Prospective English Teachers Regarding the Characteristics of Good English Teachers	61
4.2 The Perceptions of Female Prospective English Teachers Regarding the Characteristics of Good English Teachers	65
4.3 The Perceptions of Prospective English Teachers with High Achievement Regarding the Characteristics of Good English Teachers	68
4.4 The Perceptions of Prospective English Teachers with High Achievement Regarding the Characteristics of Good English Teachers	72
4.5 Prerequisite Tests	76
4.5.1 Normality Test	77
4.5.2 Hypothesis Test.....	78
4.6 Discussion	81
4.7 Implications.....	89
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION.....	92
5.1 Summary	92
5.2 Conclusions.....	94
5.3 Suggestions	95
5.3.1 For Teacher Education Institutions	95

5.3.2 For Teacher Educators and Lecturers	96
5.3.3 For Future Researchers	96
REFERENCES	98
APPENDICES	



LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Blueprint of the Questionnaire.....	48
Table 3.2 The Blueprint of Interview Guide of Focus Group Discussion	49
Table 3.3 Gregory Formula.....	51
Table 3.4 Expert Judgment Result of Questionnaire	52
Table 3.5 Expert Judgment Result of FGD Interview Guide.....	53
Table 3.6 Cronbach's Alpha Range Score	54
Table 3.7 Reliability Test of the Questionnaire	54
Table 3.8 Perception Categories.....	50
Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics.....	59
Table 4.2 Descriptive Analysis Results of Male Prospective English Teachers.....	61
Table 4.3 Descriptive Analysis Results of Female Prospective English Teachers	65
Table 4.4 Descriptive Analysis Results of Prospective English Teachers with High Achievement	69
Table 4.5 Descriptive Analysis Results of Prospective English Teachers with Low Achievement	73
Table 4.6 Normality Test Between Female and Male Prospective English Teachers	77
Table 4.7 Normality Test Between High and Low-Achieving Prospective English Teachers	77
Table 4.8 The Hypothesis Test Result of Female and Male Prospective English Teachers	78
Table 4.9 The Hypothesis Test Result of High- and Low-Achieving Prospective English Teachers	788

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1 Conceptual framework.....	40
---------------------------------------	----



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Blueprint of Questionnaire	103
Appendix 2. Questionnaire Instrument	105
Appendix 3. Blueprint of Focus Group Discussion	109
Appendix 4. Instrument if FGD Interview Guide	111
Appendix 5. Expert Judgement Sheets of the Questionnaire.....	113
Appendix 6. Experts Judgements Sheets of FGD Interview Guide	101
Appendix 7. Category of Teacher's Perceptions based on Each Teacher Competency	105

