

**THE EFFECTS OF SELF-CORRECTION AND PEER- CORRECTION
ON THE STUDENTS' WRITING COMPETENCY
IN SMP PGRI 2 DENPASAR**

THESIS



**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM
GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION
2019**

**THE EFFECTS OF SELF-CORRECTION AND PEER- CORRECTION
ON THE STUDENTS' WRITING COMPETENCY
IN SMP PGRI 2 DENPASAR**

THESIS


Presented to

Ganesha University of Education

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For Master Degree in Education

English Education Study Program



by

NI KADEK SUCI LAKSMI DEWI

NIM 1729081014

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM
GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION**

2019

This thesis by Ni Kadek Suci Laksmi Dewi, has been revised and approved
for

Thesis Examination

Singaraja, 9 August 2019

Supervisor I,



Prof. Drs. Dewa Komang Tantra, M.Sc., Ph.D
NIP: 195203131979031001

Supervisor II,



Drs. I Wayan Suarnajaya, M.A., Ph.D
NIP 195612311985111001




ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The completion of this post-graduate thesis will not be possible without the support of others. Therefore, the writer would like to express her gratitude, first of all, to the Almighty God, for His blessings, directing my way to this point and making things possible.

The writer would like to express her great appreciation for those who have contributed for the successfulness of this thesis. They are:

1. Prof. Drs. Dewa Komang Tantra, M.Sc., Ph.D., as the writers' first advisor who gave guidance, correction, solution, and support in accomplishing this thesis.
2. Drs. I Wayan Suarnajaya, M.A., Ph.D., as the writers' second advisor who gave guidance, and suggestion in accomplishing this thesis.
3. All of the lectures of Post Graduate study program who help the writer during two years in gaining new knowledge until the writer could finish this thesis.
4. All of the English teachers at SMP PGRI 2 Denpasar for their participation and contribution to the writer in conducting the research.

Finally, the writer realizes that this thesis is still far from being perfect. Thus, constructive comments and suggestions for improvement of this thesis are really needed.



STATEMENT LETTER

I hereby honestly state that this Post Graduate Thesis in Education that is written as partial requirement for the degree of Master in Education is my own work. All the information contained in this thesis which is derived from the work of others has been given an award by citing the name of the source authors correctly according to the academic norms, rules, and ethics.

If it is discovered in the future that a portion or the whole thesis is not my original work or that there is a case of plagiarism, I willingly accept the consequence of my academic title withdrawal and other sanctions as postulated by Indonesian National Law.

Singaraja, August 9, 20

Statement by



Ni Kadek Suci Laksmi Dewi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF APPENDICES	ix
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Identification	3
1.3 Reserach Questions	5
1.4 Research Objectives	6
1.5 Research Significance	7
1.6 Research Scope	8
CHAPTER II THEORITICAL REVIEWS, EMPERICAL REVIEWS, RESEARCH FRAMEWORK, AND HYPOTHESES	9
2.1 Theoretical Review	9
2.1.1 Writing Theory	9
2.1.2 Writing Competency	15
2.1.3 Writing Materials	16
2.1.4 Writing Assessment	20
2.2 Emperical Reviews	24
2.3 Research Framework	32
2.4 Research Hyphotesis	33

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD.....	35
3.1 Research Design	35
3.2 Population and Sample and Sampling	37
3.3 Research Variable	37
3.4 Data Collection Method	38
3.4.1 Instrument	38
3.4.2 Instrument Validation	39
3.4.3 Data Collection Techniques	42
3.5 Data Analysis Method	48
3.5.1 Data Sources	48
3.5.2 Data Types	48
3.5.3 Pre-Requisite Analysis	49
3.5.4 Data Analysis Techniques	49
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	52
4.1 Overview	52
4.2 Research Findings	52
4.2.1 The Effects of Self-correction and Peer-correction Techniques on the Students' Writing Competency	54
4.2.2 The Effects of Self-Correction and Peer-correction Technique on the Students' Competency in Writing Across Descriptive Text Types	60
4.2.3 The Effects of Self-Correction and Peer-correction Technique on the Students' Competency in Writing Across Recount Text Types	64
4.3 Discussions	68
4.3.1 The Effects of Self-correction and Peer-correction Techniques on the Students' Writing Competency	68
4.3.2 The Effects of Self-Correction and Peer-correction Technique on the Students' Competency in Writing Across Descriptive Text Types	72

4.3.3 The Effects of Self-Correction and Peer-correction Technique on the Students' Competency in Writing Across Recount Text Types	74
4.4 Research Implications	75
CHAPTER V CLOSURE	79
5.1 Summary	79
5.2 Conclusions	80
5.3 Sugestions	82
REFERENCES	84
APPENDICES	90



LIST OF TABLES


Table 3.1 Blue Print of Writing Competency for the Junior Secondary School in SMP PGRI 2 Denpasar.....	38
Table 3.2 Readability of the Writing Performance Assessment.....	40
Table 3.3 Reliability of the Writing Performance Assessment	41
Table 3.4 Content Validity of the Writing Performance Assessment.....	41
Table 3.5 Readability of the Writing Performance Assessment.....	47
Table 4.1 Data Recapitulation Result of the Test	53
Table 4.2 Descriptive Analysis of Students' Writing Competency.....	55
Table 4.3 One-way ANOVA Analysis of Self-correction and Peer-correction on Students' Writing Competency	60
Table 4.4 Descriptive Analysis of Students' Competency in Writing Descriptive Text	61
Table 4.5 One-way ANOVA Analysis of Self-correction and Peer-correction Across Descriptive Text.....	62
Table 4.6 The Multiple Comparison Tukey HSD of the Effect between Self- correction and Peer-correction on Students' Competency in Writing Descriptive Text	63
Table 4.7 Descriptive Analysis of Students' Competency in Writing Recount Text	65
Table 4.8 One-way ANOVA Analysis of Self-correction and Peer-correction Across Recount Text.....	66

Table 4.9 The Multiple Comparison Tukey HSD of the Effect between Self- correction and Peer-correction on Students' Competency in Writing Recount Text	69
--	----



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Diagram of the Research	33
Figure 3.1 The Quasi-Experimental Design.....	35
Figure 4.1 Diagram of Students' Writing Competency	59
Figure 4.2 Diagram of Students' Competency in Writing Descriptive Text ...	62
Figure 4.3 Diagram of Students' Competency in Writing Recount Text	65



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 List of the Sample First Group and Second Group.....	90
Appendix 2 Learning Scenario	86
Appendix 3 Lesson Plan.....	99
Appendix 4 Writing Performance Assessment.....	121
Appendix 5 Scoring Rubrics of Writing Performance Assessment	124
Appendix 6 Result of Expert Judgement	126
Appendix 7 Result of the Students' Writing Performance Assessment	193
Appendix 8 Readability, Reliability, and Validity Analysis.....	205
Appendix 9 Test of Normality.....	210
Appendix 10 Homogeneity Test.....	211
Appendix 11 Descriptive Analysis	212
Appendix 12 Inferential Analysis	202
Appendix 13 Documentation.....	215
Appendix 14 Students' Writing Performance	216