

**THE EFFECT OF SELF-ASSESSMENT AND PEER ASSESSMENT
ON STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION**

THESIS



**LANGUAGE EDUCATION
POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM
GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION**


August 2019

**THE EFFECT OF SELF-ASSESSMENT AND PEER ASSESSMENT
ON STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION**

THESIS

Presented to

**Ganesha University of Education
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for Master Degree in Education
English Education Study Program**



**by
GUSTI AYU ANGGA ARI FEBRIYANTI
NIM 1729081031**

**LANGUAGE EDUCATION
POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM
GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION**

August 2019



This thesis by Gusti Ayu Angga Ari Febriyanti, has been revised and approved for
Thesis Examination

Singaraja, 26 August 2019
Supervisor I,

Prof. Dr. Anak Agung Istri Ngurah Marhaeni, M.A.
NIP. 19640326 199003 2 002

Supervisor II,



Dr. I G. A. Lokita Purnamika Utami, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 19830402 200604 2 001

This thesis by Gusti Ayu Angga Ari Febriyanti has been successfully defended in front of the Board of Examiners and accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master in Education in English Education, Post Graduate Study Program, Ganesha University of Education.

Approved on: August 26th, 2019

by Board of Examiners



....., Chairman (Prof. Dr. Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, M.A.)
NIP. 19620202 198803 2 001



....., Member (Dr. Ni Made Ratminingsih, M.A.)
NIP. 19660908 199102 2 002




....., Member (Dr. N. L. Pt Eka Sulistia Dewi, M.Pd.)
NIP. 198104 19200604 2 002



....., Member (Dr. I G. A. Lokita Purnamika Utami, M.Pd.)
NIP. 19830402 200604 2 001

....., Member (Prof. Dr. A. A. I. Ngurah Marhaeni, M.A.)
NIP. 19640326 199003 2 002



Acknowledged by

Director of Post-Graduate Program
Ganesha University of Education,

Prof. Dr. I Gusti Putu Suharta, M.Si.

NIP. 19621215 198803 1 002

STATEMENT LETTER

I hereby honestly state that this Post Graduate Thesis in education that is written as partial requirement for the degree of Master in Education is my own work. All the information contained in this thesis which is derived from the work of others had been given an award by citing the name of the source authors correctly according to the academic norms, rules, and ethics.

If it is discovered in the future that a portion or the whole thesis is not my original work or that there is a case of plagiarism, I willingly accept the consequence of my academic title withdrawal and other sanctions as postulated by Indonesian National Law.



Singaraja, 27 August 2019

Statement by

METERAI
TEMPEL
40EBBAFF893460047
6000
ENAM RIBU RUPIAH

Gusti Ayu Angga Ari Febriyanti

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The completion of this post-graduate thesis will not be possible without the support of others. Because of this, I would like to address my gratitude. First of all, to God the Almighty, who guided, directed my path up to this point and made all things possible.

My deep appreciation should go to

1. My principal supervisor Prof. Dr. Anak Agung Istri Ngurah Marhaeni, M. A., and my second supervisor Dr. I. G. A. Lokita Purnamika Utami, S.Pd., M.Pd., whose patience, expertise, encouragement and gentle guidance have kept me focused on the task at hand. I could not thank them enough for their dedication, consistency and commitment.
2. The rector of the Ganesha University of Education for the moral supports and facilities, prior to and during the completion of my study in the university.
3. The director of the Post Graduate Study program, Ganesha University of Education for his support, motivation and encouragement so that I could keep going and finalize this thesis.
4. The entire lectures of the English Language Education Program for their great contribution during the writer's study period.
5. Mr. Suardina, S.Pd and Mr. Gatot, S.Pd, the English teachers in SMP N 2 Mendoyo for their support and cooperation.
6. My parents, my aunt and my boyfriend as my main motivation in conducting this thesis.

My special appreciation should also go to a long list of friends, who cannot possibly be mentioned one by one. Their friendship, supports, motivation, and encouragement have been invaluable and helped support me through the end of this academic journey.

