

**AN ANALYSIS OF THE ENGLISH TEACHERS'
PERCEPTION ON REFLECTIVE THINKING
PRACTICE IN ONLINE LEARNING IN SMAS
LABORATORIUM UNDIKSHA**



JURUSAN BAHASA ASING

FAKULTAS BAHASA DAN SENI

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA SINGARAJA

2021

SKRIPSI

**DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS DAN MEMENUHI
SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK MENCAPAI GELAR SARJANA
PENDIDIKAN**



Menyetujui

Pembimbing I


Pufu Ngurah Wage Myartawan, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP.198210052006041005

Pembimbing II

Ni Putu Astiti Pratiwi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198808252015042002

Skripsi oleh Ni Kadek Nia Ivana Ayu ini telah dipertahankan di depan dewan
penguji pada tanggal 11 Juni 2021

Dewan Penguji,



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 197609022000031001

(Ketua)


Penguji I,



Made Hery Santosa, Ph.D
NIP. 197910232003121001

(Anggota)


Penguji II,



I Putu Ngurah Wage Myartawan, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198210052006041005

(Anggota)

Penguji III,



Ni Putu Astiti Pratiwi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198808252015042002

(Anggota)

Lembar Persetujuan dan Pengesahan Panitia Ujian Skripsi

Diterima oleh Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha


Guna memenuhi syarat-syarat untuk mencapai gelar sarjana pendidikan

Pada:

Hari : Selasa


Tanggal : 29 Juni 2021

Ketua Ujian,



Dr. Dewa Putu Ramendra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 197609022000031001

Sekretaris Ujian,



Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198309232008122001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni



Prof. Dr. I Made Sutama, M.Pd.
NIP. 196004241986031002

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis ini yang berjudul **“AN ANALYSIS OF THE ENGLISH TEACHERS’ PERCEPTION ON REFLECTIVE THINKING PRACTICE IN ONLINE LEARNING IN SMAS LABORATORIUM UNDIKSHA”** beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar karya saya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung risiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Singaraja, 29 Juni 2021

Pemulis,



Ni Kadek Nia Ivana Ayu

NIM. 1712021052

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First thing first, all praise be to Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa for all the blessing and mercy is upon great, thus the researcher can finish this thesis entitled “The Analysis of The Teachers’ Reflective Thinking Practice During Online Learning in SMAS Laboratorium Undiksha” could be accomplished. Furthermore, the researcher would like to express her sincere to everyone for the supports, guidance, and help to complete this thesis. Therefore, in this opportunity the researcher wants to thank to:

1. I Putu Ngurah Wage Myartawan, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the first counselor, who offers great guidances, ideas, suggestions as well as the correction to this thesis from the beginning till the end of this research..
2. Ni Made Astiti Pratiwi, S.Pd. M.Pd. as the second counselor who patiently guides, gives suggestion and motivation during the supervision period.
3. Luh Gede Eka Wahyuni S.Pd., M.Pd. as the academic supervisor who gives endless support from during the supervision period.
4. The researcher’s beloved parents I Nyoman Sudaya and Gusti Ayu Made Artika who give motivation and encouragement and financial to support the writer’s study.
5. I Gede Hendra Mardana who always accompany the researcher by giving motivation, support, and love.
6. Si Kutjit members: Anggi Natalia, Tosa, Ages, Laras, and Purnia for being the writer’s bestfriends during the college years and still counting. Further, the writer would like to thank to Anggi Natalia, Harista, Sastra Oka and Dearya who always gave support, exchanged ideas, and motivation during

this research and Kudaliar member which i cannot mentioned one by one.

Thanks for being a rainbow.

In all modesty, the researcher realizes that this thesis is long away of perfection, thus building comments and suggestions will be highly appreciated to make this thesis better. The researcher hopes this thesis will be beneficial for all especially for the development of education.



Singaraja, June 11th 2021

Ni Kadek Nia Ivana Ayu


TABLE OF CONTENTS

HALAMAN JUDUL.....	i
HALAMAN PENGESAHAN.....	iv
SURAT PERNYATAAN.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
ABSTRAK	ix
ABSTRACT	x
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF PICTURES	xiv
CHAPTER I	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Research background	1
1.2. Problem Identification	6
1.3. Research Limitation	7
1.4. Research Questions	7
1.5. Research Objectives	7
1.6. Research Significances	7
CHAPTER II.....	9
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	9
2.1. Theoretical Review	9
2.1.1. Theory of Reflective Thinking Practice	9
2.1.3. Importances of Reflective Thinking Practice	16
2.1.4. Problems of Reflective Thinking	17
2.2. Empirical Review	19
CHAPTER III.....	23
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	23
3.1. Research Design	23

3.2. Research Setting.....	23
3.3. Research Subject and Research Objective	24
3.4. Methods and Instruments of Data Collection.....	24
3.5. Instrument Validity.....	26
3.6.1. Construct Validity.....	26
3.6.2. Content Validity	28
3.6. Data Analysis	30
CHAPTER IV	32
FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	32
4.1. Analysis of The English Teachers’ Reflective Thinking in Online Learning.....	32
4.1.1. Teachers’ Perceived Knowledge of Reflective Thinking Implementation During Online Learning	32
4.1.2. The Results of the Problems Encountered by The English Teachers in Reflective Thinking Implementation during Online Learning	41
4.2. Implication.....	53
CHAPTER 5.....	56
CLOSURE.....	56
5.1. Summary.....	56
5.2. Conclusions	58
5.2.1. Teachers’ Perceived Knowledge of Reflective Thinking.....	58
5.2.2. The problems encountered in doing reflective thinking practice.	58
5.3. Suggestions.....	59
5.3.1. For the Government	59
5.3.2. For EFL Teachers and Pre-service Teacher	59
5.3.3. For Other Researcher.....	60
REFERENCES.....	61
APPENDICES.....	69

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Methods and Instruments of data Collection.....	25
Table 3.2 The Detail of Teachers' Reflective Thinking Questionnaire	27
Table 3.3 Content Validity Analysis with Gregory Formula	28
Table 3.4 Validity Value Interval.....	29
Table 3.5 Expert Judgments for TRTQ.....	29
Table 3.6 Conversion of reflective thinking ability criteria of the English teachers.....	30
Table 3.7 Formula of English Teachers' Reflective Thinking Practice	31
Table 4.1 Result of teachers' perceived knowledge of their reflective thinking practice	32



LIST OF PICTURES

Picture 4.1 Questionnaire of the learning process	53
---------------------------------------------------------	----

