


**THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THINK-TALK-WRITE
(TTW) STRATEGY ON STUDENTS' WRITING SKILL
OF THE TENTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMKN 1
SUKASADA IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2019/2020**

THESIS



ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

LANGUAGE AND ART FACULTY

GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION


SINGARAJA

2020


**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK
MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

Menyetujui

Pembimbing I,


Putu Eka Dambayana S., S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 19781114 200812 1 002

Pembimbing II,


I Nyoman Pasek Hadi Saputra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 19780918 200604 1 001

Lembar Persetujuan Dewan Pengaji Skripsi

Skripsi oleh Kadek Dian Asvini ini
Telah dipertahankan di depan dewan pengaji
Pada tanggal 10 Juli 2020

Dewan Pengaji,

Dr. I Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 19760902 200003 1 001

(Ketua)

Prof. Dr. Ni Made Ratminingsih, M.A.
NIP. 19660903 199102 2 001

(Anggota)

Putu Eka Dambayana S., S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 19781114 200812 1 002

(Anggota)

I Nyoman Pasek Hadi Saputra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 19780918 200604 1 001

(Anggota)

Lembar Persetujuan dan Pengesahan Panitia Ujian Skripsi

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan

Pada:

Hari : Jumat
Tanggal : 24 Juli 2020

Mengetahui

Ketua Ujian,

Dr. I Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 19760902 200003 1 001

Sekertaris Ujian,

Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 19830923 200812 2 001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasan dan Seni



Prof. Dr. I Made Sutama, M.Pd.
NIP. 19600424 198603 1 002

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa skripsi yang berjudul "**The Effectiveness of Think-Talk-Write (TTW) Strategy on Students' Writing Skill of The Tenth Grade Students at Smkn 1 Sukasada in The Academic Year 2019/2020**" beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar-benar karya sendiri, dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung risiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila dikemudian hari ditemukan adanya pelanggaran atas etika dalam karya saya itu, atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Singaraja, 28 Juli 2020

Yang membuat pernyataan



Kadek Dian Asvini

NIM. 1512021076

DEDICATIONS

This thesis is highly dedicated

to

Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa

My Parents,

Drs. Komang Adia and Marni Nainggolan

My Sibling,

Luh Eka Diliani Pratiwi, M.Pd

My Lecturers,

Putu Eka Dambayana S., S.Pd, M.Pd

I Nyoman Pasek Hadi Saputra, M.Pd and all ELEs lecturers

My Best friends,

Nyoman Tri Kurniati Kusuma Astiti, Hakiki Mulia, Rafika Tri Julianti

My Mates,

Blast Class (B Class) and E'Fifteen

My Supportive Partner,

Ciko Van Mad Dog Kennel

Thank you for blessing, support, care, advice, suggestions, and everything along these years

May Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa

bless you all for all of your dedication

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

With gratitude and sincerer love, the writer would like to say thanks to God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasafor all the blessing in completing this four-year struggle and especially in completing this thesis entitled “The Effectiveness of Think-Talk-Write (Ttw) Strategy on Students’ Writing Skill of The Tenth Grade Students at SMKN 1 Sukasada in The Academic Year 2019/2020”.

The writer also would like to say a bunch of thanks to the surrounded good people who give lots of contribution on this thesis;

1. Putu Eka Dambayana S., S.Pd., M.Pd. as the first supervisor who had always professionally guided, given much support, and accommodated the writer during making this thesis,
2. I Nyoman Pasek Hadi Saputra, M.Pd. as the second supervisor who always professionally guided, given much support and great pieces of advice to compose this whole thesis excellently.
3. All of ELE’s Lecturers for these years struggle and endless support along years and especially in completing this thesis.
4. My beloved parents, Drs. Komang Adia and Marni Nainggolan who always support me with their love every time I got tired and desperate. Big thanks for the endless love and support.
5. My beloved sister, Luh Eka Diliani Pratiwi, M.Pd for her support and cares.
6. The headmaster of SMK Negeri 1 Sukasada, Drs. I Made Darwis Wibawa, MM who allows the writer to collect the data of this research and support the writer to conduct this research; then the English teacher of SMK Negeri 1 Sukasada, Nyoman Rini Muliadi, S.Pd who guides the writer since the teaching practice time until the completion of this research; and the students of class X Multimedia 2 and X Tata Boga 2 of SMK Negeri 1 Sukasada who were patient and listen to the writer’s guidance.
7. The writers’ friend in collage, classmates, best friends, and supportive partner who always be good friends of mine.

8. Finally, I place my deepest gratitude to one and all, who directly or indirectly, have lent their hand and spared their time in completion of this thesis.

It is true this research is still far away from the perfection, constructive criticism and suggestion are highly appreciated and expected for this research.

