

REFERENCES

- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Sorensen, C., & Razavieh, A. (2010). *Introduction to Research in Education* (Eighth Edi). Wadsworth: Cengage Learning.
- Aydawati, E. N., Rukmini, D., Bharati, D. A. L., & Fitriati, S. W. (2018). The Effect of Online Peer Review Activities on Students' Academic Writing Performance. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 188, 249–252. <https://doi.org/10.2991/eltlt-18.2019.50>
- Bates, A. W., & Poole, G. (2003). *Effective teaching with technology in higher education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Berg, B. L. (2001). *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences* (4th ed.). United States of America: Pearson.
- Boholano, H. B. (2017). Smart Social Networking: 21st Century Teaching and Learning Skills. *Research in Pedagogy*, 7(1), 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.17810/2015.45>
- Bradley, L. (2014). Peer-reviewing in an intercultural wiki environment – Student interaction and reflections. *Computers and Composition*, 34, 80-95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2014.09.008>
- Brown, H.D. 2007. *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*. San Francisco: Longman.
- Cahyono, B. Y., & Amrina, R. (2018). Peer Feedback, Self-correction, and Writing Proficiency of Indonesian EFL Students. *Arab World English Journal*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol7no1.12>
- Cain, D. L., Marrara, C., Pitre, P. E., & Armour, S. (2003). Support services that matter: An exploration of the experiences and deeds of graduate students in a distance learning environment. *Journal of Distance Education*, 18(1), 42-56.
- Cha, Y., & Park, L. E. (2010). An analysis of synchronous interaction and its influence on EFL writers' revisions. *Multimedia Assisted Language Learning*, 13(2), 9-36.
- Chang, C. F. (2012). Peer feedback via three modes in an EFL writing course. *Computers and Composition*, 29, 63– 78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2012.01.001>
- Choi, J. (2014). Online Peer Discourse in a Writing Classroom. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 26(2), 217–231.
- Chuaphalakit, K., Inpin, B., & Coffin, P. (2019). A Study of the Quality of Feedback Via the Google Classroom-mediated-Anonymous Online Peer Feedback Activity in a Thai EFL Writing Classroom. *International Journal of Progressive Education*, 15(5), 103–118. <https://doi.org/10.29329/ijpe.2019.212.8>
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: planning, conducting, evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (Fourth Edi). Pearson.
- Creswell, J. W., & Clark, V. L. P. (2017). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research* (Third Edit). Los Angeles: SAGE. Retrieved from <https://lccn.loc.gov/2017037536>
- Ferris, D., & Hedgcock, J. S. (1998). *Teaching ESL Composition: Purpose, Process, and Practice*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

- Gebhard, G.J. (1996). *Teaching English as a Foreign or Second Language: A Teacher Self- Development and Methodology Guide*. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press.
- Haiyan, M., & Rilong, L. (2016). Classroom EFL Writing : The Alignment-Oriented Approach. *English Language Teaching*, 9(4), 76–82.
<https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v9n4p76>
- Hardani, Auliya, N. H., Andriani, H., Fardani, R. A., Sukmana, D. J., Ustiawaty, J., ... Istiqomah, R. R. (2020). *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif & Kuantitatif*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Ilmu.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>
- Hayes, J. R. (1996). A new framework for understanding cognition and affect in writing. In C. M. Levy & S. Ransdell (Eds.), *The science of writing: Theories, methods, individual differences and applications* (pp. 1–28). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Ho, M. C., & Savignon, S. J. (2007). Face-to-face and computer-mediated peer review in EFL writing. *CALICO Journal*, 24(2), 269-290.
- Ho, M-C. (2015). The effects of face-to-face and computer-mediated peer feedback on EFL writers' comments and revisions. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 31(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.495>
- Holstein, J. A., & Gubrium, J. F. (1995). *The Active Interview*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Huisman, B., Saab, N., van Driel, J., & van den Broek, P. (2018). Peer feedback on academic writing: undergraduate students' peer feedback role, peer feedback perceptions and essay performance. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1424318>
- Hyland, K. 2003. *Second Language Writing*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Janssen, J., Erkens, G., Kanselaar, G., & Jaspers, J. (2007). Visualization of participation: Does it contribute to successful computer-supported collaborative learning? *Computers and Education*, 49, 1037–1065.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2006.01.004>
- Kaya, F. B., & Yılmaz, M. Y. (2019). An Analysis of the In-Class Oral Feedback Provided by the Teachers of Turkish as a Foreign Language. *Educational Policy Analysis and Strategic Research*, 14(1), 144–155.
<https://doi.org/10.29329/epasr.2019.186.8>
- Li, L., Liu, X., & Steckelberg, A. L. (2010). Assessor or assessee: How student learning improves by giving and receiving peer feedback Lan. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(3), 525–536. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.00968.x>
- Lin, Z. (2016). Teaching EFL Writing : An Approach Based on the Learner ' s Context Model. *TESOL Journal*, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.265>
- Liang, M. (2010). Using synchronous online peer response groups in EFL writing: Revision-related discourse. *Language Learning & Technology*, 14(1), 45–64.
- Liou, H. C., & Peng, Z. Y. (2009). Training effects on computer-mediated peer feedback. *System*, 37(3), 514-525. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2009.01.005>

