

THE EFFECT OF COMMUNITY LANGUAGE LEARNING
(CLL) METHOD ON THE SEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS'
SPEAKING SKILL AT SMP NEGERI 1 SAWAN

SKRIPSI

Diajukan Kepada

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

Untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Persyaratan Dalam

Menyelesaikan Program Sarjana Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris



By:

IDA AYU KADE PRADNYANI DEWI

1312021233

ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION

FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND ART

GANESHA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION

SINGARAJA

2019

Diajukan pada:

Hari : Kamis

Tanggal : 10 Oktober 2019

Jam : 13.00 WITA



Penguji:

1. G. A. P. Suprianti, S.Pd., M.Pd.
2. Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D.
3. A. A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.

SKRIPSI

DIAJUKAN UNTUK MELENGKAPI TUGAS-TUGAS
DAN MEMENUHI SYARAT-SYARAT UNTUK MENCAPAI
GELAR SARJANA PENDIDIKAN

Menyetujui,

Pembimbing I.



Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D.
NIP. 196407141988102001

Pembimbing II.




A. A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198806222014041001

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PENGUJI


Skripsi oleh Ida Ayu Kade Pradnyani Dewi ini telah dipertahankan di depan dewan penguji pada hari:

Dewan Penguji,


Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A.
NIP. 196203191987031001


(Ketua)

Penguji I,


G. A. P. Suprianti, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 199002242014042001


(Anggota)

Penguji II,


Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D.
NIP. 196407141988102001

(Anggota)

Penguji III,


A. A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198806222014041001

(Anggota)

Diterima oleh:

Panitia Ujian Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Singaraja
Guna Memenuhi Syarat-syarat Untuk Mencapai Gelar Sarjana Pendidikan


Pada:

Hari : Jumat
Tanggal : 11 Oktober 2019

Mengetahui,

Ketua Ujian,

Sekretaris Ujian,


Prof. Dr. I Nyoman Adi Jaya Putra, M.A.
NIP. 196203191987031001


Luh Diah Surya Adnyani, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP. 198309232008122001

Mengesahkan

Dekan Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha,


Prof. Dr. I Made Sutarna, M.Pd.
NIP. 196004241986031002

PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa karya tulis yang berjudul “The Effect of Community Language Learning (CLL) Method on the Seventh Grade Students’ Speaking Skill at SMP Negeri 1 Sawan” beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar-benar karya saya sendiri dan saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan dan pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung risiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila kemudian ditemukan adanya pelanggaran atas etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini atau ada klaim terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.



MOTTO



“YOU WERE NOT BORN A WINNER,
AND YOU WERE NOT BORN A LOSER.
YOU ARE WHAT YOU MAKE
YOURSELF BE”

“Lou Holtz”

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Above all, the writer would like to express the greatest gratitude to the Almighty God, Ida Sang Hyang Widhi Wasa for the blessing, health, and help to accomplish this bachelor thesis. Then, the writer could finish writing this study in time.

Great gratitude then goes to Prof. Dra. Luh Putu Artini, M.A., Ph.D. as the first supervisor and A. A. Gede Yudha Paramartha, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the second supervisor and G. A. P. Suprianti, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the examiner of her thesis for their great guidance, suggestions, and ideas as well as corrections to the improvement of this study in completing and finishing her thesis. Without them this thesis would never come to its end.


Great gratitude also goes to Ketut Suardika, S.Pd. the headmaster of SMP Negeri 1 Sawan, who allow the writer to conduct this study; then the English Teacher of SMP Negeri 1 Sawan, I Gede Raka, S.Pd. who guided the writer since the teaching practice program until the process of collect the data and the completion of this study and the students of VII B, VII E, and VII G of SMP Negeri 1 Sawan who support the writer and always share happiness.

Further, the writer is grateful to her big family, her lovely parents (Ida Bagus Atmaja and Ida Ayu Putu Putriadi) and her beloved sister and brother (Ida Ayu Komang Raheni Handayani and Ida Bagus Pradnyana Putra, S.Pd.) and also her beloved grandmother (Ida Pedanda Istri Mayun) who gave her advice, encouragement, motivation, prayers, and love.

Special thanks are given to all of writer's friends at English Language Education for giving her supports and helps. And also, all of her friends in English Language Education especially for "High Class" members who always be a good friend in encouraging and accompanying her in every moment at campus.

Finally, the writer also would like to thanks to her friends best "Rempong" (Budi, Dian, Arie, Mas, Aya, Gek Novie) and Tugek, for never ending support and motivation during the hardship her faced. The writer so lucky to have such wonderful friends like you all.

The participant of all people mentioned above has brought great contribution to the accomplishment of this study. Without them, this writing would never come to its end.