Singaraja, July 2020

Kadek Dian Asvini



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURE	x
LIST OF FORMULA	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
CHAPTER 1.....	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Identification	6
1.3 Research Problem	6
1.4 Research Objective	6
1.5 Research Significant	7
1.6 Research Scope	8
CHAPTER 2.....	9
2.1 Theoretical Review	9
2.1.1 Theory of Writing	9
2.1.1.1 Definition of Writing Skill.....	9
2.1.1.2 Process of Writing.....	10
2.1.1.3 Characteristics of Good Writing	12
2.1.2 Narrative Text.....	15
2.1.2.1 Definition	15

2.1.2.2 Generic Structure	16
2.1.2.3 Language Features	17
2.1.3 Strategy	17
2.1.3.1 Think Talk Write (TTW) Strategy.....	18
2.1.3.2 Procedure in Implementing TTW Strategy.....	20
2.1.3.3 Benefits of Think Talk Write (TTW) Strategy	21
2.2 Empirical Review.....	22
2.3 Conceptual Framework.....	25
CHAPTER 3	27
3.1 Research Setting.....	27
3.2 Research Design.....	28
3.3 Population and Sample	29
3.4 Research Variables.....	35
3.5 Method of Data Collection.....	36
3.6 Research Instrument.....	38
3.6.1 Writing Test (Pre-Test and Post Test).....	38
3.6.2 Writing Scoring Rubric	39
3.6.3 Teaching Scenario	40
3.6.4 Content Validity of Writing Test.....	42
3.6.5 Content Validity of Analytical Scoring Rubric.....	45
3.6.6 Try Out Instrument	47
3.6.4 Reliability	48

3.7 Procedure of Collecting Data.....	50
3.8 Method of Data Analysis	51
3.7.1 Descriptive Analysis	51
3.7.2 Inferential Analysis	53
3.7.3 Effect Size.....	56
3.9 Statistical Hypothesis.....	57
CHAPTER 4.....	58
4.1 Finding	58
4.1.1 Data Analysis	58
4.1.2 The Result of Descriptive Statistics	59
4.1.3 The Result of Inferential Statistics.....	64
4.2 Effect Size.....	69
4.3 Discussion.....	71
4.4 Implication	80
CHAPTER 5.....	82
5.1 Summary	82
5.2 Conclusion.....	83
5.3 Suggestion	84
5.2.1 For English Teacher	84
5.2.2 For Students.....	84
5.2.3 For Other Researchers	84
REFERENCES.....	84

APPENDICES.....



LIST OF TABLES

- Table 3.1 The Schedule of Observation, Pretest, Treatment, and Posttest in SMKN 1 Sukasada
- Table 3.2 Number of Students in Population
- Table 3.3 The Result of Normality Test
- Table 3.4 The Result of Homogeneity Test
- Table 3.5 Result of Independent Samples Test (t-test)
- Table 3.6 Difference Lesson Plan of Experimental and Control Group
- Table 3.7 Cross Tabulation Writing Test
- Table 3.8 Result of Cross Tabulation Table Writing Test
- Table 3.9 Level of Content Validity Writing Test
- Table 3.10 Result of Cross Tabulation Scoring Rubric
- Table 3.11 Level of Content Validity Scoring Rubric
- Table 3.12 Result of Interclass Correlation Coefficient
- Table 3.13 The Qualification of Reliability Coefficient Try Out
- Table 3.14 Criteria of normal distribution of the data
- Table 3.15 Criteria for testing homogeneity of variance
- Table 3.16 Criteria for Determining Significant Difference
- Table 3.17 Cohen's d effect size Interpretation
- Table 4.1 Case Processing Summary
- Table 4.2 The Descriptive Statistic Result of Score after Treatment
- Table 4.3 Summary of the Descriptive Analysis Result
- Table 4.4 Normality Test of Post-test
- Table 4.5 Homogeneity Test of Post-test

Table 4.6 The Result of Independent Samples T-Test

Table 4.7 Cohen's d effect size Interpretation



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Non Equivalent Control Group Design

Figure 3.2 Independent and Dependent Variables



LIST OF FORMULA

Formula 3.1 Gregory's Formula for Testing Validity



LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 1 Letter of Permission from SMK Negeri 1 Sukasada
- Appendix 2 Letter of conducting research from SMK Negeri 1 Sukasada
- Appendix 3 List of Student's Names
- Appendix 4 Lesson Plan for Control Group
- Appendix 5 Lesson Plan for Experimental Group
- Appendix 6 Writing Scoring Rubric
- Appendix 7 Blue Print of Writing Pre-Test and Post-Test
- Appendix 8 Expert Judge Sheet
- Appendix 9 The Result of Pre-test
- Appendix 10 The Result of Post test
- Appendix 11 Documentation of Students' Writing

