

English Teachers' Perception Towards the Use of MALL

(Mobile Assisted Language Learning) in TPACK

Framework in SMA Negeri in Singaraja



By:

Ni Komang Putri Hendrayani

1612021170

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION
FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND ART
GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION**

2021

**English Teachers' Perception Towards the Use of MALL
(Mobile Assisted Language Learning) in TPACK
Framework in SMA Negeri in Singaraja**

SKRIPSI

Diajukan kepada

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

Untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan dalam Menyelesaikan

Program Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris



**PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS
JURUSAN BAHASA ASING
FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA
SINGARAJA**

2021

SKRIPSI

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MEMENUHI SALAH SATU PERSYARATAN
DALAM MENYELESAIKAN**

PROGRAM SARJANA PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS



Pembimbing 1

Prof. Dr. Putu Kerti Nitiasih, M.A.

NIP. 196206261986032002

Pembimbing 2

Putu Adi Krisna Juniarta, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 198706122015041006

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PENGUJI

Skripsi oleh Ni Komang Putri Hendrayani telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji pada 22 Desember 2021

Dewan Penguji

(Ketua)



**Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 197609022000031001**

Penguji 1,


(Anggota)



**Made Hery Santosa, Ph.D.
NIP. 197910232003121001**

Penguji 2,

(Anggota)



**Prof. Dr. Putu Kerti Nitiasih, M.A.
NIP. 196206261986032002**

Penguji 3,

(Anggota)



**Putu Adi Krisna Juniarta, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198706122015041006**

Diterima Oleh:

Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa & Seni

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

Guna Memenuhi Syarat untuk Mencapai Gelar Sarjana Pendidikan

Pada:

Hari: Kamis

Tanggal: 28 Januari 2021

Ketua Ujian



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 197609022000031001

Sekretaris Ujian



Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198309232008122001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa & Seni
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha



Prof. Dr. I Made Sutarna, M.Pd.

NIP. 196004241986031002

STATEMENT LETTER

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis yang berjudul “English Teachers’ Perception Towards the Use of MALL (Mobile Assisted language Learning in TPACK Framework in SMA Negeri in Singaraja” beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar-benar karya saya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung resiko sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila kemudian ditemukan adanya pelanggaran atas etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.



Singaraja, Januari 2021

Yang membuat pernyataan,



Ni Komang Putri Hendrayani

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, the writer would like to express her highest gratitude to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa, for His blessing so this thesis entitled “English Teachers’ Perception Towards the Use of MALL (Mobile Assisted Language Learning) in TPACK Framework in SMA Negeri in Singaraja” could be accomplished in time.

My sincerest gratitude is also expressed to Prof. Dr. Putu Kerti Nitiasih, M.A. and Putu Adi Krisna Juniarta, S.Pd., M.Pd. for the continuous and valuable guidance, advice, and encouragement in writing this thesis. The deepest gratitude is also expressed to all the lecturers of English Language Education of Ganesha University of Education for their guidance, support, and knowledge that had been given to me during the past four years of my study in the university. Furthermore, the writer would like to give thanks to some parties who had contributed for the success of this thesis. They are:

1. All of the English Teachers in SMA Negeri 1,2,3 and 4 Singaraja who had been cooperative with the writer in collecting the data in their class
2. My great family for the never ending sincere love, support, and prayer
3. For Prayudi Vighraha as the one who always support and give the motivated to the writer
4. The writers’ friend in college, classmates, thesis mates, KKN and PPL-Real mates.

Finally, the writer hopes that this thesis can be useful and beneficial for the reader. Therefore, critics and suggestions for the improvement of this thesis are most welcomed.

Singaraja, Januari 2021




Ni Komang Putri Hendrayani

TABLE OF CONTENTS


STATEMENT LETTER	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
ABSTRACT	xii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Identification of the Problem	3
1.3 Research Scope	4
1.4 Research Question.....	4
1.5 Research Objective.....	4
1.6 Research Significance	5
1.6.1 Theoretical Significance.....	5
1.6.2 Practical Significance.....	5
CHAPTER II THEORETICAL REVIEW.....	6
2.1 Theoretical Review	6
2.1.1 Perception.....	6
2.1.2 Mobile Assisted Language Learning (MALL)	7
2.1.3 Technology Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK)	8
2.2 Empirical Review.....	11
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	15
3.1 Research Design.....	15
3.2 Setting and Participant	15
3.3 Instrument Data Collection	15
3.4 Research Instrument Testing.....	19
3.4.1 Content Validity	20
3.4.2 Reliability.....	21
3.5 Data Analysis	22
3.6 Research Matrix	22

CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	24
4.1 Findings.....	24
4.1.1 English Teachers' Perception toward MALL	24
4.1.2. Result of Technological Knowledge Dimension	26
4.1.3. The Result of Content Knowledge Dimension	27
4.1.4 The Result of Pedagogical Knowledge	27
4.1.5. The Result of Pedagogical Content Knowledge	28
4.1.6. The Result of Technological Content Knowledge	29
4.1.7. The Result of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge	30
4.2 The Result of Interview	31
4.3 Discussions.....	33
4.3 Implication	39
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION	40
5.1 Conclusion	40
5.2 Suggestion	41
5.2.1 English Teachers	41
5.2.2 Further Research	41
REFERENCES	43
APPENDIX	45



LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Blueprint of Questionnaire.....	16
Table 3.2 Interview Guide Blueprint	19
Table 3.3 Cross Tabulation	20
Table 3.4 Content Validity Level.....	20
Table 3.5 The Inter-rater Agreement for Content Validity	21
Table 3.6 Reliability of Instrument	21
Table 3.7 Mean Score Criteria	22
Table 3.8 Research Matrix	23
Table 4.1 Descriptive Analysis of Teacher Perception Result.....	25
Table 4.2 TK Dimension.....	26
Table 4.3 Descriptive Analysis of TK.....	26
Table 4.4 Frequency of Content Knowledge	27
Table 4.5 Descriptive Analysis of CK	27
Table 4.6 Frequency of Pedagogical Knowledge	27
Table 4.7 Descriptive Analysis of PK.....	28
Table 4.8 Frequency of Pedagogical Content Knowledge.....	28
Table 4.9 Descriptive Analysis of PCK.....	29
Table 4.10 Frequency of Technological Content Knowledge	29
Table 4.11 Descriptive Analysis of TCK.....	29
Table 4.12 Frequency of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge.....	30
Table 4.13 Descriptive Analysis of TPCK.....	30
Table 4.14 Interview Result	31



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Descriptive Analysis.....	46
Appendix 2 Transcript of Interview.....	52
Appendix 3 Instrument Reliability.....	55

