

**THE EFFECT OF METALINGUISTIC CORRECTIVEFEEDBACK ON
THEEIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS'WRITINGPERFORMANCE AT SMP
NEGERI 2 BANGLI**

SKRIPSI

**Diajukan kepada
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
Untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan dalam Menyelesaikan
Program Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris**



**Oleh
Ni Kadek Kerti Rahayu
NIM 1512021154**

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS
JURUSAN BAHASA ASING
FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA
SINGARAJA**

2019

SKRIPSI

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS-TUGAS DAN MEMENUHI
SYARAT UNTUK MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

Menyetujui,

Dosen Pembimbing I,



Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198104192006042002

Dosen Pembimbing II,



A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 198806222014041001

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PENGUJI

Skripsi oleh Ni Kadek Kerti Rahayu ini telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji pada hari Senin, 07 Oktober 2019

Dewan penguji,



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A.

(Ketua)

NIP. 196203191987031001

Penguji I,



Putu Eka Dambayana, S.Pd., M.Pd.

(Anggota)

NIP. 197811142008121002

Penguji II,



Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.

(Anggota)

NIP. 198104192006042002

Penguji III,



A. A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd

(Anggota)

NIP. 198806222014041001

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

Guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan

Pada

Hari : Jumat

Tanggal : 11 Oktober 2019


Menyetujui,

Ketua Ujian,



Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A.
NIP. 196203191987031001

Sekretaris Ujian,



Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd
NIP. 198309232008122001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Prof. Dr. Made Sutama, M.Pd.
NIP. 196004241986031002

PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis yang berjudul **“The Effect of Metalinguistic Corrective Feedback on the Eighth Grade Students’ Writing Performance”** beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar karya sendiri dan tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini saya siap menanggung resiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila kemudian ditemukan adanya pelanggaran atas etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini atau klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Singaraja,

Yang membuat pernyataan,



Ni Kadek Kerti Rahayu

NIM. 1512021154

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, the writer would like to express the greatest gratitude to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa for the blessing so that the thesis entitled “The Effect of Metalinguistic Corrective Feedback on the Eighth Grade Students’ Writing Performance at SMP Negeri 2 Bangli” could be accomplished.

This study would not finish without support, good wishes and contribution from many people around the writer. Therefore, the researcher would like to express the highest appreciation for the following people who have given contribution to this study.

1. Dr. Ni Luh Putu Eka Sulistia Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the first advisor, who had given great guidance, ideas, suggestions, motivation as well as corrections to this thesis.
2. A.A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the second advisor, who had been patient in guiding, giving suggestion and giving correction this thesis during the supervision period.
3. Putu Eka Dambayana, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the first examiner who had given great guidance, suggestions as well as corrections to this thesis.
4. All the lectures of the English Language Education of Ganesha University of Education, who had given knowledge to the writer during the past four years.
5. Ida Bagus Gede Wardana, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the headmaster of SMP Negeri 2 Bangli for giving permission and support to the writer to conduct this study in the school that he lead.
6. Putu Gede Gama, S.Pd. as one of English teacher at SMP Negeri 2 Bangli who always gave support and help in obtaining the data and all students in eighth grade who had participated in accomplishing this study.
7. I Ketut Sujana (father), Ni Wayan Sumadi (mother), Ni Wayan Rusmala Dewi (sister), I Komang Kembar Sedana Arta (brother), I Ketut Kembar Sugih Arta (brother) as the writer’s beloved family with their prayers, love, advices, motivation, patience and support to accomplish this thesis and also for my best partner (Rahmat Ardiyasa) thank you for always being patient and supporting me.

8. All of my friends in D class (DoubleD) and Kardus Squad (Isha, Diana, Depek, Yuri, Kunde, Kimong, Mila, Juni, Candani, Ega, and Tari) who had accompanied the writer through my ups and downs, took care of the writer, gave support as well as suggestions, and gave wonderful stories to the writer's life.

Finally, the writer realizes that this thesis is a long way of perfectness. Therefore, constructive comment and suggestion for the improvement of this thesis are highly needed.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF DIAGRAMS	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Identification	11
1.3 Limitation of the Problem	12
1.4 Statement of the Problem	12
1.5 Research Objectives	13
1.6 Research Significance	13
1.6.1 Theoretical Significance	13
1.6.2 Practical Significance	13
1.7 Definition of Key Terms	14
1.7.1 Conceptual Definition	14
1.7.2 Practical Definition	15
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	16
2.1 Theoretical Review	16
2.1.1 Nature of Writing	16
2.1.2 Writing Performance	21
2.1.3 Feedback	22
2.1.4 Metalinguistic Corrective Feedback	25
2.2 Purpose of Writing	30
2.3 Hypothesis	34
2.4 Research Framework	34

