

**ANALYZING SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT USED BY  
TENTH-GRADE ENGLISH TEACHER FROM HIGHER  
ORDER THINKING SKILS PERSPECTIVE**

**SKRIPSI**

**Diajukan kepada**

**Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha**

**Untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan dalam Menyelesaikan  
Program Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Asing**

**Oleh**

**Ni Luh Anggita Prasistha Utami**

**1512021176**

**HALAMAN JUDUL**

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS**

**JURUSAN BAHASA ASING**

**FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI**

**UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA**

**SINGARAJA**

**2019**

**SKRIPSI**

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS  
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK  
MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

**Menyetujui**

Pembimbing I



Dr. Ni Luh Pt. Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 19810419200604200

Pembimbing II




A. A. Gd. Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 198806222014041001

## LEMBAR PENGESAHAN PENGUJI

Skripsi oleh Ni Luh Anggita Prasistha Utami ini telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji pada tanggal 23 September 2019

Dewan Penguji,



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A.  
NIP. 196203191987031001

(Ketua)

Penguji I,



Luh Gede Eka Wahyuni, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 198812012015042003

(Anggota)

Penguji II,



Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 198104192006042002

(Anggota)

Penguji III,



A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP. 198806222014041001

(Anggota)

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni  
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha  
Guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana

Pada:

Hari : Kamis  
Tanggal : 26 September 2019

**Mengetahui,**

Ketua Ujian,

Sekretaris Ujian,



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A.  
NIP. 19620319 198703 1 001

Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
NIP 19830923 200812 2 001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni




Prof. Dr. I Made Sutarna, M.Pd.  
NIP 19600424 198603 1 002

## PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis ini yang berjudul “ANALYZING SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT USED BY TENTH-GRADE ENGLISH TEACHER FROM HIGHER ORDER THINKING SKILLS PERSPECTIVE” beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar karya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung resiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya ini atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Singaraja, 23 September 2019



Ni Luh Anggita Prasistha Utami  
NIM 1512021176

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, the writer wants to express her sincere gratitude to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa for all of the blessing in finishing this thesis entitled “Analyzing Summative Assessment used by Tenth-Grade English Teacher from Higher Order Thinking Skills Perspective”.

The writer also would like to extend her gratitude and appreciation toward all the people around her who helped her bring this study into reality. Therefore, the writer would like to say thanks and give the highest appreciation to:

1. My parents for facilitating, supporting, encouraging, and giving the endless prayers to the writer,
2. Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi S.Pd.,M.Pd as the first supervisor and A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha,S.Pd.,M.Pd. as the second supervisor who have given valuable time, support, suggestions, advices, and supervision along the completion of this thesis,
3. Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the academic supervisor for four years with lots of love, care, support, motivation, and suggestion,
4. All of the ELE’s lecturers who always give the meaningful suggestions in completing this thesis,
5. The teachers (Ibu Erma, Bapak Wayan, and Ibu Sri) from SMAN 2 Singaraja, SMAN 3 Singaraja, and SMAN Singaraja who involved and giving their valuable time during collecting the data,
6. The writer’s family for the patient, support, motivation, suggestion, care, love, and everything.



7. The writer's thesis mates, organization mates, classmates, seniors and juniors in ELE.

The writer believes that this research is still far away from the perfection, therefore the constructive criticism and suggestions are highly appreciated and expected for this research.

Singaraja, September 2019

The Writer



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

HALAMAN JUDUL.....	ii
LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN.....	iii
LEMBAR PENGESAHAN PENGUJI.....	iv
PERNYATAAN.....	vi
MOTTO .....	viii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	ix
ABSTRACT .....	xi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	xiii
LIST OF TABLES .....	xv
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xvii
I INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Research Background .....	1
1.2 Problem Identification.....	4
1.3 Delimitation of Study.....	5
1.4 Statement of Problem.....	5
1.5 Purpose of Study .....	5
1.6 Significances of Study .....	6
II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE.....	7
2.1 Theoretical Review .....	7
2.1.1 Assessment in Curriculum 2013.....	7
2.1.2 Summative Assessment .....	8
2.1.3 Teacher Made Test vs Standardized Test.....	10
2.1.4 Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS).....	12
2.1.5 HOTS-based Items .....	14



2.2 Empirical Review.....	17
<b>III. RESEARCH METHOD .....</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1 Research Design .....	23
3.2 Research Setting .....	23
3.3 Subject and Object.....	24
3.4 Definition of Research Variable .....	24
3.5 Research Procedure .....	25
3.6 Method and Instrument of Data Collection .....	27
3.7 Method of Data Analysis .....	33
<b>IV. FINDING AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>36</b>
4.1 Findings .....	36
4.2 Discussion .....	46
4.3 Implication.....	50
<b>V. CLOSURE.....</b>	<b>52</b>
5.1 Summary.....	52
5.2 Conclusion .....	53
5.3 Suggestions .....	54
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>59</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Blueprint of Analysis Form .....	29
Table 3.2 Example of Checklist Analysis Form.....	32
Table 4.1 The Distribution of Cognitive Process of Item in SMAN 2 Singaraja .....	37
Table 4.2 The Distribution of Cognitive Process of Item in SMAN 3 Singaraja .....	40
Table 4.3 The Distribution of Cognitive Process of Item in SMAN 4 Singaraja .....	43
Table 4.2 Total HOTS and LOTS from Three Senior High Schools .....	45



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Bloom vs Anderson/Krathwohl .....	13
Figure 3.1	Overview process of this research.....	25



## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Checklist Analysis Form .....	60
Appendix 2 Result of Interview .....	124
Appendix 3 Daftar Pertanyaan Wawancara ( <i>Question List</i> ) .....	148
Appendix 4 Documentation during Interview.....	149