Finally, the writer realizes that this piece of writing is still far from being perfect. Therefore, any critiques, comments, and suggestions are needed for the betterment of this thesis in the future.

Singaraja, 27 August 2019




TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF CHARTS	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Identification of the problem	9
1.3 Delimitation of the problems	9
1.4 Research Problems	10
1.5 Research Objectives	10
1.6 Research Significances.....	11
CHAPTER II THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	13
2.1 Theoretical Review	13
2.1.1 Authentic Assessment in EFL	13
2.1.2 Assessment Strategies	16

2.1.2.1	Conventional Assessment	16
2.1.2.2	Self-Assessment	17
2.1.2.3	Peer Assessment	22
2.1.3	Definition of Reading	28
2.1.4	Reading Comprehension	31
2.1.5	Aspects of Assessment in Reading Comprehension	33
2.2	Empirical Review	35
2.3	Conceptual Framework	39
2.4	Research Hypothesis	40
CHAPTER III	RESEARCH METHODS	41
3.1	Research Design	41
3.2	Population and Sample of the Study	44
3.2.1	Population	44
3.2.2	Sample	45
3.3	Research Variable	47
3.4	Data Collection and Research Instrument	50
3.4.1	Data Collection	50
3.4.2	Research Instrument	51
3.5	Try Out Instrument	53
3.5.1	The Validity of the Instruments	54
3.5.1.1	Validity of Reading Comprehension Test	54
3.5.2	The Reliability of the Instrument	65

3.5.2.1 Reliability of Reading Comprehension Test	65
3.6 Data Collection Procedure	66
3.7 Method of Data Analysis	68
3.7.1 Method of Quantitative Data Analysis	68
3.7.1.1 Descriptive Statistics	68
3.7.1.2 Inferential Statistics	68
3.7.2 Method of Qualitative Data Analysis	70
3.8 Hypothesis Testing	71
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSSION	73
4.1 Research Findings	73
4.1.1 The Description of Reading Comprehension Score in Experimental Group (treated by Self-assessment)	73
4.1.2 The Description of Reading Comprehension Score in Experimental Group (treated by Peer Assessment)	76
4.1.3 The Description of Reading Comprehension Score in Control Group (treated by Conventional Assessment)	78
4.2 Prerequisite Test for Hypothesis Testing	81
4.3 Hypothesis Testing	85
4.4 The Implementation of Self-assessment in Reading Comprehension Lesson	88

4.5 The Implementation of Peer Assessment in Reading Comprehension Lesson	90
4.6 The Implementation of Conventional Assessment in Reading Comprehension Lesson	92
4.7 Discussion	94
4.8 Implication	97
CHAPTER V CLOSURE	99
5.1 Summary	99
5.2 Conclusion	102
5.3 Suggestion	103
REFERENCES	104
APPENDICES	114



LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Number of Students in Population	45
Table 3.2	Test of Normality of the Sample	46
Table 3.3	Test of Homogeneity of Variance	46
Table 3.4	Blue Print of Reading Comprehension Test	51
Table 3.5	Validation Instrument Summary	54
Table 3.6	Content Validation Judgments for the Reading Comprehension Tests	56
Table 3.7	Expert Judgment Comparisons for the Reading Comprehension Tests	57
Table 3.8	The Summary of Item Validity in Reading Comprehension Test.....	58
Table 3.9	The Summary of Discrimination Index of Item in Reading Comprehension Test.....	61
Table 3.10	The Summary of Index of Difficulty of Item in Reading Comprehension Test	63
Table 3.11	Criteria for Testing Normal Distribution	69
Table 3.12	Criteria for Testing Homogeneity of Variance	70
Table 4.1	The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of Experimental Group 1 (treated by Self-assessment)	74
Table 4.2	The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of the Experimental Group 1 in Percentage (treated by Self-assessment)	74