Singaraja, 09 Oktober 2019

The Writer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENT	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	x
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Background of the Study	1
1.2. Statement of the Problem	9
1.3. Purpose of the Study	9
1.4. Significances of the Study	9
1.4.1. Theoretical Significances	10
1.4.2. Practical Significances	10
1.5. Scope of the Study	10
1.6. Definition of Key Terms	11
1.6.1. Conceptual Definition	11
1.6.2. Operational Definition	12
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
2.1. Theoretical Review	13
2.1.1. Speaking Skill	13
2.1.2. Teaching Speaking Effectively	15
2.1.3. Definition of CLL Method	18
2.1.4. Understanding of CLL's Stages in Teaching Speaking	19

2.1.5. Activities of Community Language Learning	21
2.1.6. Conventional Teaching Method	23
2.2. Empirical Review	25
2.3. Research Hypotheses	31
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	
3.1. Research Design	32
3.2. Setting of the Study	34
3.3. Population and Sample	34
3.3.1. Population	34
3.3.2. Sample	35
3.3.2.1. The Result of Normality Test before Treatment	36
3.3.2.2. The Result of Homogeneity Test before Treatment	37
3.4. Research Variables	38
3.5. Research Instruments	39
3.5.1. Speaking Test	39
3.5.2. Speaking Rubric	40
3.5.3. Teaching Scenario	42
3.6. Validity and Reliability of Instruments	44
3.6.1. Content Validity	44
3.6.2. Reliability	45
3.7. Method of Data Collection	46
3.8. Method of Data Analysis	47
3.9. Data Analysis	47
3.9.1. Descriptive Statistical Analysis	47

3.9.2. Inferential Statistical Analysis	48
---	----

CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Findings	51
4.1.1. Data Description	51
4.2. Description of overall Result	54
4.2.156. Result of Post-Test in Experimental Group	54
4.2.2. Result of Post-Test in Control Group	55
4.2.3. Hypothesis Testing	57
4.2.2.1. The Result of Normality Test After Treatment	57
4.2.2.2. The Result of Homogeneity Test After Treatment	58
4.2.2.3. Hypothesis Testing (Mann Whitney U Test)	58
4.2.2.4. Effect Size	60
4.3. Discussion	62
4.4. Implication	68

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

5.1. Summary	70
5.2. Conclusion	72
5.3. Suggestion	73

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 The Differences between CLL and Conventional Teaching Method

Table 3.1 Distribution of Research Population

Table 3.2 The Result of Normality Test before Treatment

Table 3.3 The Result of Homogeneity Test before Treatment

Table 3.4 Speaking Scoring Rubric

Table 3.5 Syntax of Learning

Table 3.6 Gregory's Formula for Testing Validity

Table 3.7 The Result of Content Validity

Table 3.8 The Qualification of Reliability Coefficient

Table 3.9 The Result of Interclass Correlation

Table 3.10 The Criteria of Testing Normal Distribution

Table 3.11 The Criteria of Testing Homogeneity of Variance

Table 3.12 The Criteria for Determining Significant Effect

Table 4.1 The Result of Descriptive Statistics

Table 4.2 Students' Scores of Post-Test in Experimental Group

Table 4.3 Students' Scores of Post-Test in Control Group

Table 4.4 The Result of Normality Test after Treatment

Table 4.5 The Result of Homogeneity Test after Treatment

Table 4.6 The Result of Hypothesis Testing

Table 4.7 Average Scores of the Speaking Components

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Post-Test

Figure 3.2 The Post-Test Only Control Group Design

Figure 3.3 Relationship among Dependent and Independent Variable



LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 1 Teaching Scenario
- Appendix 2 Speaking Scoring Rubric
- Appendix 3 Blueprint of Speaking
- Appendix 4 The Name of the Students in Sample
- Appendix 5 Lesson Plan for Experimental Group
- Appendix 6 Lesson Plan for Control Group
- Appendix 7 Speaking Test
- Appendix 8 Form of Content Validity
- Appendix 9 Students' Score before Treatment
- Appendix 10 Students' Try Out Score
- Appendix 11 Result of Reliability
- Appendix 12 Students' Transcript of Experimental Group
- Appendix 13 Students' Transcript of Control Group
- Appendix 14 Students' Mispronunciation in Experimental Group
- Appendix 15 Students' Mispronunciation in Control Group
- Appendix 16 Students' Grammatical Problem in the Experimental Group
- Appendix 17 Students' Grammatical Problem in the Control Group
- Appendix 18 Students' Post-Test Score
- Appendix 19 Result of Normality and Homogeneity after Treatment
- Appendix 20 Result of Mann Whitney U Test
- Appendix 21 Attachment Letters
- Appendix 22 Documentations