- Liu, J., & Sadler, R. W. (2003). The effects and affect of peer feedback in electronic versus traditional modes on L2 writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 2(3), 193–227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585\(03\)00025-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00025-0)
- McCarthy, J. (2017). Enhancing feedback in higher education: Students' attitudes towards online and in-class formative assessment feedback models. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 18(2), 127–141. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787417707615>
- Miftah, M. Z. (2016). Increasing EFL Students' Writing Abilities Using Peer Response Activities Via Facebook. *Indonesian EFL Journal: Journal of ELT, Linguistics, and Literature*, 2(2), 1–27.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative Data Analysis* (Second Edi). Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- Mohammadi, O. M., Jabbari, A. A., & Fazilatfar, A. (2018). The Impact of the Asynchronous Online Discussion Forum on the Iranian EFL Students' Writing Ability and Attitudes. *Applied Research on English Language*, 7(4), 457–486. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.22108/are.2018.112792.1351>
- Mory, E. (2004). Feedback research revisited. In *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology*, ed. D. Jonassen Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Mwalongo, A. I. (2013). Peer Feedback: Its Quality and Students' Perceptions as a Peer Learning Tool in Asynchronous Discussion Forums. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 2(2), 69–77. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v2i2.1821>
- Narciss, S. (2008). Feedback strategies for interactive learning tasks. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. J. G. Van Merriënboer, & M. P. Driscoll (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (pp. 125–143). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Nicol, D., & MacFarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090>
- Owens, J., Hardcastle, L., & Richardson, B. (2009). Learning From a Distance : The Experience of Remote Students. *Journal of Distance Education*, 23(3), 53–74.
- Patchan, M. M., & Schunn, C. D. (2015). Understanding the benefits of providing peer feedback : how students respond to peers ' texts of varying quality. *Instructional Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-015-9353-x>
- Peterson, S. (2010). Improving Student Writing Using Feedback as a Teaching Tool. *Journal of Research into Practice*, 29 (2), 1-4
- Rahman, M., Karim, R., & Byramjee, F. (2015). Prospect Of Distance Learning. *Journal of International Education Research*, 11(3), 173–178.
- Ramaprasad, A. (1983). On the definition of feedback. *Behavioural Sciences* 28: 4–13.
- Rao, Z. (2007). Training in brainstorming and developing writing skills. *ELT Journal*, 61(2), 100–106. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccm002>
- Razi, S. (2016). Open and anonymous peer review in a digital online environment compared in academic writing context. *Innovative Language Teaching and*

- Learning at University: Enhancing Participation and Collaboration*, (2016), 49–56. <https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2016.000404>
- Richards, J. C. (2015). Technology in Language Teaching Today. *Indonesia Journal of English Language Teaching*, 10(1), 18–32.
- Rollinson, P. (2005). Using peer feedback in the ESL writing class. *ELT Journal*, 59(1), 23–30. doi:10.1093/elt/cci003
- Saeed, M. A., Ghazali, K., Sahuri, S. S., & Abdulrab, M. (2018). Engaging EFL Learners in Online Peer Feedback on Writing: What Does It Tell Us? *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 17, 39–61. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.28945/3980>
- Strijbos, J. W., Narciss, S., & Dünneber, K. (2010). Peer feedback content and sender's competence level in academic writing revision tasks: Are they critical for feedback perceptions and efficiency? *Learning and Instruction*, 20, 291–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.08.008>
- Sugiyono. (2015). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan (Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D)*. Bandung: ALFABETA.
- Taherdoost, H. (2016). Validity and Reliability of the Research Instrument ; How to Test the Validation of a Questionnaire / Survey in a Research Hamed Taherdoost To cite this version : HAL Id : hal-02546799 Validity and Reliability of the Research Instrument ; How to Test the. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management*, 5(3), 28–36.
- van Popta, E., Kral, M., Camp, G., Martens, R. L., & Simons, P. R. J. (2016). Exploring the value of peer feedback in online learning for the provider. *Educational Research Review*, 20, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2016.10.003>
- Vu, T. T., & Alba, G. D. (2007). Students' experience of peer assessment in a professional course. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 32(5), 541–556. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930601116896>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. (M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Eds.). London: Harvard University Press.
- Wahyudin, A. Y. (2018). The Impact of Online Peer Feedback on EFL Students' Writing at Tertiary Level. *BAHTERA: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra*, 17(1).
- Wihastyanang, W. D., Kusumaningrum, S. R., Latief, A., & Cahyono, B. Y. (2020). Impacts of Providing Online Teacher and Peer Feedback on Students' Writing Performance. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 21(2), 178–189.
- Winne, P. H., & Butler, D. L. (1994). Student cognition in learning from teaching. In T. Husen & T. Postlewaite (Eds.), *International encyclopaedia of education* (2nd ed., pp. 5738–5745). Oxford, UK: Pergamon.