

**ANALYZING THE TWELFTH-GRADE ENGLISH
TEACHER SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT FROM HOTS
PERPECTIVE**



OLEH

I GEDE EKA KORIPAN

NIM 1512021168

JURUSAN PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS

FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA

SINGARAJA

2019

**ANALYZING THE TWELFTH-GRADE ENGLISH
TEACHER SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT FROM HOTS
PERPECTIVE**

SKRIPSI

Diajukan kepada

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Singaraja

untuk memenuhi salah satu persyaratan menyelesaikan Program Sarjana

Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Oleh

I Gede Eka Koripan

NIM 1512021168

JURUSAN PENDIDIKAN BAHASA ASING

FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA

SINGARAJA

2019

SKRIPSI

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK
MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

Menyetujui

Pembimbing I



Dr. Ni Luh Pt. Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 19810419200604200

Pembimbing II



A. A. Gd. Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198806222014041001

SKRIPSI

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS DAN
MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK MENCAPAI
GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**



Menyetujui,

Pembimbing I,

Pembimbing II

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi', is written over a light-colored rectangular stamp or seal.

Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198104192006042002

A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198806222014041001

LEMBAR PENGESAHAN PENGUJI

Skripsi oleh I Gede Eka Koripan ini
Telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji
Pada tanggal, *23 September 2019*


Dewan Penguji,


Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A

(Ketua)

NIP. 19620319198703 1 001

Penguji I,


Luh Gede Eka Wahyuni, S.Pd., M.Pd.

(Anggota)

NIP. 198812012015042003

Penguji II,


Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.

(Anggota)

NIP. 198104192006042002

Penguji III,


A.A. Gede Yudha Pafamartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.

(Anggota)

NIP. 198806222014041001

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan


Pada:

Hari : Kamis
Tanggal : 26 September 2019

Mengetahui

Ketua Ujian,


Sekretaris Ujian,



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A.
NIP. 19620319 198703 1 001

Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198309232008122001

Mengesahkan
Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Prof. Dr. I Made Sutarna, M.Pd.
NIP. 196004241986031002

PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis ini yang berjudul “**ANALYZING THE TWELVTH-GRADE ENGLISH TEACHER SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT FROM HOTS PERPECTIVE**” beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar karya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung resiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya ini klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.



Singaraja, 17 Oktober 2017

I Gede Eka Koripan
NIM. 1512021168

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First thing first, I would like to say huge thanks to my Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa and all his manifestation for all of the blessing in completing this thesis entitled “**Analyzing The Twelvth-Grade English Teachers’ Summative Assessment From Hots Perspective**”. The writer believes without all the supports and contribution from all surroundings around me, maybe I am not at this point where I am at right now. Therefore, the writer would like to say thanks and give the highest appreciation to:

1. My lovely parents for facilitating, pushing, supporting and giving an endless pray to God for the good of the writer,
2. Dr. Luh Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the first supervisor for being care and understanding who give the writer a continuous support, suggestions, advices, and supervision along the completion of this thesis,
3. A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the second supervisor for the advices, suggestions, and supervision along the completion of this thesis,
4. Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the academic supervisor for four years with lots of love, care, support, motivation, and suggestion,
5. All of the ELE’s lecturers who always give the meaningful advices and suggestion in completing this thesis,

6. The teachers (Made Yunita Parmawati, Ketut Darmayasa, Made Risna Adnyana) from SMAN 2 Singaraja, SMAN 3 Singaraja, SMAN 4 Singaraja for the chance to conduct the study,
7. The writer's family for the patient, support, motivation, suggestion, care, love, and everything.
8. The writer's thesis mates, organization mates, classmates, seniors and juniors in ELE.

The writer believes that this research is still far away from the perfection, therefore the constructive criticism and suggestions are highly appreciated and expected for this research.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

HALAMAN JUDUL	ii
LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN	iii
LEMBAR PENGESAHAN PENGUJI.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xvi
CHAPTER I.....	1
INTRIDUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Problems	1
1.2 Problems Identification	5
1.3 Limitation of Study	6
1.4 Statement of Study	6
1.5 Purpose of Study	6
1.6 Significance of the Study.....	6
CHAPTER II	6
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	8
2.1 Theoretical Review.....	8
2.2 Review of Related Literature	17
CHAPTER III	19
RESEARCH METHOD	19
3.1 Research Design	19
3.2 Settings of the study.....	19
3.3 Research Subject and Object	20
3.4 Variables definition.....	20
3.5 Method and Instrument of Data Collection	21

3.6 Research Procedures	26
3.7 Method of Data Analysis.....	28
3.8 Trustworthiness	31
CHAPTER IV	32
FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	32
4.1 Findings	33
4.2 Discussion	51
4.3 Implication.....	55
CHAPTER V	56
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	56
5.1 Summary.....	56
5.2 Conclusion	57
5.3 Suggestions	58
REFERENCES	59
APPENDICES.....	62
Checklist Analysis Form.....	63
Result of the Interview	195
Object Instruments	207

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Checklist Analysis Form.....	22
Table 3.1 Blueprint Analysis Form.....	22
Table 4.1 The dimension of Cognitive Processes.....	33
Table 3.3 Item percentages from SMAN 2 Singaraja.....	34
Table 3.4 Item percentages from SMAN 3 Singaraja.....	40
Table 3.5 Item percentages from SMAN 4 Singaraja.....	45
Table 4.5 Percentages of HOTS from all instruments.....	50



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Bloom's Revised Taxonomy	10
Figure 3.1 Steps of Research Procedure	31



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Checklist Analysis Form.....	68
Appendix 2. Result of the interview	200
Appendix 3. Object Instrument	211
Appendix 4. Pictures.....	246