Table 4.3	The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of Experimental Group 2 (treated by Peer Assessment)	76
Table 4.4	The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of the Experimental Group 2 in Percentage (treated by Peer Assessment)	77
Table 4.5	The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of Control Group (treated by Conventional Assessment)	78
Table 4.6	The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of the Control Group in Percentage (treated by Conventional Assessment)	79
Table 4.7	The Criteria for Testing Normal Distribution	82
Table 4.8	The Result of Normality Test	82
Table 4.9	The Criteria for Testing Homogeneity of Variance	84
Table 4.10	The Result of Homogeneity Test of Variance	84
Table 4.11	The Result of the Hypothesis Testing	85
Table 4.12	The Multiple Comparison of The Effects of Self-assessment and Peer Assessment on Students' Reading Comprehension	86
Table 4.13	The Implementation of Self-assessment in Reading Comprehension Lesson	89
Table 4.14	The Result of the Implementation of Self-assessment in Reading Comprehension in Percentage	90
Table 4.15	The Implementation of Peer Assessment in Reading Comprehension Lesson	91
Table 4.16	The Result of the Implementation of Peer assessment in Reading Comprehension in Percentage	91

Table 4.17	The Implementation of Conventional Assessment in Reading Comprehension Lesson	93
Table 4.18	The Result of the Implementation of Conventional Assessment in Reading Comprehension in Percentage	93




LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Steps of Self-Assessment	21
Figure 2.2	Steps of Peer Assessment	26
Figure 2.3	The Conceptual Framework	39
Figure 3.1	The Steps in Conducting a Mixed Method Study	41
Figure 3.2	The Diagram of Post-test Only Control Group Design	43
Figure 3.3	Post-test Only Control Group Design	43



LIST OF CHARTS

Chart 4.1	Bar Chart of Students' Reading Comprehension Treated by Self-assessment	75
Chart 4.2	Bar Chart of Students' Reading Comprehension Treated by Peer Assessment	78
Chart 4.3	Bar Chart of Students' Reading Comprehension Treated by Conventional Assessment	80



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Lesson Plan for Control Group (Conventional Assessment)	114
Appendix 2. Lesson Plan for Experimental Group 1 (Self-assessment)	125
Appendix 3. Lesson Plan for Experimental Group 2 (Peer Assessment)	136
Appendix 4. Blue Print Self-assessment Checklist	148
Appendix 5. Self-assessment Checklist	149
Appendix 6. Blue Print Peer Assessment Checklist	150
Appendix 7. Peer Assessment Checklist	151
Appendix 8. Blue Print Conventional Assessment Checklist	152
Appendix 9. Conventional Assessment Checklist	153
Appendix 10. Blue Print of the Implementation of Self-assessment in Teaching Narrative Text	154
Appendix 11. Self-assessment Observation Sheet	157
Appendix 12. Blue Print of the Implementation of Peer Assessment in Teaching Narrative Text	158
Appendix 13. Peer Assessment Observation Sheet	161
Appendix 14. Blue Print of the Implementation of Conventional Assessment in Teaching Narrative Text	162
Appendix 15. Conventional Assessment Observation Sheet	165
Appendix 16. Gregory Formula Used	166
Appendix 17. Item Validity for Reading Comprehension Tests	167

Appendix 18. Discrimination Index of Item in Reading	
Comprehension Tests	170
Appendix 19. Index Difficulty of Items in Reading	
Comprehension Tests	173
Appendix 20. Reading Comprehension Test	176
Appendix 21. The Result of Reliability of Reading Comprehension Test	182
Appendix 22. The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of the Experimental Group 1 Treated by Self-assessment	183
Appendix 23. The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of Experimental Group 1 in Percentage Treated by Self-assessment	185
Appendix 24. The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of the Experimental Group 2 Treated by Peer Assessment.....	186
Appendix 25. The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of Experimental Group 2 in Percentage Treated by Peer Assessment.....	188
Appendix 26. The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of the Control Group Treated by Conventional Assessment.....	189
Appendix 27. The Result of Reading Comprehension Test of Control Group in Percentage Treated by Conventional Assessment	191