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	36
3.1 Research Setting	36
3.2 Research Design	36
3.3 Population and Sample	38
3.4 Research Variables	42
3.5 Method and Instrument of Data Collection	43
3.5.1 Instrument for Data Collection	43
3.5.2 Instrument for the Treatment	46
3.5.3 Instrument Development	46
3.5.4 Instrument Validation	48
3.5.5 Reliability	51
3.5.6 Process of Data Collection	53
3.6 Method of Data Analysis	55
3.6.1 Data Source	55
3.6.2 Data Analysis	55
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	60
4.1 Data Description	60
4.1.1 Data Description of Students' Writing Performance Taught by Using Metalinguistic Corrective Feedback	62
4.1.2 Data Description of Students' Writing Performance Taught by Using Indirect Corrective Feedback	65
4.2 Requirement Test for Hypothesis	68
4.2.1 Hypothesis Testing	68
4.2.2 Effect Size	71
4.3 Discussion	72
4.4 Implication	80
CHAPTER V SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	81
5.1 Summary	81
5.2 Conclusion	83
5.3 Suggestion	83

REFERENCES	85
-------------------------	-----------

APPENDICES	
-------------------	--



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 List of Error Codes	27
Table 3.1 Number of Students in Population	39
Table 3.2 The Result of Normality Test in Population	40
Table 3.3 The Result of Homogeneity Test in Population.....	41
Table 3.4 The Result of Equality Test of Variance	41
Table 3.5 The Distribution of Research Sample	42
Table 3.6 Tabulation of Content Validity	49
Table 3.7 Level of Content Validity	50
Table 3.8 The Result of Content Validity for the Post Test	50
Table 3.9 The Result of Content Validity for the Writing Scoring Rubric	51
Table 3.10 The Qualification of the Reliability Coefficient	52
Table 3.11 The Result Intraclass Correlation Coefficient	53
Table 3.12 Criteria for Testing Normal Distribution	57
Table 3.13 Criteria for Testing Homogeneity of Variance	57
Table 3.14 The Qualification of <i>t</i> -test Value	58
Table 3.15 Effect Size Level	59
Table 4.1 The Result of Descriptive Statistics Analysis	61
Table 4.2 Data Distribution of Experimental Group Taught by Metalinguistic Indirect Corrective Feedback	63
Table 4.3 The Tendency of the Students' Writing Performance Taught by Using Metalinguistic Corrective Feedback	65
Table 4.4 Data Distribution of Control Group Taught by Using Indirect Corrective Feedback	66
Table 4.5 The Tendency of the Students' Writing Performance Taught by Using Indirect Corrective Feedback	67
Table 4.6 The Result of Normality Test of Post-test	68
Table 4.7 The Result of Homogeneity Test of Post-test	69
Table 4.8 The Result of Independent Sample t-test	70

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Research Framework	35
Figure 3.1 Post-test Only Control Group Design.....	37
Figure 3.2 The Constellation of Independent and Dependent Variables	43



LIST OF DIAGRAMS

Diagram 4.1 Histogram of Frequency of Data Distribution in Experimental Group	64
Diagram 4.2 Histogram of Frequency of Data Distribution in Control Group.....	66



LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 01. Attachment Letter
- Appendix 02. Attachment Letter
- Appendix 03. Research Schedule
- Appendix 04. The Differences between Experimental Group and Control Group
Treatment of Syntax
- Appendix 05. Writing Performance Test (Post-Test)
- Appendix 06. Writing Test (Pre-Test) Used before Determining the Samples
- Appendix 07. Writing Scoring Rubric
- Appendix 08. Lesson Plan for Experimental Group
- Appendix 09. Lesson Plan for Control Group
- Appendix 10. Sample of the Study
- Appendix 11. The Result of Instruments Try out in at SMP N 3 Singaraja
- Appendix 12. Post Test Score of Experimental Group Taught by Using
Metalinguistic Corrective Feedback
- Appendix 13. Post Test Score of Control Group Taught by Using Indirect
Corrective Feedback
- Appendix 14. The Result of the Statistical Analysis
- Appendix 15. The Calculation Category of Tendency of Students' Writing
Performance Taught by Using Metalinguistic Corrective
Feedback
- Appendix 16. The Calculation Category of Tendency of Students' Writing
Performance Taught by Using Indirect Corrective Feedback
- Appendix 17. Students Writing During the Treatment of Experimental Group
- Appendix 18. Students Writing During the Treatment of Control Group
- Appendix 19. Students' Writing of Experimental Group
- Appendix 20. Students' Writing of Control Group
- Appendix 21. Documentation